

Parks and Recreation Master Plan **Open Public Forum**

TULSA PARKS

The best ways to participate:

- Polls
- Chat
- Live Q&A



We will begin in a few minutes.



TULSA PARKS





Parks and Recreation Master Plan Update And Cost Recovery Study



Strategic Kick-Off

- Critical Success Factors
- Key focus areas
- Meeting schedule
- Identification of **Key Stakeholders**
- Gathering of All Relevant Documents
- · Briefing with **Decision Makers**





| Stages of Public | Engagement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Information Gathering Needs Assessment Staff Stakeholders Public Meetings Focus groups | 2 Findings & Visioning • Presentation/Feedback Sessions • Staff • Stakeholders |

T

- Interviews
- Surveys
- Online engagement
- Inventory
- All Assets
- All Program Locations
- Other Providers Level of Service Analysis
 - GIS component-based mapping
- · Quality, Quantity, Functionality
- Community Profile
- Historical & Planning

- Context
- Demographics
- Trends

- Findings & Visioning
- Presentation/Feedback Sessions
- Staff
- Stakeholders
- Decision Makers
- What We Have Discovered
- **Key Issues Matrix**
- Key Ideas and Themes
- for Improvement Analysis
- - Programming
 - Operations
 - Maintenance
 - Marketing & Communications
- Financial Resources
- Draft Final Recommendations Plan Summary Findings Review Staff Strategies Long-Term Vision · Public Decision Maker Short-Term Action Implications Distribute/Post Financial Operational Maintenance Recommendations Action Plan Tasks Implementation Timing Costs Action Plan Review & Revisions Annual Review

Typically our Strategic/Master Plans include a 5-year focus on operations, 10-year focus on capital, and 20 year strategic vision. Other elements and tools are added as needed for a community-specific plan.

Information Gathering Process November/December

- Inventory/Level of Service Analysis
- Stakeholder Meetings
- Executive Team Meetings
- Staff Meetings
- Open Public Meeting

January 2021

- Community Survey
 - Random Sample
 - Open Link





QUESTION ONE

What are the strengths of the City related to Parks, Recreation, Trails, Facilities, and Activities that should continue?

Quantity and distribution of parks

Trail network

Oxley Nature Center/Redbud Preserve

Free and affordable programs

Park Staff repour with community

Department values community input

Amount of park and open space acres

Able to do a lot with a little (budget/staff)

Public/Private partnerships

Department leadership

Physical assets of parks

Recent expansion of the trail system

Diversity of parks

Beauty of the park system

Department staff

Youth programs

Programs and staff at Reed Park

School Partnerships

Recent park activation goals

Inclusive to minority groups

Linnaeus teaching garden

Variety of programs

Dance programs

Online resources for educators

QUESTION 2

Conversely, what are the weaknesses that need addressing through the Master Plan update?

| Deferred maintenance of parks, trails, and buildings |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Program equity and distribution |
| Access to parks (LOS) |
| Security and lighting in parks |
| Reduced staff and hours at Oxley |
| Cancellation of school tours at Oxley |
| Department is underfunded |
| Not enough staff to handle routine park maintenance |
| Bike amenities near trails |
| Wayfinding signs on trails |
| Park amenities in neighborhood parks |
| Programs innovation |
| Connectivity of parks and trails |
| Department is under valued by decision makers |
| Transfer of knowledge and documentation between staff |
| Staff is hesitant to take on new task or amenities that could increase workload |
| Some playground equipment is outdated |
| Distribution of park amenities |
| Condition and maintenance of golf course |
| Splashpads are outdated and many need repair |
| A second of second means list a cub contains |

Amount of mulch and gravel in park system

| Communication with the community |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Linnaeus Garden Center is underutilized |
| Loss of amenities at Owen Park |
| Lack of investment in park system |
| Loss of swimming pools |
| Golf fees are below market rate |
| No dedicated grant writer in the Department |
| Distribution of recreation centers |
| <u>Unused greenspace (donated pocket parks)</u> |
| Vandalism |
| Closure of the Rodeo grounds and concert grounds at Mohawk Park |
| Department branding and marketing of programs |
| Facility reservations system |
| Challenges with having the Soccer Complex unlocked |
| Communication with sub-contractors on park mow schedule |
| Hard to identify who operates a park |
| Most Restrooms are locked or not properly maintained |
| Condition of paths and trails |
| Parks not equipped with water fountains/filling stations |
| Not enough parking at several parks |
| Maintenance of tree canopy |



QUESTION 3

What additional programming activities do you feel should be offered by Tulsa Parks that are currently not available?

Greater distribution and access to programs is needed More bike tune-up and safety days Outdoor programming in parks Physical fitness opportunities Master Gardner program Youth trips Senior programs More Learn to Swim programs Academic enrichment programs More coordination and collaboration with neighboring agencies on program delivery More community events in the parks (art/music/popup shops) Pollinator gardens and programs After school program More consistency in recreation programing across the City Pickleball Bilingual programs Art in the Park program



What improvements are needed at existing Parks, Trails, Facilities, and Open Space Parks owned or operated by the City? Ponds at Owen Park and Centennial Park

Parks and infrastructure through the systems require updates

ADA access

Benches along trails and paths

Bilingual signage

Carl Smith Sports Complex

Rock stairs, erosion and flooding at Zink Park

Fairways, sand traps and paths at Golf Courses

Trails (erosion)

Repurpose Tennis Courts (Bike garden/basketball courts)

Playground at Terrace Park

Paths near Mansion at Garden Center

Remote shelters at Oxley Nature Center

Shade and water at dog parks

Shelter and electricity at Darlington Park

Water fountains or filling station are needed in parks

More native plants

More amenities at Hunter Park

Parking at Lacy Park

Many improvement are needed at Chamberlin Park and Malone Recreation Center

Parking at Challenger Seven Park

Closed Recreation Centers should be opened

Owen Park needs to be renovated

Many improvements are needed at Reed Park and Recreation Center

Playground at Whiteside Park

Electrical in Parks

Park beautification

Innovative playground equipment

Mingo trail needs a controlled burn and creek needs to be cleaned

Redbud Preserve needs many improvements

Timer lights on basketball courts

Fenced tot lots

Quantity and quality of parking lots

Trail connectivity

Enhancement of Pocket Parks

Monarch waystations

Instruments in parks for play

QUESTION 5

What new facilities/amenities would you like to see available?

Splash pad at Turner Park and Darlington Park

Parks designed for older youth

Pump Track

Skateboard Park

A Recreation Center or community gathering place in each district of the City

More cycling opportunities

Equestrian Center at the Mohawk Park

Outdoor performance spaces (amphitheater or performance pads along river)

Loop trails in park system

Trail to connect North Tulsa to Flat Rock Creek

More splash pads

Pollinator Gardens

More aquatics opportunities

Wading Pool

Walking trail at Darlington Park

Additional Community Gardens

| Indoor Soccer |
|-----------------------------------------------------|
| More shade and gathering spaces in parks |
| <u>Climbing wall</u> |
| Park shelters at Chamberlain Park and Woodward Park |
| Inclusive playground |
| Restrooms and walking path at Reed Park |
| Senior Center |
| Running track |
| Recreation Center or Clubhouse in East Tulsa |
| More basketball courts |
| Maintain natural spaces at a higher level |
| Sports courts |
| Pickleball courts/complex |
| Ninja coarse |
| Dog parks |
| A park within a 1/2 mile of all residents |
| Use parks for infill |
| |



QUESTION 6

Who are the key partners and stakeholders in the community with regards to assisting with the Master Plan? Private recreation providers

Oklahoma Wildlife Conservation

Equestrian groups

Oxley Foundation

Tulsa County Parks

GKFF (Landscape Architect)

OSU

Girl Scouts/Boy Scouts/Campfire

Tulsa Herb Society

Tulsa Public Schools

Union Public Schools

Jenks Public Schools

Tulsa City-County Library System

Southwood Garden Center

Williams Companies

Churches

Boys and Girls Club

Promotoras

Victory Church

Monarch Waystations

Tulsa Garden Club

QUESTION 7

Are there any portions of Tulsa that are underserved?

Parks, amenities and a pool are needed in North Tulsa

Parks, programs and a pool are needed in East Tulsa

Parks are needed in the South-East near new medical facilities

Disabled population

Teens

Seniors

Hispanic population

Needs of Johnson Park community



What are the key issues and values that the City needs to consider?

Park safety and visibility

Racial inequality

Physical divisions within the City

Sustainability

KEY ISSUES Art and Culture

Performing Arts

Music

Small town feel with big city amenities

Beautiful natural amenities

Family friendly

Open space

Diversity

VALUES



What are the priorities that the City needs to consider?

| Taking care of what we have |
|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Equity |
| Sustainable and dedicated funding |
| Park safety and lighting |
| Pragmatism |
| Condition of Golf Courses |
| Health and wellness opportunities (trails/programs) |
| Livability |
| Trail connectivity |
| Public-Private Partnerships |
| Improved access to parks |
| <u>Preserving the ponds</u> |
| Park and building aesthetics |
| Inclusive playground and restrooms |
| Restrooms in parks |
| Safe places for youth |
| Maintaining a family focus |
| Internet in parks |
| A focus on education |

Learn to Swim programs

Taking care of the low hanging fruit in parks within the first 3 years of plan

Making Oxley a destination location

The use of volunteers

Innovative playground equipment

The use of natural elements in park landscape

Nature play opportunities

Educational and wayfinding signage

Repurposing of unused sports facilities

Evaluation of park rules

Enforcement of no smoking in parks

Variety of park amenities

The development of signature parks

A tree canopy plan that goes beyond an inventory

Accessibility within parks

Marketing/branding of parks and programs

More robust website and social media presence

Maintain natural spaces at a higher level

Next Steps January 2021

- Community Survey
 - Random Sample
 - Open Link

| Project Timeline | ОСТ | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------|-----|-----------------|-----|-----------|---------------|-----------|------------|
| PROJECT PHASE: | ѕко | Information Gathering | | | Visioning/Draft | | | | Final | |
| A. STRATEGIC KICK-OFF, AND DETERMINATION O | F CRITIC | AL SUCCE | SS FACTO | ORS | | | | | | |
| Contracting and Project Set-up | • | | | | | | | | | |
| Strategic Kick Off | • | | | | | | | | | |
| Integration of Existing Information | • | | | | | | | | | |
| B. COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMEN | T | | | | | | | | | |
| SWOT Analysis | | • | | | | • | | | | |
| Focus Groups/Stakeholder Interviews/Public Forum | | | | ě | ~ | | | | | |
| MOE | | | | ě | | • | | | | |
| Statistically-Valid Survey | | • | | • | | • | | | | |
| C. INVENTORY AND LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Community Profile/Demographics Analysis | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trends Analysis | | | | | | | | | | |
| Inventory/Resource Mapping Only/ LOS Analysis | | | | | | | | | | |
| Programs and Services Gaps Analysis | | | | | | | | | | |
| Healthy Community Approach and GRASP Active | | • | i | | | | | | | |
| D. RESOURCE ANALYSIS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluation of Programs and Use of Facilities | | | | | | | | _ | • | |
| Financial Analysis - Present / Projected Fiscal Resourc | es | | | | | | | | • | |
| Organizational Analysis | | | | | | | | | | |
| Capital Costing Analysis | | | | | | | | | • | |
| Alternative Funding / Potential Partnerships | | | | | | | | | | |
| E. FINDINGS, VISIONING AND RESOURCE ALLOCA | TION | | | | | | | | | |
| Findings Presentation and Visioning Workshop trip | | | | | | • | | | • | |
| Key Issue Matrix | | | | | | • | | | • | |
| Pyramid Cost Recovery Methodology | | | | | | • | | | • | |
| F. DRAFT PLAN AND PRESENTATIONS | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Recommendations & Action/Implementation Plan | | | | | | • | | | • | |
| Draft Plan Document Writing | | | | | | - | | | • | |
| Draft Presentations | | | | | | | | | | |
| G. FINAL PLAN AND DELIVERABLES | | | | | | | | | | |
| Final Plan Document Editing / Formatting | | | | | | | | d | - | |
| Final Plan Presentations | | | | | | | | | • | 1 |
| Meetings with Staff and/ | | | | | | | | | | |
| or Commission members | <u>نگ</u> ن | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| | SKO | Public | | | Public | vis | ioning Dr | aft Plan Pres | entation/ | Final Stud |
| | | Workshop | | | Worksh | | rkshop | Public Wor | | Delivered |



Other comments, suggestions, and feedback?

Thank you for your time!

