### Resolution

Version 2.5 released on 6/29/23 Use for all types of Resolutions



CITY COUNCIL USE ONLY		Tracking #:		CITY CLERK USE ONLY		
Date Received: Committee Date:	Commi Hearing D		☐ Scanned	Date: 08,07,2024		
1st Agenda Date:	2 <sup>nd</sup> Agenda D		☐ Posted	Item#24U8 02078		
	nt items requiring Council app	roval must be submitted th	rough the M	layor's Office.		
Primary Details						
Board Approval		Other Board Name		City Council Approval  ✓ Yes ○ No		
Department Legal	Contact Name Hayes T. Martin (tmd)	Email hmartin@cityoftulsa.org		Phone 9185967717		
Resolution Type Authorizing Sinking Fund Credit or Payment		Owner-Grantor Jacob and Sarah Boyce				
<b>Amount</b> \$150,000.00	Case Number CJ-2023-375	TMAPC Number		Council District		
<b>Description (Subject)</b> Payment of Lawsuit		Bid/Project Number				
Section	Township	Range		Addition		
Lot	Block	Address				
Budget						
Funding Source(s)						
		TOTAL:				
Approvals  Department Legal Board Mayor Other	d vox			Date: 8 - 5 - 7 4		
Policy Statement						
	n approved settlement in the abovurt on July 31, 2024. (See attache		150,000.00. 7	he Journal Entry was signed by		
	lution and Mayor approve paymer Jacob and Sarah Boyce, Plaintiffs					
Emergency Clause?  O Yes  O No	Reason for Emergency Clause					

Processing Information for City Clerk's Office						
Post Execution Processing	Additional Routing and Processing Details					
☐ Mail vendor copy (addt'l signature copies attached)	Return executed RFA and Resolution to City Legal for further					
☐ Must be filed with other governmental entity	processing.					
☐ Addt'l governmental entity approval(s) required	<del></del>					

## IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR TULSA COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

DICMPICE

JACOB BOYCE, SARAH BOYCE, MCKENNA BOYCE, WANDA SUE	) ) )
JACKSON, JACOB BOYCE II, JACOB AND SARAH BOYCE,	JUL 31 2024
As Natural Parents and Next Friends of J.R.B., a Minor Child,	DON NEWBERRY, Court Clerk STATE OF OKLA. TULSA COUNTY
Plaintiffs,	)
VS.	) Case No. CJ-2023-00375 ) Judge LaFortune
THE CITY OF TULSA, a municipal Corporation,	) )
Defendants.	)

### JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT UPON AGREED SETTLEMENT

NOW ON this day of day of day, 2024, this matter comes before the undersigned Judge. Jacob and Sarah Boyce, individually and as the Natural Parents and Next Friends of J.R. B., a Minor Child, Mckenna Boyce, and Jacob Boyce II, Plaintiffs, appear by and through their attorney, Jim Buxton, and Defendant City of Tulsa, appears by and through its attorney of record, Hayes T. Martin, Assistant City Attorney.

The Court, having reviewed the allegations set forth in Plaintiffs' Petition, having reviewed the Defendant's Offer of Proof filed herein on the day of day of day, 2024 evidencing that the statutory requirements pursuant to 62 O.S. § 362 have been met and that the judgment proceeds being paid by the Defendant to the Plaintiffs herein shall be paid from the City of Tulsa's Sinking Fund which has sufficient funds available to pay said judgment as evidenced by the Affidavit of Chad D. Becker attached as Exhibit "A" to the Defendant's Offer of Proof, and the Court being advised that the City's Mayor has authorized a compromised settlement in the sum of One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars and 00/100 (\$150,000.00) and the

Court being satisfied that Plaintiffs fully understand the nature of this action with regard to its finality which precludes additional or further compensation for damages arising from the occurrence of the event identified in Plaintiffs' Petition and, upon being further advised by Plaintiffs that it is their desire to settle the entirety of all claims and causes of action relating to the events identified in their Petition, including costs and fees, upon payment in the sum of One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars and 00/100 (\$150,000.00), the Court finds:

- 1. That the Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this lawsuit and the parties hereto;
- 2. That Plaintiffs for themselves and in their representative capacity are fully aware of their rights in this matter and it is Plaintiffs' desire to compromise their right to trial by jury;
- 3. That Plaintiffs desire to accept as full, final, and complete settlement the sum of One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars and 00/100 (\$150,000.00), for any and all damages, losses, fees, and expenses sustained as a result of the events identified in Plaintiffs' Petition;
- 4. That J.R.B. will receive Nine Hundred Dollars (\$900.00) and the remaining settlement amount will be divided among the remaining Plaintiffs by Sarah and Jacob Boyce.
- 5. That this settlement is not an admission that the City of Tulsa, or its employees were negligent, but is only a recognition of the uncertainty of trial;
- 6. The Plaintiffs have agreed to dismiss with prejudice or forgo any and all claims against any employees of the City of Tulsa individually;
- 7. That by agreement of the parties, Defendant's payment to Plaintiffs will stand as full compensation to Plaintiffs in their personal and representative capacity and preclude any further or separate action by Plaintiffs or those they represent against City of Tulsa, a municipal corporation, or any of its employees, arising from or relating to the events described in Plaintiffs'

Petition;

8. That the City has agreed to settle Plaintiffs' lawsuit in the sum of One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars and 00/100 (\$150,000.00) with Nine Hundred Dollars (\$900.00) being

paid to J.R.B.;

9. That the City shall pay the Plaintiffs from the City of Tulsa's Sinking Fund which

has sufficient funds available to pay said judgment; and

10. That all parties request this Court to approve and finalize their mutual settlement;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that

Plaintiffs for themselves and in their representative capacity have and recover from the

Defendant City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, damages in the total sum of One Hundred Fifty Thousand

Dollars and 00/100 (\$150,000.00), as full, final, and complete compensation for any and all

damages, losses, fees, and expenses incurred or sustained incident to the events described in

Plaintiffs' Petition and that said damages shall be paid from the City of Tulsa's Sinking Fund

which has sufficient funds available to pay said judgment; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that Plaintiffs' claims against Defendant

City of Tulsa are dismissed with prejudice and that payment to Plaintiffs by Defendant City of

Tulsa will preclude any further or separate action by Plaintiffs against Defendant City of Tulsa or

any employee of Defendant City of Tulsa arising from or pertaining to the events described in

Plaintiffs' Petition.

William D. LaFortune

JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

3

By:

Jim Buxton OBA #19057 Buxton Law Group 511 Couch Drive, Suite 300 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 (405) 604-5577 (405) 604-5578 Facsimile

By:\_

Hayes T. Martin, OBA #32059 Assistant City Attorney City of Tulsa 175 East Second Street, Suite 685 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103 (918) 596-7717 (918) 596-9700 Facsimile

# (Published in the Tulsa World, \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2024.)

### RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING PAYMENT IN FULL OF A JUDGMENT SETTLEMENT, FROM SURPLUS MONIES IN THE SINKING FUND; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

WHEREAS, on the 31st day of July, 2024, in Case No. CJ-2023-375, filed in the District Court of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, judgment was entered based on a settlement agreed to by and between Jacob and Sarah Boyce, Plaintiffs, and the City of Tulsa, Defendant, in the sum of One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars and 00/100 (\$150,000.00), representing principal judgment, interest, costs and attorney fees, was entered against the City of Tulsa, which settlement has been approved by the Court; and

WHEREAS, it appears from a survey of the Sinking Fund that there is a surplus of cash and investments in said fund, over and above accrued liabilities and statutory obligations, which would allow the City of Tulsa to pay said judgment in full, including court costs and interest thereon; and

WHEREAS, it is desirable and in the best interest of the City of Tulsa to make such present payment out of the City's Sinking Fund, and thereafter reimburse the Sinking Fund from subsequent tax levies, as provided by 62 O.S. '435.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TULSA, OKLAHOMA:

Section 1. That the City Clerk and the City Treasurer of the City of Tulsa be, and the same hereby are, authorized to consummate and complete the payment of said judgment by drawing the following warrant on the City of Tulsa's Sinking Fund:

To the order of JACOB AND SARAH BOYCE, Plaintiffs, and BUXTON LAW GROUP, Attorneys at Law, the sum of One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars and 00/100 (\$150,000.00); the same representing the full amount of the judgment, interest, costs and attorney fees, now due and owing to the Plaintiffs in the lawsuit identified above.

Section 2. That the City Clerk and the City Treasurer of the City of Tulsa be, and the same hereby are, authorized and directed to properly advise the Tulsa County Excise Board by appropriate reports, of the prepayment of said judgment in order that said Board may include said prepaid judgment as a necessary and lawful expense of the Sinking Fund of the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, for which appropriate tax levies may be made to replenish said Sinking Fund,

as provided by the provisions of Title 62 of the Statutes of the State of Oklahoma.

Section 3. That an emergency exists for the preservation of the public peace, health and safety, by reason whereof this Resolution shall take effect immediately from and after its passage, approval, and publication.

ADOPTED by the Council:	(Date)
ADOPTED as an emergency measure: _	(Date)
	Chairman of the Council
OFFICE OF	THE MAYOR
Received by the Mayor:Date	, at Time
	G.T. Bynum, Mayor
	By Secretary
APPROVED by the Mayor of the City of	f Tulsa, Oklahoma:, Date
Time	
(Seal) ATTEST:	Mayor
City Clerk	
APPROVED:	
City Attorney	

### Legal Records

Version 2.9 released on 11/29/23

For torts, lawsuits, settlements, and other legal actions. Use other more specified RFAs for other record types including Contracts, MOUs, Election Actions, Worker's Compensation Orders and Miscellaneous

☐ Must be filed with other governmental entity ☐ Addt¹l governmental entity approval(s) required



CITY COUNCIL USE ONLY	Tracking #	<b>‡</b> :			CITY CLERK USE ONLY
Date Received:	Committee		Scanned	Date:	
Committee Date:  1st Agenda Date:	Hearing Date 2 <sup>nd</sup> Agenda Date		Posted	Item#:	
All department Primary Details	items requiring Council approv	val must be submitted thro	ugh the M	ayor's Of	fice.
_		0 1 O 1 D 1			
Lawsuit - Settlement		Court Order Date		O Yes	opproval ✓ No
Department	Contact Name	Email		Phone	
Legal	Hayes T. Martin (tmd)	hmartin@cityoftulsa.org		9185967717	
Incident Date	Legal Case No.	Amount			
9/8/22	CJ-2023-375	\$150,000.00			
Name Jacob & Sarah Boyce et al				Date Filed City Clerk 2/6/23	
Budget/Payments		Water and Sewer		2/0/25	
Funding Source(s) / Checks to be Issue					
Approvals  Department: Legal: Board: Mayor: Other:	Agu Hayas Mazras	For JACK BLAR	MAYOR ILSA 2024	Date: Date: Date: Date: Date:	6/24/24 6/24/24
Summary					
which caused significant damage	filed suit on behalf of the Plaintiffs to their property. They were seel to negotiate a settlement in the a	king restitution for both prop	erty and pe	rsonal dar	e in the sewer line mages in excess of
Summation of the Requested Action Request that the Mayor approve s processing.	settlement in this matter in the am	nount of \$150,000.00 and re	turn to the	_egal Dep	artment for further
Other Pertinent Information					
Processing Information for	City Clerk's Office				
Post Execution Processing		Additional Routing and Pro-	cessing Detai	ls	
☐ Mail vendor copy (addt'l signate		Return executed RFA to City Legal for further processing.			



\$92,535.00. Plaintiffs additionally seek damages for inconvenience, discomfort, and annoyance under their nuisance claim.

### Settlement

In preparation for settlement negotiations in this matter, I presented this case to you by email and was authorized to negotiate a settlement to resolve case. The parties have negotiated the settlement of all claims and the Legal Dept. recommends approval of settlement of this lawsuit in the amount of \$150,000.00, which includes all damages, fees, attorney fees, interest, and costs.

Respectfully,

Hayes T. Martin Assistant City Attorney City of Tulsa



JUNE 20 2024

#### SETTLEMENT APPROVAL REQUEST

Mayor G.T. Bynum

Re: Settlement Approval

Boyce, et al v. City of Tulsa, et. al, CJ-2023-00375

Dear Mayor Bynum:

I am writing to request approval of a settlement of the above-styled lawsuit brought by Attorneys Jim Buxton and Dereck Owens against the City of Tulsa on behalf of Jacob Boyce, Sarah Boyce, McKenna Boyce, Jacob Boyce II, and Minor Child J.R.B. for the amount of \$150,000.00.

### Factual Background

On September 8, 2022, wastewater from the City's collection line backed up into Plaintiffs' home. Plaintiff Sarah Boyce testified that around 4:30 AM on September 8, 2022, she arrived home from work and noticed a bad smell as she walked by Plaintiff McKenna Boyce's room. Plaintiff Jacob Boyce testified that he smelled a bad smell and thought a dog had had an accident when he went to work. He did not notice any water. Mckenna testified that she woke up in the morning and her floor was wet and there was a bad smell. McKenna woke up her mother Sarah and they began moving items out of the water. Sarah states that this was around 7:30 AM. At some point, Sarah went to home depot to get a shop vacuum. Sarah also called Jacob around noon. Jacob did not arrive home until around 1 PM and called a plumber to assist. The plumber opened the home's cleanouts, which stopped the overflow in the home. Jacob Boyce called the City for the first time at 1:48 PM. The City's emergency crew arrived at 2:25 PM and met with the residents. The emergency crew checked the upstream and downstream manholes. The emergency crew then ran a 4-inch nozzle to knock down the blockage. The emergency crew pulled out 3 gallons of roots and 2.5 gallons of grease. The emergency crew relieved the blockage by 3:00 PM.

### **Claimed Damages**

Plaintiffs' home was significantly damaged as a result of the overflow. As such, Plaintiffs have provided estimates of \$138,147.30 and \$177,853.80 for remediation and reconstruction of their home. Plaintiffs also seek personal property damages of