Nitrification Reporting



Green Belts: Joan Arthur Jeff Clayton Scott Epperly Sheila Vega

Black Belt: Melissa Gray

Charter



Problem Statement

Tracking nitrification investigations and communicating the information to the internal customers is problematic and time consuming. A spreadsheet tool is used to track incidents, but does not allow the user to search previous incidents in an efficient manner. Users cannot trend historical information by either date or location.

Charter



Goal Statement

Increase the availability and ease of use of the Nitrification Investigation Data by developing a database and providing data management tools to query, trend, and map current and historical nitrification data by event, locations, and date range.

Charter



Business Case & Benefits

Improving data access will allow Water Quality Assurance and Water Distribution to make decisions regarding distribution system operation and maintenance. Historical nitrification data may also be used to educate future waterline replacement and extensions to improve water distribution system service levels and reduce cost of dead end line maintenance. Identification and the ability to map areas of chronic or repeat nitrification incidents will allow the data to be incorporated into the likelihood of failure and risk score for waterline assets.





Nitrification events happen when we find a total chlorine(CI_2) level of <1.0mg/L OR a nitrite (NO₂) level of >0.020mg/L in the distribution system.

One tool for this project that our group used was a Process Map.

This shows that for every nitrification event, Water Quality Assurance (WQA) has to enter results into the nitrite log no fewer than 3 times, and we have to communicate with Water Distribution (WD) every time we follow up at a site or fire hydrant.

Current Process



TC095	5918 W. Charles Page Blvd	QuikStop	6/6/2018	2.4	0.022	Went to site for routine TCR monitoring. Cl2 2.4mg/L, NO2 0.022mg/L. Will investigate.
						Cl2 2.1mg/L, NO2 0.073mg/L; AP68 FH51 Cl2 1.9mg/L, NO2 0.079mg/L; AP68 FH5 Cl2 2.1mg/L, NO2 0.051mg/L. Emailed R. Marrs to turn up blow-off
			6/7/2018	2.1	0.073	valve; will check again Wednes day 6/13. (Blowoff turned up 6/12/18 AM)
						Went for further investigation. At site, Cl2 2.0 mg/L, NO2 0.079 mg/L. AP68 FH51 Cl2 2.1 mg/L, NO2 0.090 mg/L. AP68 FH5 Cl2 2.1 mg/L, NO2 0.071 mg/L.
			6/13/2018	2.0	0.079	Since it's only been one days ince the blowoff was turned up, we will return on Friday to investigate further.
						Went back for further investigation. At site, Cl2 2.0mg/L, NO2 0.092mg/L; AP68 FH51 Cl2 2.0mg/L, NO2 0.099mg/L; AP68 FH5 Cl2 2.1mg/L, NO2
			6/15/2018	2.0	0.092	0.062mg/L. Will notify WD.
						Update from WD: Blow-offturned up and flushed over weekend. At site, Cl2 2.4mg/L, N02 0.010mg/L; FH51 Cl2 1.9mg/L, N02 0.062mg/L; FH5 Cl2
			6/18/2018	2.4	0.010	2.0 mg/L, NO2 0.063 mg/L. As ked us to follow up on Wednesday.
						Follow-up today: AP68 FH51 Cl2 2.0mg/L, NO2 0.053mg/L; AP68 FH5 Cl2 2.0mg/L, NO2 0.050mg/L. At site, Cl2 2.1mg/L, NO2 0.051mg/L. R. Marrs said to
			6/20/2018	2.1	0.051	check it next week, as it will take some time for the water to turn over out there.
						Follow-up today: AP68 FH5 Cl2 2.1mg/L, NO2 0.048mg/L; AP68 FH51 Cl2 2.1mg/L, NO2 0.072mg/L. Informed R. Marrs of results. He said they would flush
			6/26/2018			the line, but FH51 doesn't have much pulling from it. Will checks ite again on 7/5/18.
			6/27/2018	2.2	0.040	WD went to site; Cl2 2.2mg/L, N02 0.040mg/L. Turned up blow-off valve.
			6/28/2018			WD went to AP68 FH5 N02 0.008; AP68 FH50 N02 0.016mg/L. Will return 7/5/18.
			7/5/2018			Went to site for routine TCR monitoring, but sample area was blocked by shelves and boxes. Will sample next week.
			7/18/2018	2.3	0.059	Went to site for routine TCR monitoring. Cl2 2.3mg/L, NO2 0.059mg/L.
						Went to area for follow-up. AP68 FH5 Cl2 1.9 mg/L, NO2 0.038 mg/L; AP68 FH51 Cl2 1.8 mg/L, NO2 0.063 mg/L. Got information from R. Marrs that blow-off
			7/19/2018			has been increased.
			7/26/2018	2.1	0.050	Went to site for routine TCR monitoring. Cl2 2.1mg/L, NO2 0.050mg/L.
			8/2/2018	2.2	0.024	Went to site for routine TCR monitoring. Cl2 2.2mg/L, NO2 0.024mg/L. Will follow up next week.
						Went to area for follow-up. AP68 FH51 Cl2 2.1mg/L, NO2 0.055mg/L; AP68 FH5 Cl2 2.2mg/L, NO2 0.053mg/L. Will notify WD. Update from WD (08/13/)-
			8/10/2018			unidirectional flush at FH 50 - post flush readings : Cl2 2.4 mg/L, N02 0.012 mg/L
						Went to area for follow-up. AP68 FH51 Cl2 2.2mg/L, NO2 0.065mg/L; AP68 FH5 Cl2 2.2mg/L, NO2 0.053mg/L. Site has been placed on a weekly flush
			8/17/2018			s chedule. Will continue to monitor.
			8/21/2018	2.2	0.071	Went to site for routine TCR monitoring/follow-up. Cl2 2.2mg/L, NO2 0.071mg/L. Will follow up.
						Went to site for routine TCR monitoring/follow-up. Cl2 1.8mg/L at 5 minutes, 1.5mg/L at 10 minutes, 1.3mg/L at 15 minutes, and 1.6mg/L at 20
			9/6/2018	1.6	0.063	minutes. NO2 0.063mg/L.
			9/7/2018			Went to area for follow-up. AP68 FH51 Cl2 2.4mg/L, NO2 0.066mg/L. AP68 FH5 Cl2 2.3mg/L, NO2 0.089mg/L. Will notify WD.
			9/20/2018			Went to area for routine TCR. Total Cl2 inside building 2.2 mg/L, NO2 0.097 mg/L. Will notify WD.
			9/25/2018			Went to area for follow-up. AP68 FH51 Cl2 2.2mg/L, NO2 0.085mg/L; AP68 FH5 Cl2 2.2mg/L, NO2 0.070mg/L. Will notify WD.
			10/4/2018	2.1	0.086	Went to site for routine TCR monitoring/follow-up. Total Cl2 2.1mg/L, NO2 0.086mg/L. Will follow up.
			10/12/2018			Went to area for follow-up. AP68 FH51 Cl2 2.2mg/L, NO2 0.088mg/L. AP68 FH5 Cl2 2.3mg/L, NO2 0.077mg/L. Will notify WD.
			10/15/2018			WD: Flushed 68-50 to Cl2 2.6mg/L, NO2 0.023mg/L
			10/17/2018	2.3	0.079	Went to site for routine TCR monitoring. Cl2 2.3 mg/L, NO2 0.079 mg/L. Will follow up.

Water Quality Assurance has been updating an Excel workbook since 2013 for every nitrification event our group finds in the distribution system. All of the chlorine and nitrite data from DEQ-approved sites have their own columns, but any follow-up data that we gather from fire hydrants gets put into a comment box, with no easy way to find or trend what we're looking for.



		-	-	-					
File	Message McAfee E-mail Scan Adobe PDF								
[:] rom:	Vega, Sheila								
io:	Gray, Melissa								
le:									
Subject:	FW: 5918 Charles Page Blvd - Nitrite Investigation								

Went to area for follow-up. AP68 FH51 Cl 2 2.1mg/L, NO2 0.086mg/L. AP68 FH5 Cl 2 2.0mg/L, NO2 0.078mg/L. Will notify WD.

Sheila Vega | Environmental Compliance Coordinator

City of Tulsa Water and Sewer Department 4818 S. Elwood Ave., Tulsa, OK 74107 T: 918-591-4394 F: 918-591-4398 E: svega@cityoftulsa.org www.cityoftulsa.org

Visit/Like/Follow/Watch/Subscribe:

From: Vega, Sheila Sent: Friday, October 12, 2018 1:04 PM To: Gray, Melissa Subject: FW: 5918 Charles Page Blvd - Nitrite Investigation

Went to area for follow-up. AP68 FH51 Cl 2 2.2mg/L, NO2 0.088mg/L. AP68 FH5 Cl 2 2.3mg/L, NO2 0.077mg/L. Will notify WD.

Sheila Vega | Environmental Compliance Coordinator

City of Tulsa Water and Sewer Department 4818 S. Elwood Ave., Tulsa, OK 74107 T: 918-591-4394 F: 918-591-4398 E: svega@citvofulsa.org www.citvofulsa.org

Visit/Like/Follow/Watch/Subscribe

From: Vega, Sheila Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 3:03 PM To: Gray, Melissa Subject: RE: 5918 Charles Page Blvd - Nitrite Investigation

Went to area for follow-up. AP68 FH51 Cl2 2.2mg/L, NO2 0.085mg/L; AP68 FH5 Cl2 2.2mg/L, NO2 0.070mg/L. Will notify WD.

Sheila Vega | Environmental Compliance Coordinator

City of Tulsa Water and Server Department 4818 S. Elwood Ave., Tulsa, OK 74107 T: 918-591-4394 F: 918-591-4398 E: <u>sveqa@cityoftulsa.org</u> www.cityoftulsa.org Each day that we get data for an investigation, we email those results to Water Distribution.

In situations that the water quality is at a point that we don't feel an email will be seen fast enough, we call Water Distribution for a faster response.

In reply, we receive emails or phone calls telling us what action was taken, or in some cases, no information at all.



Because of this back-and-forth between the two groups, the occasional missed written communication, and the difficult-toread Excel file we track data in, it is difficult to get a clear, entire picture of the water quality in an area we're investigating.



Voice of the Customer



Our group identified that our main customer is the supervisor of the Water Distribution group that remediates the nitrite issues.

We created a list of questions we felt were important, and went to get the Voice of the Customer.

Questions for WDS Operations (SSGB Project)

- I How do you use the data from WQA? A Point to aim. QA locates through spots Use data to fix issues IN Propertarea and Bring quelity up to neet regulations.
- 2. What are questions you ask WQA? QA Giving information rather than asking questions.

```
3. What type of access is needed for historical info?
It would help Wb to have historical data
to determine repect offendors.
```

4. How is an incident reported? QA contacts WD could use both small for emergency report for more routine.

```
5. What field data is collected?

Rewtine - pit, Torp, conductivity, Free and Total Cla, bacterial, NO2

Twentent - Total elg, NO2

Wb - Flow Rate, Cla NO2

6. Do you create a Workorder for incident?

Wb - Raper Presently

Eventually - Lucity
```

Voice of the Customer



Our group identified that our main customer is the supervisor of the Water Distribution group that remediates the nitrite issues.

We created a list of questions we felt were important, and went to get the Voice of the Customer.

Questions for WDS Operations (SSGB Project)

- I How do you use the data from WQA? A Point to aim. QA locates throught spots Use data to fix issues. IN Purpoint area and Bring quality up to neet regulations.
- 2. What are questions you ask WQA? QA Giving information rather than asking guestions.

3. What type of access is needed for historical info? It would help Wb to have historical data to determine repeat offenders.

4. How is an incident reported? OA contacts WD could use both email for emergency report for more routine.

5. What field data is collected? Reutine - pit, Tarp, conductivity, Free and Total Cla, bacturial, NO2 Twentert - Total elg, NO2 Wb - Flow Rate, Cla NO2 6. Do you create a Workorder for incident? No Raper Presently Eventually - Lucity

From these questions, we realized:

1: We need a more reliable way to communicate what each group has done

2: It would be helpful to Water Distribution if they had all of the historical data on a site, rather than piecemeal reporting

3: We need a better tracking system than a comment log in an Excel file



Flushing Worksheet $Date _ 10 - 62 - 62$ • Crew 399• Atlas Page <u>68</u> • Address <u>5978 Charles by</u> Blud. • Hydrant Number <u>50</u> • Pre-Flush • CL2 <u>2.4</u>

- Gallons Flushed

o NO2

Post Flush

Comments



From this meeting, Water Distribution came up with a Flushing Worksheet that they send us every time they go to a site for remedial action.

It includes all of the information we need to track what is being done to a site, and is filled out and sent to us on a daily basis for all of the investigations we're involved in.

This not only helps Water Quality Assurance track and log what is going on for a more complete picture, but also helps Water Distribution to have a written account of what they've done, what they haven't done, what has helped, and what has not.

Water Information Management Solution (WIMS)



Hach WIMS is a software that is designed to manage data for water and wastewater utilities.

It secures data collection, streamlines reporting, and helps you see the complete picture of your water quality.

This tool is one that the City of Tulsa has already invested in, and we wanted to use it for nitrite reporting.

Vednesday, Octob	er 24, 20	18																	
	10/01/20	18 thru 1	0/31/2018																
	Last	Min	Max	Avg		LAST R	RESULT IN	SYSTEM	1			Last	Min	Max	Avg		LAST	RESULT IN	SYSTEM
EFF DO MG/L MIN 7	8	7	9	8		3	5		V		AB #1 MLSS mg/L	2,700	2,500	3,600	3,000		1250	2500	37
EFF BOD 5 MG/L	4.80	2.80	10.00	5.66		ý.,			- 1 - 1		AB #2 MLSS mg/L	3,900	2,100	3,900	3,029				
EFF Alk Tot MG/L	150.0	120.0	150.0	134.4	50	94	10	15		5	AB #3 MLSS mg/L					0	1,250	200	3/3
EFF TSS MG/L	4.8	2.6	8.7	4.6		6	13	19			AB #4 MLSS mg/L	1,300	1,100	3,200	2,024		1250	2500	375
EFF Nitrate MG/L	8.8	8.6	11.0	9.9		ļu					AB AVGS SVI Average	85	71	89	80			100	
EFF CI2 Res MG/L					0	3	10	10			ABs AVG MCRT Days	23	4	50	21		L.Ĵ.		
EFF pH Hi	7.1	6.6	7.1	6.9				10			DIG 1 Temp Sludge F	100.8	99.6	100.9	100.3			10 177	
EFF Flow Mgd	15.50	14.70	26.10	18.10		25	50	75	10	0	DIG 2 Temp Sludge F								
DATA EN	TRY FOR	RMS				REPO	ORT BU	TTONS		-	DIG 3 Temp Sludge F	101.0	100.4	102.3	101.0	90	94	98	10
Biomonitori	ng Report	s Data				м	OR NORTH	SIDE			DIG 4 Temp Sludge F	102.7	100.4	102.9	101.0	90	94	98	10
Blo	Blower Data			1	DMR	Arsenic	Quarterly N	lorthside	WWIP		DIG % Vol Sol Reduct	50.6	-57.0	71.2	50.6	20		50	8
Daily Data Entr	y - Northsi	de WWT	р	1	DMR Bio	monitori	ng Quarter	hy Northsia	de WWTP		REPORT BUTTONS					REF	PORT BUT	TONS	
Disi	nfection				DME	Cn Ha A	PR-MAY N	orthside V	WWTP		DMR OCT 1-15 BC Flow Northside WW/TP						Northsia	<u>te Weekty Blo</u>	wer Repo

Data Entry



ile	Edit Format		,,								Constant of the second s	
		<	Jun 2018	> Friday,	June 01, 2018	Comments Calc	V S Quick Filter					
19		(🖪		• 🖓 💼 🖣	F	Approve Approv	e All					
Entry	y Min		Daily Limit Min	Varl	nto	32113 TC095 T	.Cl2 (mg/l)					
	Max		Max	Equat	ion							
		Dai	Nitrite Investigation Charles Pa	s\TC095\5918 W. age Blvd.	Nitrite Investigations\TC095\68-51		Nitrite Investigatio	ons\TC095\68-5	Nitrite Investigat	ions\TC095\68-50	Nitrite Investigations\TC095	
		V Com	32113 TC095 T.Cl2	32114 TC095 NO2	32131 68-51 T. Cl2	32132 68-51 NO2	32121 68-5 T. Cl2	32122 68-5 NO2	32141 68-50 T. Cl2	32142 68-50 NO2	32159 TC095 Comments	
			mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mgA	N	
	1 Fri											
	2 Sat	_										
	3 Sun	_										
	4 Mon	_										
	5 Tue	-										
	6 Wed	-	2.4	0.022	10	0.070		0.054				
	7 Thu	-	2.1	0.073	1.9	0.079	2.1	0.051				
	0 Pot	-										
	10 Cup	-										
	11 Mon											
	12 Tue										Blowoff turned	
	13 Wed		2.0	0.079	2.1	0.090	2.1	0.071				
	14 Thu											
hum	15 Fri		2.0	0.092	2.0	0.099	2.1	0.062				
Jan	16 Sat											
	17 Sun											
	18 Mon		2.4	0.010	1.9	0.062	2.0	0.063			Blowoff turned up over week	
	19 Tue	_									L	
	20 Wed	_	2.1	0.051	2.0	0.053	2.0	0.050				
	21 Thu	-										
	22 Fri	-										
	23 Sat											
	24 Sun 25 Mon	-										
	25 MON 26 Tue				21	0.072	21	0.048				
	27 Wed		2.2	0.040	2.1	0.072	2.1	0.040			Binwoff turner	
	28 Thu		2.2	0.040				0.008		0.016	and the second sec	
	29 Fri							0.000		0.010		
	30 Sat											

Although it does take some effort and time to initially set up each location in WIMS, when it's finished we get a data entry form for each location so it's just a matter of plugging in numbers and adding additional comments.



After the data has been entered, rather than sending an email to Water Distribution about what was done on that day, we can send them, with just a couple of clicks, an entire history of a particular site and the locations of investigation.

	5918 Charles Page Blvd		AP68	FH51	AP68	FH5	AP68	FH50	Comments
	CI2 (mg/L)	NO2 (mg/L)	CI2 (mg/L)	NO2 (mg/L)	Cl2 (mg/L)	NO2 (mg/L)	CI2 (mg/L)	NO2 (mg/L)	
	32113	32114	32131	32132	32121	32122	32141	32142	32159
06/01/2018									
06/02/2018									
06/03/2018									
06/04/2018									
06/05/2018									
06/06/2018	2.4	0.022							
06/07/2018	2.1	0.073	1.9	0.079	2.1	0.051			
06/08/2018									
06/09/2018									
06/10/2018									
06/11/2018									
06/12/2018									Blowoff turned up
06/13/2018	2.0	0.079	2.1	0.090	2.1	0.071			
06/14/2018									
06/15/2018	2.0	0.092	2.0	0.099	2.1	0.062			
06/16/2018									
06/17/2018									
06/18/2018	2.4	0.010	1.9	0.062	2.0	0.063			Blowoff turned up over weekend
06/19/2018									
06/20/2018	2.1	0.051	2.0	0.053	2.0	0.050			
06/21/2018									
06/22/2018									
06/23/2018									
06/24/2018									
06/25/2018									
06/26/2018			2.1	0.072	2.1	0.048			
06/27/2018	2.2	0.040							Blowoff turned up
06/28/2018						0.008		0.016	
06/29/2018									
06/30/2018									
07/01/2018									
07/02/2018									
07/03/2018									
07/04/2018									
07/05/2018									Went to site, unable to sample
07/06/2018									
07/07/2018									
07/08/2018									
07/09/2018									
07/10/2018									
07/11/2018									
07/12/2018									



After the data has been entered, rather than sending an email to Water Distribution about what was done on that day, we can send them, with just a couple of clicks, an entire history of a particular site and the locations of investigation.

	5918 Charles Page Blvd		AP68 FH51		AP6	3 FH5	AP68	FH50	Comments
	CI2 (mg/L)	NO2 (mg/L)	Cl2 (mg/L)	NO2 (mg/L)	CI2 (mg/L)	NO2 (mg/L)	Cl2 (mg/L)	NO2 (mg/L)	
	32113	32114	32131	32132	32121	32122	32141	32142	32159
06/01/2018									
06/02/2018									
06/03/2018									
06/04/2018									
06/05/2018									
06/06/2018	2.4	0.022							
06/07/2018	2.1	0.073	1.9	0.079	2.1	0.051			
06/08/2018									
06/09/2018									
06/10/2018									
06/11/2018									
06/12/2018									Blowoff turned up
06/13/2018	2.0	0.079	2.1	0.090	2.1	0.071			
06/14/2018									
06/15/2018	2.0	0.092	2.0	0.099	2.1	0.062			
06/16/2018									
06/17/2018									
06/18/2018	2.4	0.010	1.9	0.062	2.0	0.063			Blowoff turned up over weekend
06/19/2018									
06/20/2018	2.1	0.051	2.0	0.053	2.0	0.050			
06/21/2018									
06/22/2018									
06/23/2018									
06/24/2018									
06/25/2018									
06/26/2018			2.1	0.072	2.1	0.048			
06/27/2018	2.2	0.040							Blowoff turned up
06/28/2018						0.008		0.016	
06/29/2018									
06/30/2018									
07/01/2018									
07/02/2018									
07/03/2018									
07/04/2018									
07/05/2018									Went to site, unable to sample
07/06/2018									
07/07/2018									
07/08/2018									
07/09/2018									
07/10/2018									
07/11/2018									
07/12/2018									

This should make it easier for Water Distribution to see, at a glance, whether the action they took at a site at a particular time was helpful or not.





WIMS also has the capability of graphing and trending any information that we want.

Nitrite issues are rarely a onetime, short-term problem, and sometimes it's hard to determine if the actions taken are actually helping.

Graphs like this one can show us the basic trend of data, letting us know if the problem is gradually getting better or gradually getting worse, so that we can change the way we respond.

Voice of the Customer



As we were building the database in WIMS, we kept in contact with the Water Distribution Supervisor on what he wanted in these reports, and also sent him a survey.

His main request was that if a parameter fails to fall within the acceptable range, that we make it obvious in the report.

To grant this request, we were able to do conditional formatting in the WIMS reports so that any unacceptable data shows up red in the report.

	5918 Charle	s Page Blvd	68	-51	68	3-5	68	-50	Γ
	CI2 (mg/L)	NO2 (mg/L)	Cl2 (mg/L)	NO2 (mg/L)	Cl2 (mg/L)	NO2 (mg/L)	CI2 (mg/L)	NO2 (mg/L)	Γ
	32113	32114	32131	32132	32121	32122	32141	32142	Γ
06/01/2018									Γ
06/02/2018									Γ
06/03/2018									Γ
06/04/2018									
06/05/2018									Γ
06/06/2018	2.4	0.022							Γ
06/07/2018	2.1	0.073	1.9	0.079	2.1	0.051			Γ
06/08/2018									Γ
06/09/2018									Γ
06/10/2018									Γ
06/11/2018									Γ
06/12/2018									Γ
06/13/2018	2.0	0.079	2.1	0.090	2.1	0.071			Γ
06/14/2018									Γ
06/15/2018	2.0	0.092	2.0	0.099	2.1	0.062			Γ
06/16/2018									Γ
06/17/2018									Γ
06/18/2018	2.4	0.010	1.9	0.062	2.0	0.063			Γ
06/19/2018									Γ
06/20/2018	2.1	0.051	2.0	0.053	2.0	0.050			Γ
06/21/2018									Γ
06/22/2018									Γ
06/23/2018									Γ
06/24/2018									Γ
06/25/2018									Γ
06/26/2018			2.1	0.072	2.1	0.048			Γ
06/27/2018	2.2	0.040							Γ
06/28/2018						0.008		0.016	Γ
06/29/2018									t

Voice of the Customer



We've sent these reports to him concurrently with our previous method of reporting for ongoing nitrite investigations so that we can work out any issues before using it as a sole reporting tool.

A subsequent survey showed that he was happy with this format, and that it gives him a more clear picture of what's happening in the distribution system.

	5918 Charle	s Page Blvd	68	-51	68	3-5	68-50		
	CI2 (mg/L)	NO2 (mg/L)	Cl2 (mg/L)	NO2 (mg/L)	Cl2 (mg/L)	NO2 (mg/L)	Cl2 (mg/L)	NO2 (mg/L)	t
	32113	32114	32131	32132	32121	32122	32141	32142	Γ
06/01/2018									Г
06/02/2018									t
06/03/2018									t
06/04/2018									t
06/05/2018									t
06/06/2018	2.4	0.022							t
06/07/2018	2.1	0.073	1.9	0.079	2.1	0.051			t
06/08/2018									Г
06/09/2018									t
06/10/2018									T
06/11/2018									t
06/12/2018									t
06/13/2018	2.0	0.079	2.1	0.090	2.1	0.071			Г
06/14/2018									Г
06/15/2018	2.0	0.092	2.0	0.099	2.1	0.062			Г
06/16/2018									Г
06/17/2018									Г
06/18/2018	2.4	0.010	1.9	0.062	2.0	0.063			T
06/19/2018									Г
06/20/2018	2.1	0.051	2.0	0.053	2.0	0.050			Г
06/21/2018									Г
06/22/2018									Г
06/23/2018									Г
06/24/2018									T
06/25/2018									Г
06/26/2018			2.1	0.072	2.1	0.048			Г
06/27/2018	2.2	0.040							Г
06/28/2018						0.008		0.016	Г
06/29/2018									Г

Control



In an effort to control and standardize WIMS reports, we made a detailed how-to guide for setting up new sites.



Continuous Improvement





We also used the nomenclature found in Lucity so that someday in the future, the data we enter in WIMS can interface with Lucity.

When that happens, we will be able to see the history of a site or fire hydrant by clicking on the map element we're interested in.

Questions/Comments



Sheila Vega (918) 591-4394 svega@cityoftulsa.org

Joan Arthur jarthur@cityoftulsa.org

Jeff Clayton jclayton@cityoftulsa.org

Scott Epperly sepperly@cityoftulsa.org