### Resolution

Version 2.3 released on 8/3/21 Use for all types of Resolutions



CITY COUNCIL USE ONLY  Date Received:  Committee Date:  1st Agenda Date:	Tracking # Committee Hearing Date 2 <sup>nd</sup> Agenda Date	9:		CITY CLERK USE ONLY 11,09,2022 211,0954	
All departme	ent items requiring Council appro	val must be submitted throu	gh the Mayor's Offic	ce.	
Primary Details					
Board Approval		Other Board Name	City Counci <b>⊘ Y</b> es	I Approval ○ No	
Department Legal	Contact Name T. Michelle McGrew (tmd)	Email mmcgrew@cityoftulsa.or	Phone rg 91859677	<b>Phone</b> 9185967717	
Resolution Type Authorizing Sinking Fund Credit or Payment		Owner-Grantor Sharae Heather McMinn			
Amount \$110,000.00	Case Number CJ-2016-4521	TMAPC Number	Council Dis	Council District	
Description (Subject) Payment of Lawsuit		Bid/Project Number			
Section	Township	Range	Addition	Addition	
Lot	Block	Address			
Budget					
Funding Source(s)					
		Т	OTAL:		
Approvals  Department Legal Boar Mayor Other	d: Trible Ms		Date:	1'.3-22 1/-3-2022 NOV 09 202	
Policy Statement					
Background Information On November 2, 2022 Mayor Judgment Upon Agreed Settle	Bynum approved settlement of the all ement was signed by the Judge and	bove lawsuit in the amount of filled with the Court on Novemb	\$110,000.00. The Jou per 3, 2022. (see atta	rnal Entry of ched JE)	
Summation of the Requested Action Upon Council approval, request McMinn, Plaintiff; Rogers & R processing.	n st that the Mayor direct finance to is: itzhaupt, PLLC and Stanley D. Monr	sue a check in the amount of roe, PC, attorneys at law and	\$110,000.00 payable forward to the Legal [	to: Sharae Heathe Department for furt	
Emergency Clause?  O Yes	Reason for Emergency Clause Return executed RFA and Res	solution to City Legal for furthe	er processing.		

## (Published in the Tulsa World, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2022.)

#### RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING PAYMENT IN FULL OF A JUDGMENT SETTLEMENT, FROM SURPLUS MONIES IN THE SINKING FUND; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

WHEREAS, on the 3rd day of November, 2022, in Case No. CJ-2016-4521, filed in the District Court of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, judgment was entered based on a settlement agreed to by and between Sharae Heather McMinn, Plaintiff, and the City of Tulsa, Defendant, in the sum of One Hundred Ten Thousand and 00/100 Dollars (\$110,000.00), representing principal judgment, interest, costs and attorney fees, was entered against the City of Tulsa, which settlement has been approved by the Court; and

WHEREAS, it appears from a survey of the Sinking Fund that there is a surplus of cash and investments in said fund, over and above accrued liabilities and statutory obligations, which would allow the City of Tulsa to pay said judgment in full, including court costs and interest thereon; and

WHEREAS, it is desirable and in the best interest of the City of Tulsa to make such present payment out of the City's Sinking Fund, and thereafter reimburse the Sinking Fund from subsequent tax levies, as provided by 62 O.S. '435.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TULSA, OKLAHOMA:

Section 1. That the City Clerk and the City Treasurer of the City of Tulsa be, and the same hereby are, authorized to consummate and complete the payment of said judgment by drawing the following warrant on the City of Tulsa's Sinking Fund:

To the order of SHARAE HEATHER MCMINN, Plaintiff, and ROGERS & RITZHAUPT, PLLC and STANLEY D. MONROE, PC Attorneys at Law, the sum of One Hundred Ten Thousand and 00/100 Dollars (\$110,000.00); the same representing the full amount of the judgment, interest, costs and attorney fees, now due and owing to the Plaintiff in the lawsuit identified above.

Section 2. That the City Clerk and the City Treasurer of the City of Tulsa be, and the same hereby are, authorized and directed to properly advise the Tulsa County Excise Board by appropriate reports, of the prepayment of said judgment in order that said Board may include said prepaid judgment as a necessary and lawful expense of the Sinking Fund of the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, for which appropriate tax levies may be made to replenish said Sinking Fund,

as provided by the provisions of Title 62 of the Statutes of the State of Oklahoma.

Section 3. That an emergency exists for the preservation of the public peace, health and safety, by reason whereof this Resolution shall take effect immediately from and after its passage, approval, and publication.

ADOPTED by the Council:	(Date)
ADOPTED as an emergency measure	e:(Date)
	Chairman of the Council
OFFICE C	OF THE MAYOR
Received by the Mayor:Date	e Time
	G.T. Bynum, Mayor
	By Secretary
APPROVED by the Mayor of the City	y of Tulsa, Oklahoma:, Date
Time	
(Seal) ATTEST:	Mayor
City Clerk	
APPROVED:	
City Attorney	

## IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR TULSA COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

SHARAE HEATHER MCMINN,	)		Dicempron corre
Plaintiff,	)		DISTRICT COURT
VS.	)	Case No. CJ-2016-4521	NOV 0 3 2022
JOHNIE DWAYNE HUNTER, and the CITY OF TULSA, OKLAHOMA, a Municipal Corporation,		Judge Nightingale	DON NEWBERRY, Court Clerk STATE OF OKLA. TULSA COUNTY
Defendants.	)		

#### JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT UPON AGREED SETTLEMENT

NOW ON this day of 100, 2022, this matter comes before the undersigned judge. Plaintiff, Sharae Heather McMinn, appears by and through her attorneys of record, Lori K. Rogers and Stanley D. Monroe, and Defendant City of Tulsa, appears by and through its attorney of record, T. Michelle McGrew, Senior Assistant City Attorney.

 desire to settle the entirety of all claims and causes of action relating to the events identified in their Petition, including costs and fees, upon payment in the sum of One Hundred Ten Thousand Dollars and 00/100 (\$110,000.00), the Court finds:

- 1. That the Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this lawsuit and the parties hereto;
- 2. That Plaintiff for herself and in her representative capacity is fully aware of her rights in this matter and it is Plaintiff's desire to compromise her right to trial by jury;
- 3. That Plaintiff desires to accept as full, final and complete settlement the sum of One Hundred Ten Thousand Dollars and 00/100 (\$110,000.00), for any and all damages, losses, fees and expenses sustained as a result of the events identified in Plaintiff's Petition;
- 4. That this settlement is not an admission that the City of Tulsa, or its employees were negligent, but is only a recognition of the uncertainty of trial;
- 5. The Plaintiff has agreed to dismiss with prejudice or forgo any and all claims against the City of Tulsa and its employees individually;
- 6. That by agreement of the parties, Defendant's payment to her will stand as full compensation to Plaintiff in her personal and representative capacity and preclude any further or separate action by Plaintiff or those she represents against City of Tulsa, a municipal corporation, or any of its employees, arising from or relating to the events described in Plaintiff's Petition;
- 7. That the City has agreed to settle Plaintiff's lawsuit in the sum of One Hundred Ten Thousand Dollars and 00/100 (\$110,000.00);
- 8. That the City shall pay the Plaintiff from the City of Tulsa's Sinking Fund which has sufficient funds available to pay said judgment; and
  - 9. That all parties request this court to approve and finalize their mutual settlement;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that

Plaintiff for herself and in her representative capacity has and will recover from the Defendant

City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, damages in the total sum of One Hundred Ten Thousand Dollars and

00/100 (\$110,000.00), as full, final and complete compensation for any and all damages, losses,

fees, and expenses incurred or sustained incident to the events described in Plaintiff's Petition

and that said damages shall be paid from the City of Tulsa's Sinking Fund which has sufficient

funds available to pay said judgment; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that Plaintiff's claim against Defendant

City of Tulsa is dismissed with prejudice and that payment to Plaintiff by Defendant City of

Tulsa will preclude any further or separate action by Plaintiff against Defendant City of Tulsa or

any employee of Defendant City of Tulsa arising from or pertaining to the events described in

Plaintiff's Petition.

REBECCA NIGHTINGALE

JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

Bv:

Lofi K. Rogers, OBA #21542

Kameran R. Ritzhaupt

Rogers & Ritzhaupt, PLLC

4520 South Harvard, Suite 200B

Tulsa, OK 74135

3

By:

Stanley D. Monroe, OBA #6305 15 West 6<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 2800 Tulsa, OK 74119

D-..

T. Michelle McGrew, OBA #20279

Senior Assistant City Attorney

City of Tulsa

175 East Second Street, Suite 685

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

(918) 596-7717

(918) 596-9700 Facsimile

# Legal Records Version 2.6 released on 8/3/21

For torts, lawsuits, settlements, and other legal actions. Use other more specified RFAs for other record types including Contracts, MOUs, Election Actions, Worker's Compensation Orders and Miscellaneous





CITY COUNCIL USE ONLY  Date Received:  Committee Date:  1st Agenda Date:	Tracking #:  Committee:  Hearing Date:  2 <sup>nd</sup> Agenda Date:		☐ Scanned	CITY CLERK USE ONLY Date: Item#:	
	titems requiring Council approva	al must be submitted to			
Primary Details	дения дереста		<b></b>	<b>.,</b>	
Legal Action Type Lawsuit - Settlement		Court Order Date		Council Approval  ○ Yes	
Department Legal	Contact Name Michelle McGrew (tmd)	Email tmcgrew@cityoftulsa.org		Phone 9185967717	
Incident Date 11/16/16	Legal Case No. CJ-2016-4521	Amount \$110,000.00			
Name Sharae McMinn		Originating Department Police		Date Filed City Clerk	
Budget/Payments					
Funding Source(s) / Checks to be Issue	ed				
Approvals  Department: Legal: Board: Mayor: Other:	GARLE Man	APPROVED BY M/ CITY OF TULS NOV 0 2 2022	TOTAL:	Date: 10.27.72  Date: 70.27.2025  Date: Date: Date:	
Summary		A T			
officers. Ms. McMinn was pulled her vehicle while the officers sear stand behind her car as the office car and the officers' vehicle. Wh Ms. McMinn to be pinned between On October 19, 2022, the parties	g damages for injuries she sustained over by an Officer-In-Training and herched it as they smelled the odor of the selieved that was the safest placed in the two vehicles. She suffered so participated in mediation wherein the filiability. The Legal Department recommends	nis Field Training Officer f marijuana when she ro ce for her given the loca wo vehicles, the patrol or ignificant injuries and hat the City was able to neg	for a faulty ta lled down her ution of the sto car was rear-er as residual he gotiate a settle	all light. She was asked to exit window. She was instructed to op. This placed her between her nded by a drunk driver, causing ealth issues from this accident.	
Summation of the Requested Action					
	ettlement of this matter in the an	nount of \$110,000.00.			
Other Pertinent Information					

#### Processing Information for City Clerk's Office

Post Execution Processing

☐ Mail vendor copy (addt'l signature copies attached)

☐ Must be filed with other governmental entity

☐ Addt'l governmental entity approval(s) required

Additional Routing and Processing Details
Please return executed RFA to City Legal for further processing.

#### INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

To:

Mayor GT Bynum

From:

T. Michelle McGrew, Senior Assistant City Attorney

Date:

October 25, 2022

Subject:

Settlement Approval, Sharae McMinn v. City of Tulsa,

Case No. CJ: 2016-4521 (Judge Rebecca Nightingale)

This case involves a November 13, 2016 motor vehicle accident involving a drunk driver (Johnie Hunter), two TPD officers and the Plaintiff Sharae McMinn, who suffered extensive injuries including a pelvic fracture, tibia fracture, multiple surgeries and bladder incontinence secondary to a crush injury to her pelvis. Ms. McMinn has significant residual health issues from this accident.

On that Sunday night, at about 10:15 p.m., an Officer-in-Training and his FTO (Field Training Officer) had pulled over Ms. McMinn on East Skelly Dr. (runs parallel to Interstate 44) for driving with a faulty tail light. The officers pulled their vehicle behind Ms. McMinn's vehicle, leaving approximately a car length between the vehicles. When officers approached Ms. McMinn they smelled the odor of marijuana when she rolled down her window.

The FTO asked the Officer-in-Training to have Ms. McMinn exit her vehicle and stand behind it while they conducted a search. She consented to the search. The FTO believed standing between the two vehicles was the safest place for her because if she stood to the left, she would be in the traffic lane. If she stood too close to the guard rail on the right, she would be exposed to potential debris from traffic or a blowout on I-44.

When the Officer-in-Training went to his patrol car to check Ms. McMinn's information, the FTO and Ms. McMinn stood in between the two vehicles. Suddenly, without warning, a large pick-up truck, pulling a large trailer with an industrial-sized karaoke machine crashed into the rear of the patrol car. Even though the officer immediately jammed his foot on the brake, the force of the impact was so great, it pushed the patrol 46 feet into Ms. McMinn and then pushed her vehicle another 56 feet. Ms. McMinn was crushed between the two vehicles.

The driver of the pick-up fled the scene. Officers immediately radioed for EMSA and for backup giving a description of the truck and the direction it was headed. Defendant Johnie Dewayne Hunter (a Defendant in this case) was subsequently arrested. He later plead guilty to felony Leaving the Scene of Collision and a misdemeanor charge of Failure to Yield for Emergency Vehicle. He spent two years in prison for his crimes.

Ms. McMinn timely filed a Notice of Tort Claim and then filed suit in Tulsa County January 18, 2028. She alleged that Hunter, and the City were negligent because the two officers allowed

Ms. McMinn to stand between the two vehicles.

We filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on the grounds that as a matter of law, Hunter's actions were a supervening cause of the accident, and his criminal actions absolve the City from any negligence. Judge Nightingale denied the City's Motion for Summary Judgment.

On October 19, 2022, the parties attended a Mediation and after protracted negotiations, the City Legal Department secured a settlement between the City and Plaintiff in the amount of \$110,000.00 (plus costs of the Mediation), with no admission of liability. The Legal Department recommends approval of this settlement in the amount of \$110,000.00.

If you have any questions or need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully,
7 Hable

T. Michelle McGrew

Senior Assistant City Attorney