### Resolution

Version 2.5 released on 6/29/23 Use for all types of Resolutions



CITY COUNCIL USE ONLY	Tracking #	<i>t</i> :		CITY CLERK USE ONLY
Date Received:	Committee		Scanned	Date: 01,29,2024
Committee Date:	Hearing Date:		□ Posted	Item#2501,00324
Agenda Date.	1 <sup>st</sup> Agenda Date: 2 <sup>nd</sup> Agenda Date:		Drosted	item#.EGGT 6GGD 24T
All departm	nent items requiring Council approv	val must be submitted t	through the M	layor's Office.
Board Approval		Other Board Name		City Council Approval <b>② Yes</b> ○ No
Department Legal	Contact Name T. Michelle McGrew (tmd)	Email mmcgrew@cityoftu	lsa.org	Phone 9185967717
Resolution Type Authorizing Sinking Fund Credit or Payment		Owner-Grantor Rocket Properties,	LLC	
Amount \$1,895,000.00	Case Number CJ-2018-620	TMAPC Number		Council District
Description (Subject)		Bid/Project Number		
Section	Township	Range		Addition
Lot	Block	Address		
			TOTAL:	
Approvals				
Departme	nt:			Date: 1.16 25
Leg			_	Date: Ula 35
Boa			_	Date:
May			_	Date:
Oth	er:		_	Date:
Policy Statement				
Entry Upon Jury Verdict was costs and attorney fees. (See after resolution of an appeal;	ound in favor of the Plaintiff, Rocket Plaintiff, Rocket Plaintiff, Rocket Plaintiff, Rocket Plaintiff, 2023 granting jude attached Journal Entry) The Journal however, payment of the jury verdict is blved. Pre-judgment interest, costs an	gment against the City of Entry has undergone se requested to stop any f	of Tulsa plus po everal amendm further accrual	re and post judgment interest, ents and remains unresolved of post judgment interest while
	solution. Request Mayor approve payr de payable to Rocket Properties, LLC			
Emergency Clause?  O Yes	Reason for Emergency Clause			
○ No				

# Processing Information for City Clerk's Office Post Execution Processing ☐ Mail vendor copy (addt'l signature copies attached) ☐ Must be filed with other governmental entity ☐ Addt'l governmental entity approval(s) required

Additional Routing and Processing Details	
Please return executed RFA and Resolution to City Legal for	
further processing.	





## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

AHOMA	DISTRICT COURT
	JAN 17 2023
No. CJ-18-620-LaFortui	DON NEWBERRY, Court Clerk STATE OF OKLA. TULSA COUNTY

vs. Plaintiff, )

vs. )

CITY OF TULSA, )

Defendant. )

ROCKET PROPERTIES, LLC, an Oklahoma limited liability company,

#### JOURNAL ENTRY UPON JURY VERDICT

This matter came on before this Court for jury trial on October 3-6, 2022. Plaintiff, Rocket Properties, LLC, was present with its counsel, Anthony P. Sutton and Marlin R. Davis; the Defendant, City of Tulsa, was present with its counsel, T. Michelle McGrew, Mark Swiney, and Lawson Vaughn. The jury was impaneled and sworn. Upon hearing sworn testimony, receiving documentary evidence, the instructions of the Court, and argument of counsel in this inverse condemnation case, the jury returned its verdict (10-2) in favor of Plaintiff, Rocket Properties, LLC, and against the Defendant, City of Tulsa, and awarded just compensation to Plaintiff in the amount of \$1,895,000.00.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff, Rocket Properties, LLC, be granted judgment against the Defendant, City of Tulsa, for the sum of \$1,895,000.00 plus pre- and post-judgment interest, costs, and attorney fees as may be determined upon motion of Plaintiff.

17th January, 202

JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT

Q

Approved as to form:

Anthony P. Sutton, OBA #8781 Attorney for Plaintiff

T. Michelle McGrew, OBA #20279 Attorney for Defendant



January 16, 2025

To: Mayor Monroe Nichols IV:

From: T. Michelle McGrew, Senior Assistant City Attorney

Re: Rocket Properties, LLC v. City of Tulsa

I am requesting authorization to pay the jury verdict of \$189,000.00 so the City does not keep accruing post-judgment interest at over \$1,000.00 per day. The Oklahoma Court of Civil Appeals affirmed the verdict amount and also granted Rocket's appeal, claiming the trial court failed to properly calculate the interest rates for pre-judgment and post-judgment interest. It remanded to the trial court to decide issues of the interest rate that should be applied to pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.

#### **SUMMARY OF CASE**

This inverse condemnation case went to trial October 3–6, 2022. Rocket claimed the City "took his entire property", four and a half acres of low-lying, bowl-shape property at 91st and Yale. Rocket's property lies in a Tulsa Regulatory flood plain. The property can still be developed even though it is in a flood plain, however, the developer must comply with Tulsa Revised Ordinances which include the Earth Change Permit. The jury found in Rocket's favor and awarded \$189,000.00, as "just compensation" for the property.

The City appealed on the grounds that Rocket failed to exhaust its administrative remedies before filing suit, thus the trial court had no subject matter jurisdiction. Rocket's Property is in a Tulsa Regulatory flood plain. Rocket wanted to develop the property and sought an Earth Change Permit, required by the City. Rocket intentionally failed to complete the calculation required. Rocket filed a counter-appeal on the grounds that the trial court erred in calculating pre-judgment and post-judgment interest rates.

I have, in good faith, tried to negotiate with Rocket's counsel to resolve the issues of the interest rates, to no avail. Rocket's counsel, however, seems intent on delaying the process as long as possible, in order to keep accruing post-judgment interest. My recommendation, with your approval, is to pay the judgment and stop the accrual of post-judgment interest.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

# (Published in the Tulsa Daily Commerce & Legal News, , 2025.)

#### RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING PAYMENT IN FULL OF A JUDGMENT SETTLEMENT, FROM SURPLUS MONIES IN THE SINKING FUND; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

WHEREAS, on the 17 day of January, 2023, in Case No. CJ-2018-620, filed in the District Court of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, judgment was entered pursuant to a Jury Award in favor of Plaintiff Rocket Properties, LLC, and against the City of Tulsa, Defendant, in the sum of One Million Eight Hundred Ninety-Five Thousand Dollars (\$1,895,000.00), which judgment has been approved by the Court; and

WHEREAS, it appears from a survey of the Sinking Fund that there is a surplus of cash and investments in said fund, over and above accrued liabilities and statutory obligations, which would allow the City of Tulsa to pay said judgment in full, including court costs and interest thereon; and

WHEREAS, it is desirable and in the best interest of the City of Tulsa to make such present payment out of the City's Sinking Fund, and thereafter reimburse the Sinking Fund from subsequent tax levies, as provided by 62 O.S. '435.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TULSA, OKLAHOMA:

Section 1. That the City Clerk and the City Treasurer of the City of Tulsa be, and the same hereby are, authorized to consummate and complete the payment of said judgment by drawing the following warrant on the City of Tulsa's Sinking Fund:

To the order of ROCKET PROPERTIES, LLC, Plaintiff, and ANTHONY P. SUTTON, Attorney at Law, the sum of One Million Eight Hundred Ninety-Five Thousand Dollars (\$1,895,000.00); the same representing the full amount of the judgment, now due and owing to the Plaintiff in the lawsuit identified above.

Section 2. That the City Clerk and the City Treasurer of the City of Tulsa be, and the same hereby are, authorized and directed to properly advise the Tulsa County Excise Board by appropriate reports, of the prepayment of said judgment in order that said Board may include said prepaid judgment as a necessary and lawful expense of the Sinking Fund of the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, for which appropriate tax levies may be made to replenish said Sinking Fund,

as provided by the provisions of Title 62 of the Statutes of the State of Oklahoma.

Section 3. That an emergency exists for the preservation of the public peace, health and safety, by reason whereof this Resolution shall take effect immediately from and after its passage, approval, and publication.

ADOPTED by the Council:	(Date)
ADOPTED as an emergency measure:	(Date)
OFFICE OF T	Chairman of the Council THE MAYOR
Received by the Mayor: Date	, at Time
	Dewey F. Bartlett, Jr., Mayor  By Secretary
APPROVED by the Mayor of the City of  Time	Tulsa, Oklahoma:, Date
(Seal) ATTEST:	Mayor
City Clerk APPROVED:	
City Attorney	