

### Where are we?

**Plans & Partnerships** 

- Local Businesses & Nonprofits
- ✓ Volunteers
- SWOT Analysis
- Mapping Your Neighborhood
- Neighborhood Conditions Index (NCI)

What will we cover?

Part 1. Neighborhood Conditions Index (NCI)

Part 2. Report & Priorities

Part 3. Planning actions & exploring resources



## Part 1. What is the Neighborhood Conditions Index (NCI)?



### **Every Neighborhood:**

- Unique features
- Areas for improvement



#### **Every Neighborhood:**

- Unique features
- Areas for improvement

#### 쑮 **Category Scores** How to read your results Results above 3.75 Area Scores within each category have an unweighted value on a scale of 0 to 5 comparing the NSA to all the other NSAs in the city. The "Tulsa" column contains the Results between 1.25 and 3.75 average score for the whole city for each data point. Results below 1.25 Category Scores are not an average of the associated data points values. Additional weight is given to data points identified as priorities in the comprehensive plan. For detailed descriptions of each data point, please refer to the Data Points Glossary (pages 5-7). 4.9 3.6 Land Use Economic Development Data Point Area Score Tulsa Data Point Area Score Tulsa oximity to Sch 4.9 2.5 4.5 3.7 Population Growth 5.0 Proximity to Healthcare 3.1 Educational Attainment 0 2.4 3.0 5.0 2.7 5.0 Proximity to Social Services 3.2 Employment Density 5.0 Proximity to Financial Services 2.8 Labor Force Participation 0.0 2.7 5.0 0 2.0 Proximity to Eating Places 3.2 Median Income 2.8 5.0 Proximity to Libraries 1.0 Health Insurance Coverage 3.3 2.5 47 2.4 2.6 Proximity to Parks Employment Rate 2.2 5.0 1.4 2.4 Proximity to Retail 2.6 Poverty Rate oximity to Social Clubs 5.0 2.5 5.0 3.4 Office & Industrial Density mity to Places of Worship 3.6 1.9 5.0 Proximity to Arts & Entertainment 3.1 Proximity to Healthy Food Sources 0.0 2.2 F 2.0 4.9 Transportation 3 Data Point Area Score Tulsa 3.0 Access to Sidewalks 4.7 2.3 2.0 Access to Trails Access to Bicycle Infrastructure 5.0 2.5 Access to Public Transit 5.0 2.6 1.8 Access to Motor Vehicle 0.4 02 33 Auto-Auto Collisions Auto-Cyclist Collisions 0.1 2.8 Auto-Pedestrian Collisions

0.2 3.1 Arterial Street Surface . 4.1 2.3 Neighborhood Street Surface 1.9 2.8 5.0 1.9 Streetlights

| out of 5 Housing & No      | ighborhoods |       |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Data Point                 | Area Score  | Tulsa |
| Occupancy Rate             | 9 4.9       | 2.3   |
| Cost-Burdened Home Owners  | 0.1         | 2.6   |
| Cost-Burdened Renters      | 3.7         | 2.4   |
| Exposure to Violent Crimes | 0.3         | 2.8   |
| Housing Density            | 3.6         | 1.7   |
| Evictions                  | 2.4         | 1.0   |
| Reported Code Violations   | 3.7         | 2.0   |
| Number of Police Calls     | 0.0         | 3.2   |

### Like a Personal Health Assessment



#### **Your Personal Health Summary** contains abnormal and critical values.

VITALS

| Name                                | Result | Risk | Previous | % Change | Normal    |
|-------------------------------------|--------|------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Height                              | 64     |      | 64.25    | 0%       | 0-90      |
| Weight                              | 176    |      | 178      | -1%      | 50-300    |
| Body Mass Index (BMI)               | 30.2   | •    | 30.3     | 0%       | 18.5-24.9 |
| Waist Measurement                   | 38     |      | 38.5     | -1%      | 15-40     |
| Systolic Pressure                   | 92     | •    | 120      | -30%     | 100-119   |
| Diastolic Pressure                  | 68     |      | 82       | -21%     | 65-79     |
| Tobacco Usage                       | No     |      | No       |          | No        |
| HEART                               |        |      |          |          |           |
| Name                                | Result | Risk | Previous | % Change | Normal    |
| Total Cholesterol                   | 234    | •    | 215      | 8%       | 100-199   |
| HDL - High Density Lipoproteins     | 47     |      | 56       | -19%     | 40-999    |
| Ratio of Cholesterol to HDL         | 5      |      | 3.8      | 24%      | 0.0-5.0   |
| LDL - Low Density Lipoproteins      | 165    | •    | 141      | 15%      | 0-99      |
| VLDL - Very Low Density Lipoprotein | 22     |      | 18       | 18%      | 5-40      |
| Triglycerides                       | 110    |      | 90       | 18%      | 0-149     |
| KIDNEY                              |        |      |          |          |           |
| Name                                | Result | Risk | Previous | % Change | Normal    |
| Sodium (Na)                         | 138    |      | 141      | -2%      | 134-144   |
| Potassium (K)                       | 5.3    | •    | 4.7      | 11%      | 3.5-5.2   |



#### \* **Category Scores**

In.

#### How to read your results

Area Scores within each category have an unweighted value on a scale of 0 to 5 comparing the NSA to all the other NSAs in the city. The "Tulsa" column contains the average score for the whole city for each data point.

Category Scores are not an average of the associated data points values. Additional weight is given to data points identified as priorities in the comprehensive plan.

For detailed descriptions of each data point, please refer to the Data Points Glossary (pages 5-7).

| 4.9 Land Use                      | Land Use   |       |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------|--|--|
| Data Point                        | Area Score | Tulsa |  |  |
| Proximity to Schools              | 0 4.9      | 2.5   |  |  |
| Proximity to Healthcare           | 5.0        | 3.1   |  |  |
| Proximity to Social Services      | 5.0        | 2.7   |  |  |
| Proximity to Financial Services   | 5.0        | 2.8   |  |  |
| Proximity to Eating Places        | 5.0        | 3.2   |  |  |
| Proximity to Libraries            | 5.0        | 1.0   |  |  |
| Proximity to Parks                | 4.7        | 2.4   |  |  |
| Proximity to Retail               | 5.0        | 2.6   |  |  |
| Proximity to Social Clubs         | 5.0        | 2.5   |  |  |
| Proximity to Places of Worship    | 3.6        | 1.9   |  |  |
| Proximity to Arts & Entertainment | 5.0        | 3.1   |  |  |
| Proximity to Healthy Food Sources | 0.0        | 2.2   |  |  |

| 3.6 Economic De             | Economic Development |       |  |  |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------|--|--|
| Data Point                  | Area Score           | Tulsa |  |  |
| Population Growth           | 4.5                  | 3.7   |  |  |
| Educational Attainment      | 2.4                  | 3.0   |  |  |
| Employment Density          | 5.0                  | 3.2   |  |  |
| Labor Force Participation   | 0.0                  | 2.7   |  |  |
| Median Income               | 2.0                  | 2.8   |  |  |
| Health Insurance Coverage   | 3.3                  | 2.5   |  |  |
| Employment Rate             | 2.6                  | 2.2   |  |  |
| Poverty Rate                | 1.4                  | 2.4   |  |  |
| Office & Industrial Density | 5.0                  | 3.4   |  |  |

Results above 3.75

Results between 1.25 and 3.75 Results below 1.25

| 4.9 Transportation               |            |       |  |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------|--|
| Data Point                       | Area Score | Tulsa |  |
| Access to Sidewalks              | 9 4.7      | 3.0   |  |
| Access to Trails                 | 2.3        | 2.0   |  |
| Access to Bicycle Infrastructure | <b>5.0</b> | 2.5   |  |
| Access to Public Transit         | 6 5.0      | 2.6   |  |
| Access to Motor Vehicle          | 0.4        | 1.8   |  |
| Auto-Auto Collisions             | 0.2        | 3.3   |  |
| Auto-Cyclist Collisions          | 0.1        | 2.8   |  |
| Auto-Pedestrian Collisions       | 0.2        | 3.1   |  |
| Arterial Street Surface          | • 4.1      | 2.3   |  |
| Neighborhood Street Surface      | 1.9        | 2.8   |  |
| Streetlights                     | 5.0        | 1.9   |  |

| - 2.0<br>Housing & Neighborhoods |            |       |  |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------|--|
| Data Point                       | Area Score | Tulsa |  |
| Occupancy Rate                   | 9 4.9      | 2.3   |  |
| Cost-Burdened Home Owners        | 0.1        | 2.6   |  |
| Cost-Burdened Renters            | 3.7        | 2.4   |  |
| Exposure to Violent Crimes       | 0.3        | 2.8   |  |
| Housing Density                  | 3.6        | 1.7   |  |
| Evictions                        | 2.4        | 1.0   |  |
| Reported Code Violations         | 3.7        | 2.0   |  |
| Number of Police Calls           | 0.0        | 3.2   |  |



| Land Use                | Housing &<br>Neighborhoods        | Parks and<br>Recreation              |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Transportation          | Communities<br>(Civic Engagement) | Environment and<br>Natural Resources |
| Economic<br>Development | History, Culture,<br>& Creativity | Public Services                      |

# Where can we find NCI reports?



#### Accessing your NCI reports

#### tulsaplanning.org/nci

### TULSA PLANNING OFFICE

Home About Us v Boards & Commissions v Programs v Resources v O



#### WELCOME TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD CONDITIONS INDEX (NCI)

For Tulsans to thrive, their neighborhoods must thrive. That is why the City of Tulsa is introducing the **Neighborhood Conditions Index (NCI)**, an effort by the City of Tulsa to use data to guide the equitable investment of resources throughout the City and to assist residents in identifying assets and opportunities in their neighborhoods.

City neighborhoods were grouped into **80 Neighborhood Statistical Areas**. Using data from several public sources **80 NCI Reports** were generated to cover every neighborhood in the City.

Beautiful communities do not happen by chance, it takes a community working together and sharing the responsibility. NCI is a tool for **collaboration and community strengthening** to empower residents to work together to improve the places where they live. The goal of NCI is to **create opportunities** and a platform for the City of Tulsa and neighborhoods to partner and work more closely together towards common goals.

For more information, contact us at nci@cityoftulsa.org

#### NEWS AND UPDATES

 NCI Resource Center is now available.

#### RESOURCES

- NCI Reports
- NCI Resource Center
- Interactive Map with Reports
- City Council District NSA Map
- Frequently Asked Questions
- Data Points Glossary

#### CONTACT INFORMATION

- nci@cityoftulsa.org
- 918-596-7660 (voicemail inbox)

#### VIDEO PRESENTATION

The video is available in English and Spanish



#### Accessing your NCI reports

#### tulsaplanning.org/nci

#### **Interactive Map**

### TULSA PLANNING OFFICE

Home About Us v Boards & Commissions v Programs v Resources v O



#### WELCOME TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD CONDITIONS INDEX (NCI)

For Tulsans to thrive, their neighborhoods must thrive. That is why the City of Tulsa is introducing the **Neighborhood Conditions Index (NCI)**, an effort by the City of Tulsa to use data to guide the equitable investment of resources throughout the City and to assist residents in identifying assets and opportunities in their neighborhoods.

City neighborhoods were grouped into **80 Neighborhood Statistical Areas**. Using data from several public sources **80 NCI Reports** were generated to cover every neighborhood in the City.

Beautiful communities do not happen by chance, it takes a community working together and sharing the responsibility. NCI is a tool for **collaboration and community strengthening** to empower residents to work together to improve the places where they live. The goal of NCI is to **create opportunities** and a platform for the City of Tulsa and neighborhoods to partner and work more closely together towards common goals.

For more information, contact us at nci@cityoftulsa.org

#### NEWS AND UPDATES

 NCI Resource Center is now available.

#### RESOURCES

- NCI Reports
- NCI Resource Center
- Interactive Map with Reports
- City Council District NSA Map
- Frequently Asked Questions
- Data Points Glossary

#### CONTACT INFORMATION

- nci@cityoftulsa.org
- 918-596-7660 (voicemail inbox)

#### VIDEO PRESENTATION

The video is available in English and Spanish









## Part 2. What's in a report?



#### Overview

- Zoom-in map
- Orientation map
- Area highlights

#### Score Overview

- Top/Bottom Category Scores
- Overall, Priority Group



#### Overview

- Zoom-in map
- Orientation map
- Area highlights

#### Score Overview

- Top/Bottom Category Scores
- Overall, Priority Group



#### Overview

- Zoom-in map
- Orientation map
- Area highlights

#### Score Overview

- Top/Bottom Category Scores
- Overall, Priority Group



#### Overview

- Zoom-in map
- Orientation map
- Area highlights

#### **Score Overview**

- Top/Bottom Category Scores
- Overall, Priority Group

#### Downtown

2023 Neighborhood Conditions Index Report

#### Overview

#### About Neighborhood Conditions Index

Every Tukisan deserves to live, work, and play in a quality neighborhood. The Neighborhood Conditions Index (NCI) facilitates how to begin that work. Data collected at the neighborhood scale<sup>1</sup> has been compiled in these reports. This data does not define the neighborhood; it is empower citizens and neighborhood; groups to shape and improve their communities.



#### About this Area

The Downtown Neighborhood Statistical Area covers 1.5 square miles within the Inner Dispersal Loop. The area is where Tulsa was established, and remains the most important employment, arts, culture, and tourist area in the city. It contains several distinct districts including the Arena, Cathedral, Deco, Tuisa Arts, Blue Dome, Gieremood, and East Village Districts. Downtown boasts a wealth of Art Deco architecture along with performance spaces, art galeries, retail, dining, apartments, hoteks, office headquarters, religious buildings, museums, parks, medical centers, and sports venues, and plays host to many festivals and events.



TULSA

Score Overview **Overall Score Top Category Scores** What can you do? Neighborhoods are more than just places where we live. They are a part of our identity and where we build and find community and 5.0 5.0 4.9 prosperity. Access to services and places to work, study, shop, eat, or play, and safe ways to based on a composite get to those places are all vital needs to ensure Land Use Public Services History, Culture score that includes data from the nine Category thriving communities. ... NCI is a tool for collaboration and community Scores (pages 2-3) and strengthening, with the goal of creating selected equity data from These scores reflect some assets and strengths. opportunities for the City of Tulsa and the Area Snapshot (page 4) more on pages 2-3) neighborhoods to work more closely together After reviewing this report, we recommend: Priority Group **Bottom Category Scores** DISCUSS the report's findings with your neighbors and engage with neighborhood leaders and other local organizations to identify 3 2.0 0.3 3.4 your priorities and set goals. out of 3 VISIT tulsaplanning.org/nci to learn more about the Neighborhood Conditions Index. based on the Overall Score, with 1 being the CONTACT us if you have any questions highest priority group nci@cityoftulsa.org (bottom 25% of scores) 918-596-7660 (Voicemail inbox)
 tulsaplanning.org/nci and 3 being the lowest hese scores reflect some opportunities for priority group (top 25% of nprovement. (more on pages 2-3) scores) among 80 NSAs The data in this report is not personally identifiable. It was collected from the following public sources: United States Census Bureau, Data Axle, AmongraphicsNow, Chy of Tuisa, and INCOG. The Chy of Tuisa is composed of 60 Neighborhood Statistical Areas (INSKe), which are groupings of census tracts with neighborhoods of similar characteristics. For the pupper of the Neighborhood Conditions Index these NSA boundaries are the definition of a neighborhood This may be somewhait different than the boundaries of neighborhood accusations or other groups due to data waikability.

#### Overview

- Zoom-in map
- Orientation map
- Area highlights

#### **Score Overview**

- Top/Bottom Category Scores
- Overall, Priority Group

#### Overview About Neighborhood Conditions Index Every Tulsan deserves to live, work, and play in a quality neighborhood. The Neighborhood Conditions Index (NCI) facilitates how to begin that work. Data collected at the neighborhood scale1 has been compiled in these reports. This data does not define the neighborhood; it is meant to be a common reference point to empower citizens and neighborhood groups to shape and improve their communities. Location within City of Tuisa About this Area The Downtown Neighborhood Statistical Area covers 1.5 square miles within the Inner Dispersal Loop. The area is where Tulsa was established, and remains the most important employment, arts, culture, and tourist area in the city. It contains several distinct districts including the Arena, Cathedral, Deco, Tulsa Arts, Blue Dome, Greenwood, and East Village Districts, Downtown boasts a wealth of Art Deco architecture along with performance spaces, art galleries, retail, dining, apartments, hotels, office headquarters, religious buildings, museums, parks, medical centers, and sports venues, and plays host to many festivals and events. Score Overview Overall Score **Top Category Scores** What can you do? Neighborhoods are more than just places where we live. They are a part of our identity and where we build and find community and 4.4 5.0 4.9 5.0 prosperity. Access to services and places to work, study, shop, eat, or play, and safe ways to based on a composite get to those places are all vital needs to ensure Public Services History, Culture Land Use score that includes data from the nine Category thriving communities. ... NCI is a tool for collaboration and community Scores (pages 2-3) and strengthening, with the goal of creating selected equity data from These scores reflect some assets and strengths. opportunities for the City of Tulsa and e Area Snapshot (page 4) (more on pages 2-3) neighborhoods to work more closely together After reviewing this report, we recommend: **Priority Group Bottom Category Scores** DISCUSS the report's findings with your neighbors and engage with neighborhood 3 leaders and other local organizations to identify 2.0 0.3 3.4 your priorities and set goals. out of 3 VISIT tulsaplanning.org/nci to learn more about the Neighborhood Conditions Index. based on the Overall Score, with 1 being the CONTACT us if you have any questions highest priority group nci@cityoftulsa.org (bottom 25% of scores) 918-596-7660 (Voicemail inbox) These scores reflect some opportunities for and 3 being the lowest lority group (top 25% of ovement. (more on pages 2-3) tulsaplanning.org/nci scores) among 80 NSAs The data in this report is not personally identifiable. It was collected from the following public sources: United States Census Bureau, Data Axle, graphicsNow, City of Tulsa, and INCOG. The City of Tulsa is composed of 80 Neighborhood Statistical Areas (NSAs), which are groupings of census tracts eighborhoods of similar characteristics. For the pupose of the Neighborhood Conditions Index these NSA boundaries are the definition of a neighborhood This may be somewhat different than the boundaries of neighborhood associations or other groups due to data availability. 1

TULSA

Downtown

2023 Neighborhood Conditions Index Report

#### 9 Category Scores

- Measurable
   Datapoints
- Relative to All NSA
- Compared City
   Average

|  | <br>- |  |
|--|-------|--|
|  |       |  |
|  |       |  |
|  |       |  |

#### How to read your results

Proximity to Healthy Food Sources

Area Scores within each category have an unweighted value on a scale of 0 to 5 comparing the NSA to all the other NSAs in the city. The "Tulsa" column contains the average score for the whole city for each data point.

÷

Category Scores are not an average of the associated data points values. Additional weight is given to data points identified as priorities in the comprehensive plan.

For detailed descriptions of each data point, please refer to the Data Points Glossary (pages 5-7).

| 4.9 Land Use                      |            |       | 3.6 Econor                 |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------|----------------------------|
| Data Point                        | Area Score | Tulsa | Data Poi                   |
| Proximity to Schools              | 9 4.9      | 2.5   | Population Growth          |
| Proximity to Healthcare           | 5.0        | 3.1   | Educational Attainment     |
| Proximity to Social Services      | 5.0        | 2.7   | Employment Density         |
| Proximity to Financial Services   | 5.0        | 2.8   | Labor Force Participation  |
| Proximity to Eating Places        | 5.0        | 3.2   | Median Income              |
| Proximity to Libraries            | 5.0        | 1.0   | Health Insurance Covera    |
| Proximity to Parks                | 4.7        | 2.4   | Employment Rate            |
| Proximity to Retail               | 5.0        | 2.6   | Poverty Rate               |
| Proximity to Social Clubs         | 5.0        | 2.5   | Office & Industrial Densit |
| Proximity to Places of Worship    | 3.6        | 1.9   |                            |
| Proximity to Arts & Entertainment | 5.0        | 3.1   |                            |

| <br>S.6 Economic De         | Development |       |  |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------|--|
| Data Point                  | Area Score  | Tulsa |  |
| Population Growth           | 4.5         | 3.7   |  |
| Educational Attainment      | 2.4         | 3.0   |  |
| Employment Density          | 5.0         | 3.2   |  |
| Labor Force Participation   | 0.0         | 2.7   |  |
| Median Income               | 0 2.0       | 2.8   |  |
| Health Insurance Coverage   | 3.3         | 2.5   |  |
| Employment Rate             | 2.6         | 2.2   |  |
| Poverty Rate                | 1.4         | 2.4   |  |
| Office & Industrial Density | 5.0         | 3.4   |  |

Results above 3.75

Results below 1.25

Results between 1.25 and 3.75

| 4.6            | Communities      |            |       |
|----------------|------------------|------------|-------|
|                | Data Point       | Area Score | Tulsa |
| Population G   | rowth            | 4.5        | 3.7   |
| Proximity to 5 | locial Services  | 5.0        | 2.7   |
| Proximity to P | laces of Worship | 3.6        | 1.9   |
| Proximity to S | ocial Clubs      | 5.0        | 2.5   |
| Voter Registra | ation            | 1.3        | 3.1   |
| Internet Acce  | 55               | 1.3        | 2.5   |

| History, Culture & Creativity        |             |       |  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------|--|
| Data Point                           | Area Score  | Tulsa |  |
| Proximity to Eating Places           | 5.0         | 3.2   |  |
| Proximity to Schools                 | 4.9         | 2.5   |  |
| Proximity to Libraries               | 5.0         | 1.0   |  |
| Proximity to Retail                  | 5.0         | 2.6   |  |
| Proximity to Arts & Entertainment    | <b>5</b> .0 | 3.1   |  |
| Proximity to Places of Worship       | 3.6         | 1.9   |  |
| National Register of Historic Places | 4.7         | 2.9   |  |
| Destination Districts                | • 4.4       | 2.2   |  |
| Historic Preservation Districts      | 0.0         | 1.7   |  |

3.4 Parks & Recreation Data Point Area Score Tulsa ximity to Parks 4.7 2.4 ximity to Senior Centers 0.0 0.8 Proximity to Playgrounds 0.6 1.9 Access to Trails 2.3 2.0

| Environment &                      | Environment & Natural Resou |       |  |  |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Data Point                         | Area Score                  | Tulsa |  |  |
| Flood Risk                         | 0 4.9                       | 4.2   |  |  |
| Electric Vehicle Charging Stations | <b>5.0</b>                  | 2.4   |  |  |
| Tree Canopy                        | 0.0                         | 3.1   |  |  |
| Highway Noise & Pollution          | 0.4                         | 2.7   |  |  |
| Permeable Surface                  | 0.0                         | 3.7   |  |  |

-

| 5.0<br>Public Service   | Public Services |       |  |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-------|--|
| Data Point              | Area Score      | Tulsa |  |
| Proximity to Schools    | 4.9             | 2.5   |  |
| Proximity to Libraries  | 5.0             | 1.0   |  |
| Fire Response Time      | 4.7             | 3.2   |  |
| Water Service           | <b>3</b> .3     | 3.7   |  |
| Sewer Service           | 3.8             | 3.5   |  |
| Loose Animal Complaints | 0.0             | 2.9   |  |
| Streetlights            | 5.0             | 1.9   |  |

| 4.9 Transportation               | 1          |       | E 2     |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------|---------|
| Data Point                       | Area Score | Tulsa |         |
| Access to Sidewalks              | 4.7        | 3.0   | Occup   |
| Access to Trails                 | 2.3        | 2.0   | Cost-B  |
| Access to Bicycle Infrastructure | 6 5.0      | 2.5   | Cost-B  |
| Access to Public Transit         | 5.0        | 2.6   | Exposu  |
| Access to Motor Vehicle          | 0.4        | 1.8   | Housin  |
| Auto-Auto Collisions             | 0.2        | 3.3   | Evictio |
| Auto-Cyclist Collisions          | 0.1        | 2.8   | Report  |
| Auto-Pedestrian Collisions       | 0.2        | 3.1   | Numbe   |
| Arterial Street Surface          | • 4.1      | 2.3   |         |
| Neighborhood Street Surface      | 1.9        | 2.8   |         |
| Streetlights                     | 5.0        | 1.9   |         |

0.0

2.2

| - 2.0<br>Housing & Neighborhoods |            |       |  |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------|--|
| Data Point                       | Area Score | Tulsa |  |
| Occupancy Rate                   | 9 4.9      | 2.3   |  |
| Cost-Burdened Home Owners        | 0.1        | 2.6   |  |
| Cost-Burdened Renters            | 3.7        | 2.4   |  |
| Exposure to Violent Crimes       | 0.3        | 2.8   |  |
| Housing Density                  | 3.6        | 1.7   |  |
| Evictions                        | 2.4        | 1.0   |  |
| Reported Code Violations         | 3.7        | 2.0   |  |
| Number of Police Calls           | 0.0        | 3.2   |  |

#### Considerations

The City of Tulsa can collect the data, but it cannot know what matters to an individual community. That is why the goal of the NCI report is to inspire neighborhood discussion and action. When a neighborhood is informed, organized and motivated, change is possible.

2

#### 9 Category Scores

- •
- Compared City

#### Area Scores within each category have an unweighted value on a scale of 0 to 5

comparing the NSA to all the other NSAs in the city. The "Tulsa" column contains the average score for the whole city for each data point.

Category Scores are not an average of the associated data points values. Additional weight is given to data points identified as priorities in the comprehensive plan.

For detailed descriptions of each data point, please refer to the Data Points Glossary (pages 5-7).

| Data Point                        | Area Score | Tulsa | Data Point                  |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------|-----------------------------|
| Proximity to Schools              | <b>4.9</b> | 2.5   | Population Growth           |
| Proximity to Healthcare           | 5.0        | 3.1   | Educational Attainment      |
| Proximity to Social Services      | 5.0        | 2.7   | Employment Density          |
| Proximity to Financial Services   | 5.0        | 2.8   | Labor Force Participation   |
| Proximity to Eating Places        | 5.0        | 3.2   | Median Income               |
| Proximity to Libraries            | 5.0        | 1.0   | Health Insurance Coverage   |
| Proximity to Parks                | 4.7        | 2.4   | Employment Rate             |
| Proximity to Retail               | 5.0        | 2.6   | Poverty Rate                |
| Proximity to Social Clubs         | 5.0        | 2.5   | Office & Industrial Density |
| Proximity to Places of Worship    | 3.6        | 1.9   |                             |
| Proximity to Arts & Entertainment | 5.0        | 3.1   |                             |
| Proximity to Healthy Food Sources | 0.0        | 2.2   |                             |

2.8

1.9

2

ea Score

4.7

2.3

5.0

5.0

0.4

0.2

0.1

0.2

4.1

1.9

5.0

Transportation

4.9

Access to Sidewalks

Access to Public Transit

Access to Motor Vehicle

Auto-Auto Collisions

Auto-Cyclist Collisions

Arterial Street Surface

Streetlights

Auto-Pedestrian Collisions

Neighborhood Street Surface

Access to Bicycle Infrastructure

Access to Trails

| Median Income               | 2.0 | 2. |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
|                             | -   |    |
| Health Insurance Coverage   | 3.3 | 2. |
| Employment Rate             | 2.6 | 2. |
| Poverty Rate                | 1.4 | 2. |
| Office & Industrial Density | 5.0 | 3. |
|                             | 0.0 | 3. |
|                             | 0.0 | 3. |
| - 20                        |     | 3. |
| 2.0 Housing & Ne            |     |    |

Results above 3.75

Results between 1.25 and 3.75 Results below 1.25

ont

4.5

2.4

6.0

Tulsa

3.7

3.0

3.2

2.3

2.6

2.4

2.8

1.7

1.0

2.0

3.2

|       | 2.0 Housing & Neighborhood |            |
|-------|----------------------------|------------|
| Tulsa | Data Point                 | Area Score |
| 3.0   | Occupancy Rate             | 0 4.9      |
| 2.0   | Cost-Burdened Home Owners  | 0.1        |
| 2.5   | Cost-Burdened Renters      | 3.7        |
| 2.6   | Exposure to Violent Crimes | 0.3        |
| 1.8   | Housing Density            | 3.6        |
| 3.3   | Evictions                  | 2.4        |
| 2.8   | Reported Code Violations   | 3.7        |
| 3.1   | Number of Police Calls     | 0.0        |
| 2.3   |                            |            |

| - 4.6<br>out of s              |            |      |
|--------------------------------|------------|------|
| Data Point                     | Area Score | Tuls |
| Population Growth              | 4.5        | 3.7  |
| Proximity to Social Services   | 6 5.0      | 2.7  |
| Proximity to Places of Worship | 3.6        | 1.9  |
| Proximity to Social Clubs      | 6 5.0      | 2.5  |
| Voter Registration             | 1.3        | 3.1  |
| Internet Access                | 1.3        | 2.5  |

| Data Point                           | Area Score | ulsa |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------|
| Proximity to Eating Places           | 5.0        | 3.2  |
| Proximity to Schools                 | • 4.9      | 2.5  |
| Proximity to Libraries               | 5.0        | 1.0  |
| Proximity to Retail                  | 5.0        | 2.6  |
| Proximity to Arts & Entertainment    | 5.0        | 3.1  |
| Proximity to Places of Worship       | 3.6        | 1.9  |
| National Register of Historic Places | 4.7        | 2.9  |
| Destination Districts                | 4.4        | 2.2  |
| Historic Preservation Districts      | 0.0        | 1.7  |

and in-house databases.

| 3.4 Parks & Rec             | reation    |       |
|-----------------------------|------------|-------|
| Data Point                  | Area Score | Tulsa |
| Proximity to Parks          | 4.7        | 2.4   |
| Proximity to Senior Centers | 0.0        | 0.8   |
| Proximity to Playgrounds    | 0.6        | 1.9   |
| Access to Trails            | 2.3        | 2.0   |

| Environment &                      | 0.3<br>Environment & Natural Resources |       |  |  |
|------------------------------------|--|-------|--|--|
| Data Point                         | Area Score                             | Tuisa |  |  |
| Flood Risk                         | 9 4.9                                  | 4.2   |  |  |
| Electric Vehicle Charging Stations | 5.0                                    | 2.4   |  |  |
| Tree Canopy                        | 0.0                                    | 3.1   |  |  |
| Highway Noise & Pollution          | 0.4                                    | 2.7   |  |  |
| Permeable Surface                  | 0.0                                    | 3.7   |  |  |

| - 5.0<br>Public Service | es         |       |
|-------------------------|------------|-------|
| Data Point              | Area Score | Tulsa |
| Proximity to Schools    | 4.9        | 2.5   |
| Proximity to Libraries  | 5.0        | 1.0   |
| Fire Response Time      | 4.7        | 3.2   |
| Water Service           | • 3.3      | 3.7   |
| Sewer Service           | <b>3.8</b> | 3.5   |
| Loose Animal Complaints | 0.0        | 2.9   |
| Streetlights            | 5.0        | 1.9   |

Considerations

The City of Tulsa can collect the data, but it cannot know what matters to an individual community. That is why the goal of the NCI report is to inspire neighborhood discussion and action. When a neighborhood is informed, organized and motivated, change is possible.

- Measurable Datapoints
- •
- Compared City

| out | ePoi )  |         |        |
|-----|---------|---------|--------|
|     |         |         |        |
|     |         |         |        |
| How | to need | I VIDUR | menths |

4.9

Access to Sidewalks

Access to Public Transit

Access to Motor Vehicle

Auto-Auto Collisions

Auto-Cyclist Collisions

Arterial Street Surface

Streetlights

Auto-Pedestrian Collisions

Neighborhood Street Surface

Access to Bicycle Infrastructure

Access to Trails

Proximity to Healthy Food Sources

Transportation

Data Point

#### Area Scores within each category have an unweighted value on a scale of 0 to 5

comparing the NSA to all the other NSAs in the city. The "Tulsa" column contains the average score for the whole city for each data point.

Category Scores are not an average of the associated data points values. Additional weight is given to data points identified as priorities in the comprehensive plan.

For detailed descriptions of each data point, please refer to the Data Points Glossary (pages 5-7).

| Land Use                          |            |       | Economic Devel              |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------|-----------------------------|
| Data Point                        | Area Score | Tulsa | Data Point                  |
| Proximity to Schools              | 0 4.9      | 2.5   | Population Growth           |
| Proximity to Healthcare           | 5.0        | 3.1   | Educational Attainment      |
| Proximity to Social Services      | 5.0        | 2.7   | Employment Density          |
| Proximity to Financial Services   | 5.0        | 2.8   | Labor Force Participation   |
| Proximity to Eating Places        | 5.0        | 3.2   | Median Income               |
| Proximity to Libraries            | 5.0        | 1.0   | Health Insurance Coverage   |
| Proximity to Parks                | 4.7        | 2.4   | Employment Rate             |
| Proximity to Retail               | 5.0        | 2.6   | Poverty Rate                |
| Proximity to Social Clubs         | 5.0        | 2.5   | Office & Industrial Density |
| Proximity to Places of Worship    | 3.6        | 1.9   |                             |
| Proximity to Arts & Entertainment | 5.0        | 3.1   |                             |

2.2

Tulsa

3.0

2.0

2.5

2.6

1.8

3.3

28

3.1

2.3

2.8

1.9

0.0

Area Score

4.7

2.3

5.0

5.0

0.4

0.2

0.1

0.2

4.1

1.9

6 5.0

|   | Economic De                 |            |       |  |
|---|-----------------------------|------------|-------|--|
| a | Data Point                  | Area Score | Tulsa |  |
|   | Population Growth           | 4.5        | 3.7   |  |
|   | Educational Attainment      | 2.4        | 3.0   |  |
|   | Employment Density          | 5.0        | 3.2   |  |
|   | Labor Force Participation   | 0.0        | 2.7   |  |
|   | Median Income               | 0 2.0      | 2.8   |  |
|   | Health Insurance Coverage   | 3.3        | 2.5   |  |
|   | Employment Rate             | 2.6        | 2.2   |  |
|   | Poverty Rate                | 1.4        | 2.4   |  |
|   | Office & Industrial Density | 5.0        | 3.4   |  |
|   |                             |            |       |  |

Housing & Neighborhoods

Area Score

4.9

0.1

3.7

0.3

3.6

2.4

3.7

0.0

Tulsa

2.3

2.6

2.4

2.8

1.7

1.0

2.0

3.2

Data Point

Results above 3.75

Results between 1.25 and 3.75 Results below 1.25

| 4.6<br>Suit of 5 Communities   | i          |       |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------|
| Data Point                     | Area Score | Tulsa |
| Population Growth              | 4.5        | 3.7   |
| Proximity to Social Services   | 5.0        | 2.7   |
| Proximity to Places of Worship | 3.6        | 1.9   |
| Proximity to Social Clubs      | <b>5.0</b> | 2.5   |
| Voter Registration             | 1.3        | 3.1   |
| Internet Access                | 1.3        | 2.5   |

| History, Culture & Creativity        |            |       |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|------------|-------|--|--|
| Data Point                           | Area Score | Tulsa |  |  |
| Proximity to Eating Places           | 5.0        | 3.2   |  |  |
| Proximity to Schools                 | 4.9        | 2.5   |  |  |
| Proximity to Libraries               | 5.0        | 1.0   |  |  |
| Proximity to Retail                  | 5.0        | 2.6   |  |  |
| Proximity to Arts & Entertainment    | 5.0        | 3.1   |  |  |
| Proximity to Places of Worship       | 3.6        | 1.9   |  |  |
| National Register of Historic Places | 4.7        | 2.9   |  |  |
| Destination Districts                | 4.4        | 2.2   |  |  |
| Historic Preservation Districts      | 0.0        | 1.7   |  |  |

| 3.4 Park               | s & Recre | eation     |       |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|
| Data F                 | Point     | Area Score | Tulsa |
| roximity to Parks      |           | 4.7        | 2.4   |
| roximity to Senior Cer | nters     | 0.0        | 0.8   |
| roximity to Playground | ls        | 0.6        | 1.9   |
| ccess to Trails        |           | 2.3        | 2.0   |

| - 0.3                              | Environment & | urces      |       |
|------------------------------------|---------------|------------|-------|
|                                    | Data Point    | Area Score | Tulsa |
| Flood Risk                         |               | 9 4.9      | 4.2   |
| Electric Vehicle Charging Stations |               | 5.0        | 2.4   |
| Tree Canopy                        |               | 0.0        | 3.1   |
| Highway Noise & Pollution          |               | 0.4        | 2.7   |
| Permeable S                        | urface        | 0.0        | 3.7   |

| 5.0<br>Public Service   | :05        |       |
|-------------------------|------------|-------|
| Data Point              | Area Score | Tulsa |
| Proximity to Schools    | 4.9        | 2.5   |
| Proximity to Libraries  | 5.0        | 1.0   |
| Fire Response Time      | 4.7        | 3.2   |
| Water Service           | • 3.3      | 3.7   |
| Sewer Service           | 3.8        | 3.5   |
| Loose Animal Complaints | 0.0        | 2.9   |
| Streetlights            | 5.0        | 1.9   |

| Co | nsi | de | rati | ions |
|----|-----|----|------|------|

The City of Tulsa can collect the data, but it cannot know what matters to an individual community. That is why the goal of the NCI report is to inspire neighborhood discussion and action. When a neighborhood is informed, organized and motivated, change is possible.

2

2.0

Occupancy Rate

Housing Density

Evictions

Cost-Burdened Home Owners

Cost-Burdened Renters

Exposure to Violent Crimes

Reported Code Violations

Number of Police Calls

- Relative to All NSA
- Compared City

| ouro,  | 50.7 |      |       |  |
|--------|------|------|-------|--|
|        |      |      |       |  |
| How to | read | VOUR | mault |  |

4.9

Access to Trails

Access to Sidewalks

Access to Public Transit

Access to Motor Vehicle

Auto-Auto Collisions

Auto-Cyclist Collisions

Arterial Street Surface

Streetlights

Auto-Pedestrian Collisions

Neighborhood Street Surface

Proximity to Healthy Food Sources

Access to Bicycle Infrastructure

Transportation

Data Point

#### Area Scores within each category have an unweighted value on a scale of 0 to 5

comparing the NSA to all the other NSAs in the city. The "Tulsa" column contains the average score for the whole city for each data point.

Category Scores are not an average of the associated data points values. Additional weight is given to data points identified as priorities in the comprehensive plan.

For detailed descriptions of each data point, please refer to the Data Points Glossary (pages 5-7).

| 4.9 Land Use                      |            |       | S.6 Economic                | Development |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| Data Point                        | Area Score | Tulsa | Data Point                  | Area Score  |
| Proximity to Schools              | 9 4.9      | 2.5   | Population Growth           | 4.5         |
| Proximity to Healthcare           | 5.0        | 3.1   | Educational Attainment      | 2.4         |
| Proximity to Social Services      | 5.0        | 2.7   | Employment Density          | 5.0         |
| Proximity to Financial Services   | 5.0        | 2.8   | Labor Force Participation   | 0.0         |
| Proximity to Eating Places        | 5.0        | 3.2   | Median Income               | 2.0         |
| Proximity to Libraries            | 6.0        | 1.0   | Health Insurance Coverage   | 3.3         |
| Proximity to Parks                | 4.7        | 2.4   | Employment Rate             | 2.6         |
| Proximity to Retail               | 5.0        | 2.6   | Poverty Rate                | 1.4         |
| Proximity to Social Clubs         | 5.0        | 2.5   | Office & Industrial Density | 6 5.0       |
| Proximity to Places of Worship    | 3.6        | 1.9   |                             |             |
| Proximity to Arts & Entertainment | 5.0        | 3.1   |                             |             |

2.0

Occupancy Rate

Housing Density

Evictions

Cost-Burdened Home Owners

Cost-Burdened Renters

Exposure to Violent Crimes

Reported Code Violations

Number of Police Calls

2.2

Tulsa

3.0

2.0

2.5

2.6

1.8

3.3

28

3.1

2.3

2.8

1.9

2

0.0

Area Score

4.7

2.3

5.0

5.0

0.4

0.2

0.1

0.2

4.1

1.9

5.0

Results above 3.75

Results below 1.25

Housing & Neighborhoods

Area Score

4.9

0.1

3.7

0.3

3.6

2.4

3.7

0.0

Data Point

Results between 1.25 and 3.75

Tulsa

3.7

3.0

3.2

27

2.8

2.5

2.2

2.4

3.4

Tulsa

2.3

2.6

2.4

2.8

1.7

1.0

2.0

3.2

| - 4.6<br>autors  | Communities    |            |
|------------------|----------------|------------|
|                  | Data Point     | Area Score |
| Population Grow  | vth            | 4.5        |
| Proximity to Soc | cial Services  | 6.0        |
| Proximity to Pla | ces of Worship | 3.6        |
| Proximity to Soc | cial Clubs     | 6 5.0      |
| Voter Registrati | on             | 1.3        |
| Internet Access  |                | 0 1.3      |

| 5.0 History, Culture & Creativity    |            |       |
|--------------------------------------|------------|-------|
| Data Point                           | Area Score | Tulsa |
| Proximity to Eating Places           | 5.0        | 3.2   |
| Proximity to Schools                 | 4.9        | 2.5   |
| Proximity to Libraries               | 5.0        | 1.0   |
| Proximity to Retail                  | 5.0        | 2.6   |
| Proximity to Arts & Entertainment    | 5.0        | 3.1   |
| Proximity to Places of Worship       | 3.6        | 1.9   |
| National Register of Historic Places | 4.7        | 2.9   |
| Destination Districts                | • 4.4      | 2.2   |
| Historic Preservation Districts      | 0.0        | 1.7   |

Tulsa

3.7

2.7

1.9

2.5

3.1 2.5

| J.4            | Parks & Rec   | Parks & Recreation |       |
|----------------|---------------|--------------------|-------|
| ~              | Data Point    | Area Score         | Tulsa |
| Proximity to F | arks          | 4.7                | 2.4   |
| Proximity to 5 | enior Centers | 0.0                | 0.8   |
| Proximity to F | laygrounds    | 0.6                | 1.9   |
| Access to Tra  | ils           | 2.3                | 2.0   |

| - 0.3          | Environment & Natural Resources |            |       |  |
|----------------|---------------------------------|------------|-------|--|
|                | Data Point                      | Area Score | Tulsa |  |
| Flood Risk     |                                 | 9 4.9      | 4.2   |  |
| Electric Vehic | le Charging Stations            | 5.0        | 2.4   |  |
| Tree Canopy    |                                 | 0.0        | 3.1   |  |
| Highway Nois   | e & Pollution                   | 0.4        | 2.7   |  |
| Permeable S    | urface                          | 0.0        | 3.7   |  |

| 5.0<br>Public Service   | Public Services |       |  |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-------|--|
| Data Point              | Area Score      | Tulsa |  |
| Proximity to Schools    | 4.9             | 2.5   |  |
| Proximity to Libraries  | 5.0             | 1.0   |  |
| Fire Response Time      | 4.7             | 3.2   |  |
| Water Service           | • 3.3           | 3.7   |  |
| Sewer Service           | 3.8             | 3.5   |  |
| Loose Animal Complaints | 0.0             | 2.9   |  |
| Streetlights            | 5.0             | 1.9   |  |

| Co | nsi | de | ati | ons |
|----|-----|----|-----|-----|

The City of Tulsa can collect the data, but it cannot know what matters to an individual community. That is why the goal of the NCI report is to inspire neighborhood discussion and action. When a neighborhood is informed, organized and motivated, change is possible.

**Category Scores** How to read your results

Glossary (pages 5-7).

4.9

Proximity to Schools

Proximity to Healthcare

Land Use

Data Point

Area Scores within each category have an unweighted value on a scale of 0 to 5

comparing the NSA to all the other NSAs in the city. The "Tulsa" column contains the average score for the whole city for each data point.

Category Scores are not an average of the associated data points values. Additional weight is given to data points identified as priorities in the comprehensive plan. For detailed descriptions of each data point, please refer to the Data Points

Area Score

4.9

5.0

Tulsa

2.5

3.1

- Relative to All NSA



| Proximity to Social Services   | 5.0  | 2.7  | Employment Density   | 5.0   | 3.2                                    |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |   |  |
| Proximity to Financial Services  | 5.0  | 2.8  | Labor Force Participation  | 0.0   | 2.7                                    |
| Proximity to Eating Places   | 5.0  | 3.2  | Median Income  | 0 2.0   | 2.8                                    |
| Proximity to Libraries   | 5.0  | 1.0  | Health Insurance Coverage  | 3.3   | 2.5                                    |
| Proximity to Parks   | 4.7  | 2.4  | Employment Rate  | 2.6   | 2.2                                    |
| Proximity to Retail  | 5.0  | 2.6  | Poverty Rate   | 1.4   | 2.4                                    |
| Proximity to Social Clubs  | 5.0  | 2.5  | Office & Industrial Density  | <b>5.0</b>  | 3.4                                    |
| Proximity to Places of Worship   | 3.6  | 1.9  |  |   |  |
| Proximity to Arts & Entertainment  | 5.0  | 3.1  |  |   |  |
| Proximity to Healthy Food Sources  | 0.0  | 2.2  |  |   |  |
| Data Point   | Area Score   | Tulsa  | Data Point   | Area Score  | Tulsa                                  |
| 4.9 Transportation   | 1 1  |  | Housing & Nei  |   |  |
|  |  |  |  |   |  |
| longes to Sidewalks  |  |  | Operation Pate   |   | 0.0                                    |
|  | 4.7  | 3.0  | Occupancy Rate   | <b>4</b> .9   |  |
| Access to Trails   | <ul><li>4.7</li><li>2.3</li></ul>  | 3.0  | Cost-Burdened Home Owners  | <ul><li>4.9</li><li>0.1</li></ul>   | 2.6                                    |
| Access to Trails<br>Access to Bicycle Infrastructure   | <ul> <li>4.7</li> <li>2.3</li> <li>5.0</li> </ul>  | 3.0<br>2.0<br>2.5                                    | Cost-Burdened Home Owners<br>Cost-Burdened Renters   | <ul> <li>4.9</li> <li>0.1</li> <li>3.7</li> </ul>   | 2.6<br>2.4                             |
| Access to Trails<br>Access to Bicycle Infrastructure<br>Access to Public Transit   | 4.7     2.3     5.0     5.0  | 3.0<br>2.0<br>2.5<br>2.6                             | Cost-Burdened Home Owners<br>Cost-Burdened Renters<br>Exposure to Violent Crimes   | <ul> <li>4.9</li> <li>0.1</li> <li>3.7</li> <li>0.3</li> </ul>  | 2.6<br>2.4<br>2.8                      |
| Access to Trails<br>Access to Bicycle Infrastructure<br>Access to Public Transit<br>Access to Public Transit   | 4.7     2.3     5.0     5.0     0.4  | 3.0<br>2.0<br>2.5<br>2.6<br>1.8                      | Cost-Burdened Home Owners<br>Cost-Burdened Renters<br>Exposure to Violent Crimes<br>Housing Density  | <ul> <li>4.9</li> <li>0.1</li> <li>3.7</li> <li>0.3</li> <li>3.6</li> </ul>                           | 2.6<br>2.4<br>2.8<br>1.7               |
| Access to Sidewalks<br>Access to Trails<br>Access to Bicycle Infrastructure<br>Access to Public Transit<br>Access to Motor Vehicle<br>Auto-Auto Collisions   | <ul> <li>4.7</li> <li>2.3</li> <li>5.0</li> <li>5.0</li> <li>0.4</li> <li>0.2</li> </ul>                           | 3.0<br>2.0<br>2.5<br>2.6<br>1.8<br>3.3               | Cost-Burdened Home Owners<br>Cost-Burdened Renters<br>Exposure to Violent Orimes<br>Housing Density<br>Evictions                             | <ul> <li>4.9</li> <li>0.1</li> <li>3.7</li> <li>0.3</li> <li>3.6</li> <li>2.4</li> </ul>              | 2.3<br>2.6<br>2.4<br>2.8<br>1.7<br>1.0 |
| Access to Trails<br>Access to Bicycle Infrastructure<br>Access to Public Transit<br>Access to Motor Vehicle<br>Auto-Auto Collisions<br>Auto-Cyclist Collisions   | <ul> <li>4.7</li> <li>2.3</li> <li>5.0</li> <li>5.0</li> <li>0.4</li> <li>0.2</li> <li>0.1</li> </ul>              | 3.0<br>2.0<br>2.5<br>2.6<br>1.8<br>3.3<br>2.8        | Cost-Burdened Home Owners<br>Cost-Burdened Renters<br>Exposure to Violent Orimes<br>Housing Density<br>Evictions<br>Reported Code Violations | <ul> <li>4.9</li> <li>0.1</li> <li>3.7</li> <li>0.3</li> <li>3.6</li> <li>2.4</li> <li>3.7</li> </ul> | 2.6<br>2.4<br>2.8<br>1.7<br>1.0<br>2.0 |
| Access to Trails<br>Access to Bicycle Infrastructure<br>Access to Public Transit<br>Access to Motor Vehicle<br>Auto-Auto Collisions<br>Auto-Cyclist Collisions   | <ul> <li>4.7</li> <li>2.3</li> <li>5.0</li> <li>5.0</li> <li>0.4</li> <li>0.2</li> <li>0.1</li> <li>0.2</li> </ul> | 3.0<br>2.0<br>2.5<br>2.6<br>1.8<br>3.3<br>2.8<br>3.1 | Cost-Burdened Home Owners<br>Cost-Burdened Renters<br>Exposure to Violent Orimes<br>Housing Density<br>Evictions                             | <ul> <li>4.9</li> <li>0.1</li> <li>3.7</li> <li>0.3</li> <li>3.6</li> <li>2.4</li> </ul>              | 2.6<br>2.4<br>2.8<br>1.7<br>1.0<br>2.0 |
| Access to Trails<br>Access to Bicycle Infrastructure<br>Access to Public Transit<br>Access to Motor Vehicle<br>Auto-Auto Collisions<br>Auto-Pcide Collisions<br>Auto-Pcidestrian Collisions<br>Arterial Street Surface | <ul> <li>4.7</li> <li>2.3</li> <li>5.0</li> <li>5.0</li> <li>0.4</li> <li>0.2</li> <li>0.1</li> </ul>              | 3.0<br>2.0<br>2.5<br>2.6<br>1.8<br>3.3<br>2.8        | Cost-Burdened Home Owners<br>Cost-Burdened Renters<br>Exposure to Violent Orimes<br>Housing Density<br>Evictions<br>Reported Code Violations | <ul> <li>4.9</li> <li>0.1</li> <li>3.7</li> <li>0.3</li> <li>3.6</li> <li>2.4</li> <li>3.7</li> </ul> | 2.6<br>2.4<br>2.8<br>1.7<br>1.0<br>2.0 |
| Access to Trails<br>Access to Bicycle Infrastructure<br>Access to Public Transit<br>Access to Motor Vehicle<br>Auto-Auto Collisions<br>Auto-Cyclist Collisions<br>Auto-Pedestrian Collisions                           | <ul> <li>4.7</li> <li>2.3</li> <li>5.0</li> <li>5.0</li> <li>0.4</li> <li>0.2</li> <li>0.1</li> <li>0.2</li> </ul> | 3.0<br>2.0<br>2.5<br>2.6<br>1.8<br>3.3<br>2.8<br>3.1 | Cost-Burdened Home Owners<br>Cost-Burdened Renters<br>Exposure to Violent Orimes<br>Housing Density<br>Evictions<br>Reported Code Violations | <ul> <li>4.9</li> <li>0.1</li> <li>3.7</li> <li>0.3</li> <li>3.6</li> <li>2.4</li> <li>3.7</li> </ul> | 2.6<br>2.4<br>2.8<br>1.7               |

3.6

opulation Growth

ducational Attainment

Results above 3.75

Economic Development

Data Point

Results between 1.25 and 3.75 Results below 1.25

Area Score

4.5

2.4

Tulsa

3.7

3.0

| 4.6 Communities                | 3          |     |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----|
| Data Point                     | Area Score | Tuk |
| Population Growth              | 4.5        | 3.  |
| Proximity to Social Services   | 5.0        | 2   |
| Proximity to Places of Worship | 3.6        | 1   |
| Proximity to Social Clubs      | 5.0        | 2.  |
| Voter Registration             | 1.3        | З.  |
| Internet Access                | 1.3        | 2.  |

| - 5.0 History, Culture               |            |       |  |
|--------------------------------------|------------|-------|--|
| Data Point                           | Area Score | Tulsa |  |
| Proximity to Eating Places           | 5.0        | 3.2   |  |
| Proximity to Schools                 | 4.9        | 2.5   |  |
| Proximity to Libraries               | 5.0        | 1.0   |  |
| Proximity to Retail                  | 5.0        | 2.6   |  |
| Proximity to Arts & Entertainment    | 5.0        | 3.1   |  |
| Proximity to Places of Worship       | 3.6        | 1.9   |  |
| National Register of Historic Places | 4.7        | 2.9   |  |
| Destination Districts                | • 4.4      | 2.2   |  |
| Historic Preservation Districts      | 0.0        | 1.7   |  |

and in-house databases.

| - 3.4          | Parks & Recreation |            |       |
|----------------|--------------------|------------|-------|
|                | Data Point         | Area Score | Tulsa |
| Proximity to P | arks               | 4.7        | 2.4   |
| roximity to S  | enior Centers      | 0.0        | 0.8   |
| Proximity to P | laygrounds         | 0.6        | 1.9   |
| Access to Tra  | ils                | 2.3        | 2.0   |

| - 0.3          | Environment & Natural Resource |            |       |  |  |
|----------------|--------------------------------|------------|-------|--|--|
|                | Data Point                     | Area Score | Tulsa |  |  |
| Flood Risk     |                                | 9 4.9      | 4.2   |  |  |
| Electric Vehic | le Charging Stations           | 5.0        | 2.4   |  |  |
| Tree Canopy    |                                | 0.0        | 3.1   |  |  |
| Highway Nois   | e & Pollution                  | 0.4        | 2.7   |  |  |
| Permeable S    | urface                         | 0.0        | 3.7   |  |  |

| - 5.0<br>Sutors Public Service | Public Services |       |  |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------|--|
| Data Point                     | Area Score      | Tulsa |  |
| Proximity to Schools           | 4.9             | 2.5   |  |
| Proximity to Libraries         | 5.0             | 1.0   |  |
| Fire Response Time             | 4.7             | 3.2   |  |
| Water Service                  | 3.3             | 3.7   |  |
| Sewer Service                  | 3.8             | 3.5   |  |
| Loose Animal Complaints        | 0.0             | 2.9   |  |
| Streetlights                   | 5.0             | 1.9   |  |

Considerations

The City of Tulsa can collect the data, but it cannot know what matters to an individual community. That is why the goal of the NCI report is to inspire neighborhood discussion and action. When a neighborhood is informed, organized and motivated, change is possible.

- Relative to All NSA
- Compare City **Average**

|  | <br> | ~ | - | <br>- |
|--|------|---|---|-------|
|  |      |   |   |       |
|  |      |   |   |       |

Proximity to Arts & Entertainment

Proximity to Healthy Food Sources

Transportation

Data Point

4.9

Access to Sidewalks

Access to Public Transit

Access to Motor Vehicle

Auto-Auto Collisions

Auto-Cyclist Collisions

Arterial Street Surface

Streetlights

Auto-Pedestrian Collisions

Neighborhood Street Surface

Access to Bicycle Infrastructure

Access to Trails

#### Area Scores within each category have an unweighted value on a scale of 0 to 5

comparing the NSA to all the other NSAs in the city. The "Tulsa" column contains the average score for the whole city for each data point.

5.0

0.0

Area Score

4.7

2.3

5.0

5.0

0.4

0.2

0.1

0.2

4.1

1.9

5.0

Category Scores are not an average of the associated data points values. Additional weight is given to data points identified as priorities in the comprehensive plan.

For detailed descriptions of each data point, please refer to the Data Points Glossary (pages 5-7).

| 4.9 Land Use                    |            | 3.6 Economic De | evelopment                  |            |
|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| Data Point                      | Area Score | Tulsa           | Data Point                  | Area Score |
| Proximity to Schools            | 6 4.9      | 2.5             | Population Growth           | • 4.5      |
| Proximity to Healthcare         | 5.0        | 3.1             | Educational Attainment      | 0 2.4      |
| Proximity to Social Services    | 5.0        | 2.7             | Employment Density          | 6.0        |
| Proximity to Financial Services | 5.0        | 2.8             | Labor Force Participation   | 0.0        |
| Proximity to Eating Places      | 5.0        | 3.2             | Median Income               | 2.0        |
| Proximity to Libraries          | 5.0        | 1.0             | Health Insurance Coverage   | 3.3        |
| Proximity to Parks              | 4.7        | 2.4             | Employment Rate             | 2.6        |
| Proximity to Retail             | 5.0        | 2.6             | Poverty Rate                | • 1.4      |
| Proximity to Social Clubs       | 5.0        | 2.5             | Office & Industrial Density | 6 5.0      |
| Proximity to Places of Worship  | 3.6        | 1.9             |                             |            |

3.1

2.2

Tulsa

3.0

2.0

2.5

2.6

1.8

3.3

28

3.1

2.3

2.8

1.9

2

2.0

Occupancy Rate

Housing Density

Evictions

Cost-Burdened Home Owners

Cost-Burdened Renters

Exposure to Violent Crimes

Reported Code Violations

Number of Police Calls

Results above 3.75

Results between 1.25 and 3.75 Results below 1.25

Tulsa

3.7

3.0

3.2 2.7

2.8 2.5 2.2

2.4

3.4

Tulsa

2.3

2.6

2.4

2.8

1.7

1.0

2.0

3.2

Area Score

4.9

0.1

3.7

0.3

3.6

2.4

3.7

0.0

Housing & Neighborhoods

Data Point

| 4.6 Communities                |            |       |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------|
| Data Point                     | Area Score | Tulsa |
| Population Growth              | <b>4.5</b> | 3.7   |
| Proximity to Social Services   | <b>5.0</b> | 2.7   |
| Proximity to Places of Worship | 3.6        | 1.9   |
| Proximity to Social Clubs      | 5.0        | 2.5   |
| Voter Registration             | 1.3        | 3.1   |
| Internet Access                | 1.3        | 2.5   |

| - 5.0 History, Culture               | & Creativity |       |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|-------|
| Data Point                           | Area Score   | Tulsa |
| Proximity to Eating Places           | 5.0          | 3.2   |
| Proximity to Schools                 | 4.9          | 2.5   |
| Proximity to Libraries               | 5.0          | 1.0   |
| Proximity to Retail                  | 5.0          | 2.6   |
| Proximity to Arts & Entertainment    | 5.0          | 3.1   |
| Proximity to Places of Worship       | 3.6          | 1.9   |
| National Register of Historic Places | 4.7          | 2.9   |
| Destination Districts                | 4.4          | 2.2   |
| Historic Preservation Districts      | 0.0          | 1.7   |

and in-house databases.

| - 3.4                    | Parks & Rec   | reation    |       |
|--------------------------|---------------|------------|-------|
|                          | Data Point    | Area Score | Tulsa |
| Proximity to P           | arks          | 4.7        | 2.4   |
| Proximity to S           | enior Centers | 0.0        | 0.8   |
| Proximity to Playgrounds |               | 0.6        | 1.9   |
| Access to Tra            | ils           | 2.3        | 2.0   |

| Environment &                      | Environment & Natural Resources |       |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Data Point                         | Area Score                      | Tulsa |  |  |  |  |
| Flood Risk                         | 9 4.9                           | 4.2   |  |  |  |  |
| Electric Vehicle Charging Stations | <b>5.0</b>                      | 2.4   |  |  |  |  |
| Tree Canopy                        | 0.0                             | 3.1   |  |  |  |  |
| Highway Noise & Pollution          | 0.4                             | 2.7   |  |  |  |  |
| Permeable Surface                  | 0.0                             | 3.7   |  |  |  |  |

| 5.0<br>Public Service   | Public Services |       |  |  |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-------|--|--|
| Data Point              | Area Score      | Tulsa |  |  |
| Proximity to Schools    | 4.9             | 2.5   |  |  |
| Proximity to Libraries  | 5.0             | 1.0   |  |  |
| Fire Response Time      | 4.7             | 3.2   |  |  |
| Water Service           | 3.3             | 3.7   |  |  |
| Sewer Service           | 3.8             | 3.5   |  |  |
| Loose Animal Complaints | 0.0             | 2.9   |  |  |
| Streetlights            | 5.0             | 1.9   |  |  |

Considerations

The City of Tulsa can collect the data, but it cannot know what matters to an individual community. That is why the goal of the NCI report is to inspire neighborhood discussion and action. When a neighborhood is informed, organized and motivated, change is possible.

- Demographics
- Economy
- Public Services
- Compare City Average
- Neighborhood Groups

| Downtown A   | Area Snapshot  |  |  |                                     |  |              |              |
|--|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--------------|--------------|
| Demograph  | ics  | This Area  | Tulsa                                    | Economy                             |  | This Area    | Tulsa        |
|  | Population   | 4,984  | 413,066                                  |                                     | Total Businesses   | 1,999        | 22,93        |
|  | Area (square miles)  | 1.480  | 201                                      |                                     | Retail   | 119          | 4,025        |
|  | Density  | 3,367  | 2,055                                    |                                     | Dining   | 95           | 1,283        |
|  | Male   | 73.1%  | 48.8%                                    | ( ) ( )                             | Office   | 632          | 4,703        |
|  | Female   | 26.9%  | 51.2%                                    | P                                   | Lodging  | 18           | 135          |
|  | Median Age   | 36.4   | 35.3                                     | Businesses                          | Full-Service Grocery Store   |              | 57           |
| N  | Persons under 18*  | 3.1%   | 23.4%                                    |                                     | Convenience Store/Gas  | 4            | 190          |
| Demographics   | Persons 65 and over*   | 4.9%   | 14.9%                                    |                                     | Manufacturing<br>Total Jobs  | 59<br>30.434 | 1,517 256.38 |
|  | Marital Status   |  |  |                                     | By Age   | 30,434       | 256,38       |
|  | Married  | 24.3%  | 45.2%                                    |                                     | 29 or younger  | 16.2%        | 23.65        |
|  | Never Married<br>Divorced/Widowed  | 54.6%  | 34.0%                                    |                                     | 30 - 54  | 58.0%        | 52.6)        |
|  | Divorced/Widowed<br>White  | 21.1%  | 20.8%                                    |                                     | 55 or older  | 25.9%        | 23.89        |
|  | Black*   | 61.6%  | 51.8%                                    |                                     | By Monthly Earnings  | 101070       | R d l d l    |
|  | Asian/Pacific Islander*  | 2.4%   | 3.7%                                     | Employment                          | \$1,250 or less  | 8.3%         | 17.0%        |
|  | American Indian*   | 5.3%   | 5.2%                                     |                                     | \$1,251 - \$3,333  | 22.8%        | 34.79        |
|  | Other*   | 4.6%   | 9.8%                                     |                                     | \$3,334 or more  | 68.9%        | 48.3%        |
| Race   | Two or more*   | 8.3%   | 14.6%                                    |                                     | Professional, Scientific, & Te   |              | es (16.49    |
| Ethnicity &  | Hispanic/Latin American*   | 8.0%   | 17.4%                                    | Top 5 Industry                      | Public Administration (15.7  | %)           |              |
| Ability  | People with Disabilities*  | 18.9%  | 21.9%                                    | Sectors                             | Utilities (10.8%)  |              |              |
|  | Limited English Proficiency*   | 1.8%   | 4.6%                                     |                                     | Finance and Insurance (8.2%)<br>Health Care and Social Assistance (7.9%) |              |              |
|  | Foreign Born Population*   | 8.5%   | 11.2%                                    |                                     | Health Care and Social Ass<br>New Market Tax Credits                     | istance (7.9 | 9%)<br>70    |
|  | Total Households   | 1,956  | 163,801                                  | Development                         | Opportunity Zones  | 1            | 19           |
|  | People per Household   | 1.28   | 2.42                                     | Incentive                           | TIF Districts  | 10           | 26           |
|  | Median Household Income  | \$42,189   | \$49,611                                 | Areas 1                             | LIHTC  | 1            | 41           |
|  | Low Income Household*  | 23.6%  | 17.5%                                    | ALCO S                              | Commercial Revitalization  | 1            | 15           |
|  | Single Parent Household*   | 2.2%   | 12.9%                                    |                                     |  |              | 10           |
| Households   | Vehicles Available per Hous  |  |  | Public Servi                        | 1000   | This Area    | Tulsa        |
| nousenoius   | Zero Vehicles  | 34.0%  | 8.4%                                     | Public Servi                        | Schools  | 6            | 170          |
|  | One Vehicle  | 42.5%  | 40.9%                                    |                                     | Healthcare Providers   | 267          | 5,348        |
|  | Two+ Vehicles  | 23.6%  | 50.7%                                    | -                                   | Social Services 2  | 69           | 762          |
|  | Age 16+ in Labor Force<br>Employed   | 41.1%<br>94.5%   | 63.4%<br>94.2%                           | TITT                                | Financial Services   | 129          | 1.535        |
|  |  | \$32,445   | \$33,182                                 | 11111                               | Social Clubs <sup>a</sup>  | 16           | 126          |
|  | Per Capita Income<br>Blue Collar Workers   | 39.1%  | 40.4%                                    |                                     | Police Station   | 2            | 7            |
| Workforce  | White Collar Workers   | 60.9%  | 59.6%                                    | Services &<br>Institution           | Fire Station   |              | 29           |
|  | Units  | 2,127  | 190,223                                  | Institution                         | Government   | 96           | 270          |
|  | Occupied   | 95.0%  | 90.0%                                    |                                     | Child Care   | 4            | 85           |
|  | Owner-Occupied   | 14.4%  | 51.7%                                    |                                     | Libraries  | 1            | 14           |
|  | Renter-Occupied  | 85.6%  | 48.3%                                    | <b>3</b>                            | Places of Worship  | 10           | 518          |
|  | Vacant   | 5.0%   | 10.0%                                    | Culture &                           | Arts & Entertainment 4   | 43           | 315          |
|  | Average rent cost  | \$1,027  | \$846                                    | Recreation                          | Parks  | 9            | 175          |
| Housing  | Average property value   | \$107,694  | \$203,719                                |                                     |  |              |              |
| nousing  | Type of Housing  |  |  | Neighborho                          | od Groups  |              |              |
|  | Single family  | 3.5%   | 63.4%                                    | Business Associa                    | ation: Tulsa Arts District, East Vill                                    | age District |              |
|  | Missing Middle   | 6.4%   | 10.1%                                    | <ul> <li>Neighborhood As</li> </ul> | ssociation: Gunboat Park District  |              |              |
|  | Multi-family   | 90.1%  | 26.4%                                    |                                     |  |              |              |
|  | Less than High School*<br>High School Diploma/GED  | 14.5%  | 12.5%                                    |                                     |  |              |              |
| Highest Level  | Some College/No Degree   | 23.3%  | 22.8%                                    |                                     |  |              |              |
| of Education   | Associate/Bachelor Degree  | 21.7%  | 28.2%                                    |                                     |  |              |              |
| (Age 25+)  | Graduate Degree  | 12.2%  | 11.1%                                    |                                     |  |              |              |
| Market Tax Credits, C<br>Income Housing Tax I<br>Revitalization Areas o<br>Retail Market Study p | ncial incertilves to encourage developm<br>poportunity Zones, Tax Increment Finar<br>Credit (LIHTC), and Commercial Revital<br>comprises BRT corridors, Destination D<br>priority locations. See Tulsa's comprehi<br>courseling services, charitable instituti | icing (TIF) distr<br>ization Areas. (<br>istricts, and Ci<br>ansive plan for | icts, Low-<br>Commercial<br>Ity of Tulsa |                                     | ita points marked with an ast<br>rall Score on page 1.                   | erisk (*) we | ere used     |

- Demographics
- Economy
- Public Services
- Compare City Average
- Neighborhood Groups



- Demographics
- Economy
- Public Services
- Compare City Average
- Neighborhood Groups



- Demographics
- Economy
- Public Services
- Compare City Average
- Neighborhood Groups



- Demographics
- Economy
- Public Services
- Compare City Average
- Neighborhood Groups



- Demographics
- Economy
- Public Services
- Compare City Average
- Neighborhood Groups

#### Data Points Glossary

| and Use                              |   |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Data Point                           | Description   |  |  |  |  |
| Proximity to Schools                 | Percentage of households within 0.5 miles of both public and private educational institutions, such as<br>elementary schools, middle schools, high schools, trade schools, colleges, universities, etc.   |  |  |  |  |
| Proximity to Healthcare              | Percentage of households within 0.5 miles of healthcare facilities and providers, such as hospitals, clinics,<br>physicians, pharmacists, dentists, etc.  |  |  |  |  |
| Proximity to Social Services         | Percentage of households within 0.5 miles of social service facilities, such as human services, senior<br>services, child care, counseling, charitable institutions, addiction treatment centers, etc.  |  |  |  |  |
| Proximity to Financial Services      | Percentage of households within 0.5 miles of financial services, such as ATMs, banks, credit unions,<br>insurance offices, etc.   |  |  |  |  |
| Proximity to Eating Places           | Percentage of households within 0.5 miles of eating places, such as restaurants and coffee shops.   |  |  |  |  |
| Proximity to Libraries               | Percentage of households within 0.5 miles of libraries.   |  |  |  |  |
| Proximity to Parks                   | Percentage of households within 0.5 miles of parks and stormwater areas.  |  |  |  |  |
| Proximity to Retail                  | Percentage of households within 0.5 miles of stores and retail services, such as clothing, furniture,<br>hardware, auto dealership, electronics, convenience store, pharmacy, etc.  |  |  |  |  |
| Proximity to Social Clubs            | Percentage of households within 0.5 miles of social clubs, such as community clubs, home owners<br>associations, and civic, fraternal, and veteran organizations, etc.  |  |  |  |  |
| Proximity to Places of Worship       | Percentage of households within 0.5 miles of churches, temples, mosques, etc.   |  |  |  |  |
| Proximity to Arts &<br>Entertainment | Percentage of households within 0.5 miles of arts and entertainment venues, such as arenas, halls and<br>auditoriums, theaters, art galleries, museums, gyms, sports venues, etc.   |  |  |  |  |
| Proximity to Healthy Food<br>Sources | Percentage of households where the poverty rate is 20% or greater, and that are further than 1 mile from a<br>full-service grocery store or farmers' market. A full-service grocery store allocates at least 500 sq ft to fresh<br>produce, dairy, and meat |  |  |  |  |

1

#### Transportation

| Data Point                          | Description  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Access to Sidewalks                 | Percentage of households that have access to sidewalks within 20 feet of their property.   |
| Access to Trails                    | Percentage of households that have access to multi-use trails and unpaved trails within 0.5 miles.   |
| Access to Bicycle<br>Infrastructure | Percentage of households that have access to bicycle infrastructure within 0.5 miles, including bike lanes,<br>sharrows, and signed routes.  |
| Access to Public Transit            | Percentage of households that have access to transit service within 0.5 miles.   |
| Access to Motor Vehicles            | Percentage of households that have access to at least one motor vehicle.   |
| Auto-Auto Collisions                | Number of auto-on-auto collisions with injuries per square mile. Lower numbers of collisions scored higher.  |
| Auto-Cyclist Collisions             | Number of auto-on-bicycle collisions with injuries per square mile. Lower numbers of collisions scored higher.   |
| Auto-Pedestrian Collisions          | Number of auto-on-pedestrian collisions with injuries per square mile. Lower numbers of collisions scored<br>higher.   |
| Arterial Street Surface             | Surface conditions of arterial streets surrounding or within the area based on the Pavement Condition Index (PCI), a nationwide street surface rating system on a 100-point scale. |
| Neighborhood Street Surface         | Surface conditions of neighborhood streets within the area based on the Pavement Condition Index (PCI), a<br>nationwide street surface rating system on a 100-point scale.         |
| Streetlights                        | Number of streetlights per mile of streets within and surrounding the area.  |

5

#### Economic Development

| Data Point                  | Description  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Population Growth           | Population change from 2010 to 2020, according to Census data.                           |
| Educational Attainment      | Percentage of the population aged 25 and over with a bachelor's degree.                  |
| Employment Density          | Number of jobs per square mile.  |
| Labor Force Participation   | Percentage of people aged 16 and over in the labor force and living in the area.         |
| Median Income               | Median income per household.   |
| Health Insurance Coverage   | Percentage of the population with health insurance.                                      |
| Employment Rate             | Percentage of employed people among the labor force.                                     |
| Poverty Rate                | Percentage of population whose income in the past 12 months was below the poverty level. |
| Office & Industrial Density | Total office and industrial square footage per square mile.                              |

\*\*

#### Housing & Neighborhoods

| Data Point                 | Description   |
|----------------------------|---|
| Occupancy Rate             | Percentage of occupied housing units.   |
| Cost-Burdened Home Owners  | Percentage of home owners whose monthly housing costs are 30% or more of their household income. Lower<br>percentages (indicative of low cost burden) scored higher.                        |
| Cost-Burdened Renters      | Percentage of renters whose rent is 30% or more of their household income. Lower percentages (indicative<br>of low cost burden) scored higher.  |
| Exposure to Violent Crimes | Number of violent crimes per square mile, including homicide, rape, aggravated assault, and robbery. Lower<br>concentrations (indicative of less exposure to violent crimes) scored higher. |
| Housing Density            | Number of housing units per square mile. Higher concentrations scored higher.   |
| Evictions                  | Number of evictions per 1,000 people in the area. Lower numbers of evictions scored higher.   |
| Code Violations            | Number of code violation filings per 1.000 people in the area, including nuisance, unkempt property,<br>chronic nuisance, and demolition. Fewer numbers of violations scored higher.        |
| Number of Police Calls     | Number of police calls per square mile in the area, including cases of assault, auto theft, burglary, etc.<br>Lower numbers (fewer police calls) scored higher.                             |

#### History, Culture & Creativity

| Data Point                              | Description  |
|---|--|
| Proximity to Eating Places              | Percentage of households within 0.5 miles of eating places, such as restaurants and coffee shops.  |
| Proximity to Schools                    | Percentage of households within 0.5 miles of both public and private educational institutions, such as<br>elementary schools, middle schools, high schools, trade schools, colleges, universities, etc.        |
| Proximity to Librarics                  | Percentage of households within 0.5 miles of libraries.  |
| Proximity to Retail                     | Percentage of households within 0.5 miles of stores and retail services, such as clothing, furniture,<br>hardware, auto dealership, electronics, convenience store, pharmacy, etc.                             |
| Proximity to Arts &<br>Entertainment    | Percentage of households within 0.5 miles of arts and entertainment venues, such as arenas, halls and<br>auditoriums, theaters, art galleries, museums, gyms, sports venues, etc.                              |
| Proximity to Places of Worship          | Percentage of households within 0.5 miles of churches, temples, mosques, etc.  |
| National Register of Historic<br>Places | Percentage of households in the area located within 0.125 miles of assets included in the National Register<br>of Historic Places.   |
| Destination Districts                   | Percentage of households within Destination District/Main Street program boundaries, which are aimed at<br>revitalizing commercial districts or corridors through economic development and community building. |
| Historic Preservation Districts         | Percentage of households in the area located within Historic Preservation zoning district boundaries.  |
|   |  |
|   | 6  |

- Map of Tulsa
- All 80 Neighborhoods



## Activity Who is my neighbor?



| Downtown A   | rea Snapshot   |  |   |   |   |                |       |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|----------------|-------|
| Demographics   |  | This Area  | Tulsa   | Economy                                   |   | This Area      |       |
| D child graph  | Population   | 4,984  | 413,066   |   | Total Businesses  | 1,999          | 22    |
|  | Area (square miles)  | 1.480  | 201   |   | Retail  | 119            | 4     |
|  | Density  | 3,367  | 2,055   |   | Dining  | 95             | 1     |
|  | Male   | 73.1%  | 48.8%   | i c'                                      | Office  | 632            | 4     |
| ۹  | Female   | 26.9%  | 51.2%   | 4   | Lodging   | 18             | 1     |
|  | Median Age   | 36.4   | 35.3  | Businesses                                | Full-Service Grocery Store<br>Convenience Store/Gas   | - 4            |       |
|  | Persons under 18*<br>Persons 65 and over*  | 3.1%   | 23.4%   |   | Manufacturing   | 59             | 1     |
| Demographics   | Persons 65 and over*<br>Marital Status   | 4.9%   | 14.9%   |   | - · · · ·   | 30,434         | 25    |
|  | Married  | 24.3%  | 45.2%   |   |   |                |       |
|  | Never Married  | 54.6%  | 34.0%   | -   |   | 16.2%          | 2     |
|  | Divorced/Widowed   | 21.1%  | 20.8%   |   |   | 58.0%          | 5     |
|  | White  | 61.6%  | 51.8%   |   |   | 25.9%          | 2     |
|  | Black*   | 17.8%  | 14.9%   | Employment                                | by monuny carnings  | 0.00           | 1     |
|  | Asian/Pacific Islander*  | 2.4%   | 3.7%  | Lingitoymetric                            | \$1,250 or less   | 8.3%           | 1     |
| m  | American Indian*   | 5.3%   | 5.2%  |   | \$1,251 - \$3,333<br>\$3,334 or more  | 68.9%          | 4     |
| ΠΠ   | Other*   | 4.6%   | 9.8%  |   | Professional, Scientific, & Te  | 00.074         |       |
| Race,  | Two or more*   | 8.3%   | 14.6%   |   | Public Administration (15.7)  |                |       |
| Ethnicity &  | Hispanic/Latin American*<br>People with Disabilities*  | 18.9%  | 21.9%   | Top 5 Industry<br>Sectors                 | Utilities (10.8%)   |                |       |
| Ability  | Limited English Proficiency*   | 1.8%   | 4.6%  | Sectors                                   | Finance and Insurance (8.2  |                |       |
|  | Foreign Born Population*   | 8.5%   | 11.2%   |   | Health Care and Social Assi   | istance (7.9   |       |
| <u> </u>   | Total Households   | 1.956  | 163.801   |   | New Market Tax Credits  | 1              |       |
|  | People per Household   | 1.28   | 2.42  | Development<br>Incentive                  | Opportunity Zones<br>TIF Districts  | 1              |       |
|  | Median Household Income  | \$42,189   | \$49,611  | Areas 1                                   | LIHTC   | 10             | -     |
|  | Low Income Household*  | 23.6%  | 17.5%   | Arcas                                     | Commercial Revitalization   | 1              | -     |
|  | Single Parent Household*   | 2.2%   | 12.9%   |   |   |                | -     |
| Households   | Vehicles Available per Household  Zero Vehicles  24.0X  8.4%  Public Services  |  |   |   | This Area   | Т              |       |
|  | Zero Vehicles<br>One Vehicle   | 34.0%<br>42.5%   | 8.4%<br>40.9%   | Fublic Servi                              | Schools   | 6              |       |
|  | Two+ Vehicles  | 23.6%  | 40.9%   |   | Healthcare Providers  | 267            | 5     |
|  | Age 16+ in Labor Force   | 41.1%  | 63.4%   | Services &<br>Institution                 | Social Services 2   | 69             |       |
|  | Employed   | 94,5%  | 94.2%   |   | Financial Services  | 129            | 1     |
|  | Per Capita Income  | \$32,445   | \$33,182  |   | Social Clubs <sup>a</sup>   | 16             | 1     |
| Workforce  | Blue Collar Workers  | 39.1%  | 40.4%   |   | Police Station  | 2              |       |
| Workforce  | White Collar Workers   | 60.9%  | 59.6%   |   | Fire Station  |                |       |
|  | Units  | 2,127  | 190,223   |   | Government<br>Child Care  | 96             | 1     |
|  | Occupied   | 95.0%  | 90.0%   |   | Child Care<br>Libraries   | 4              |       |
|  | Owner-Occupied   | 14.4%  | 51.7%<br>48.3%  | 07  | Places of Worship   | 10             | 1 e   |
|  | Renter-Occupied<br>Vacant  | 85.6%  | 48.3%   |   | Arts & Entertainment 4  | 43             |       |
|  | Average rent cost  | \$1.027  | \$846   | Culture &                                 | Parks   | 43             |       |
|  | Average property value   | \$107,694  |   | Recreation                                | rand  |                | L '   |
| Housing  | Type of Housing  |  |   | Neighborho                                | od Groups   |                |       |
|  | Single family  | 3.5%   | 63.4%   |   | ation: Tulsa Arts District, East Ville  | nde District   | _     |
|  | Missing Middle   | 6.4%   | 10.1%   |   | ation: Tuisa Arts District, East Vill<br>ssociation: Gunboat Park District  | ege macrift    |       |
|  | Multi-family   | 90.1%  | 26.4%   |   |   |                |       |
|  | Less than High School*   | 14.5%  | 12.5%   |   |   |                |       |
| Highest Level  | High School Diploma/GED<br>Some College/No Degree  | 28.3%<br>23.3%   | 25.4%   |   |   |                |       |
| of Education   | Associate/Bachelor Degree  | 23.3%  | 22.8%   |   |   |                |       |
| (Age 25+)  | Graduate Degree  | 12.2%  | 11.1%   |   |   |                |       |
| Market Tax Credits, O<br>Income Housing Tax O<br>Revitalization Areas o<br>Retail Market Study p<br><sup>2</sup> Includes child care, co | icial incentives to encourage developm<br>portunity Zones, Tax Increment Finar<br>vontrik (LIHTC), and Commercial Revital<br>Portises BRT contidors, Destination D<br>riority locations. See Tuta's compreh-<br>unstering services, charitable instituti-<br>veterans, and fratemal organizations, | cing (TIF) dist<br>ization Areas.<br>istricts, and C<br>ansive plan for<br>ons, etc. | ricts, Low-<br>Commercial<br>ity of Tulsa<br>details. | calculate the Ove<br>Sources: US Decennia | ata points marked with an ast<br>rall Score on page 1.<br>I Census 2020, American Community<br>organchicsNew 2021 Estimates, Data | Survey (ACS) 2 | 016-2 |
## How old are my neighbors?



| Demographics |                      | This Area | Tulsa   |
|--------------|----------------------|-----------|---------|
|              | Population           | 9,650     | 413,066 |
|              | Area (square miles)  | 2.250     | 201     |
|              | Density              | 4,289     | 2,055   |
|              | Male                 | 50.2%     | 48.8%   |
|              | Female               | 49.8%     | 51.2%   |
|              | Median Age           |           |         |
| Π            | Persons under 18*    |           |         |
| Demographics | Persons 65 and over* |           |         |
|              | Marital Status       |           |         |
|              | Married              | 40.7%     | 45.2%   |
|              | Never Married        | 36.9%     | 34.0%   |
|              | Divorced/Widowed     | 22.4%     | 20.8%   |

| Demographics |                      | This Area | Tulsa   |
|--------------|----------------------|-----------|---------|
|              | Population           | 9,650     | 413,066 |
|              | Area (square miles)  | 2.250     | 201     |
|              | Density              | 4,289     | 2,055   |
|              | Male                 | 50.2%     | 48.8%   |
|              | Female               | 49.8%     | 51.2%   |
|              | Median Age           | 31.2      | 35.3    |
| Π            | Persons under 18*    | 28.5%     | 23.4%   |
| Demographics | Persons 65 and over* | 9.3%      | 14.9%   |
|              | Marital Status       |           |         |
|              | Married              | 40.7%     | 45.2%   |
|              | Never Married        | 36.9%     | 34.0%   |
|              | Divorced/Widowed     | 22.4%     | 20.8%   |

| Demograph           | ics                  | This Area | Tulsa   |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------|
|                     | Population           | 9,650     | 413,066 |
|                     | Area (square miles)  | 2.250     | 201     |
|                     | Density              | 4,289     | 2,055   |
|                     | Male                 | 50.2%     | 48.8%   |
|                     | Female               | 49.8%     | 51.2%   |
|                     | Median Age           | 31.2      | 35.3    |
| <b>Demographics</b> | Persons under 18*    | 28.5%     | 23.4%   |
|                     | Persons 65 and over* | 9.3%      | 14.9%   |
|                     | Marital Status       |           |         |
|                     | Married              | 40.7%     | 45.2%   |
|                     | Never Married        | 36.9%     | 34.0%   |
|                     | Divorced/Widowed     | 22.4%     | 20.8%   |

## Why does it matter?



| 0.4<br>out of 5 Transportation   |            |       |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------|
| Data Point                       | Area Score | Tulsa |
| Access to Sidewalks              | 2.0        | 3.0   |
| Access to Trails                 | 0.0        | 2.0   |
| Access to Bicycle Infrastructure | 2.4        | 2.5   |
| Access to Public Transit         | 1.4        | 2.6   |
| Access to Motor Vehicle          | 1.3        | 1.8   |
| Auto-Auto Collisions             | 2.2        | 3.3   |
| Auto-Cyclist Collisions          | 0.6        | 2.8   |
| Auto-Pedestrian Collisions       | 0.9        | 3.1   |
| Arterial Street Surface          | 0.3        | 2.3   |
| Neighborhood Street Surface      | 3.7        | 2.8   |
| Streetlights                     | 3.7        | 1.9   |

| 2.1                      |             |       |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Out of 5 Public Services |             |       |
| Data Point               | Area Score  | Tulsa |
| Proximity to Schools     | 4.5         | 2.5   |
| Proximity to Libraries   | <b>1</b> .0 | 1.0   |
| Fire Response Time       | 4.1         | 3.2   |
| Water Service            | 3.6         | 3.7   |
| Sewer Service            | 2.9         | 3.5   |
| Loose Animal Complaints  | 0.0         | 2.9   |
| Streetlights             | 3.7         | 1.9   |

# How many cars do my neighbors have?



|            | Total Households                 | 1,956    | 163,801  |
|------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------|
|            | People per Household             | 1.28     | 2.42     |
|            | Median Household Income          | \$42,189 | \$49,611 |
|            | Low Income Household*            | 23.6%    | 17.5%    |
| Households | Single Parent Household*         | 2.2%     | 12.9%    |
|            | Vehicles Available per Household |          |          |
|            | Zero Vehicles                    |          |          |
|            | One Vehicle                      |          |          |
|            | Two+ Vehicles                    |          |          |

|            | Total Households                 | 1,956    | 163,801  |
|------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------|
|            | People per Household             | 1.28     | 2.42     |
|            | Median Household Income          | \$42,189 | \$49,611 |
|            | Low Income Household*            | 23.6%    | 17.5%    |
| Households | Single Parent Household*         | 2.2%     | 12.9%    |
|            | Vehicles Available per Household |          |          |
|            | Zero Vehicles                    | 34.0%    | 8.4%     |
|            | One Vehicle                      | 42.5%    | 40.9%    |
|            | Two+ Vehicles                    | 23.6%    | 50.7%    |

## Why does it matter?



| 4.9                               |            |       |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------|
| out of 5 Land Use                 |            |       |
| Data Point                        | Area Score | Tulsa |
| Proximity to Schools              | 4.9        | 2.5   |
| Proximity to Healthcare           | 5.0        | 3.1   |
| Proximity to Social Services      | 5.0        | 2.7   |
| Proximity to Financial Services   | 5.0        | 2.8   |
| Proximity to Eating Places        | 5.0        | 3.2   |
| Proximity to Libraries            | 5.0        | 1.0   |
| Proximity to Parks                | 4.7        | 2.4   |
| Proximity to Retail               | 5.0        | 2.6   |
| Proximity to Social Clubs         | 5.0        | 2.5   |
| Proximity to Places of Worship    | 3.6        | 1.9   |
| Proximity to Arts & Entertainment | 5.0        | 3.1   |
| Proximity to Healthy Food Sources | 0.0        | 2.2   |

| 4.9                              |            |       |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------|
| out of 5 Transportation          |            |       |
| Data Point                       | Area Score | Tulsa |
| Access to Sidewalks              | 4.7        | 3.0   |
| Access to Trails                 | 2.3        | 2.0   |
| Access to Bicycle Infrastructure | 5.0        | 2.5   |
| Access to Public Transit         | 5.0        | 2.6   |
| Access to Motor Vehicle          | 0.4        | 1.8   |
| Auto-Auto Collisions             | 0.2        | 3.3   |
| Auto-Cyclist Collisions          | 0.1        | 2.8   |
| Auto-Pedestrian Collisions       | 0.2        | 3.1   |
| Arterial Street Surface          | 4.1        | 2.3   |
| Neighborhood Street Surface      | 1.9        | 2.8   |
| Streetlights                     | 5.0        | 1.9   |

| 4.9                              |            |       |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------|
| out of 5 Transportation          |            |       |
| Data Point                       | Area Score | Tulsa |
| Access to Sidewalks              | 4.7        | 3.0   |
| Access to Trails                 | 2.3        | 2.0   |
| Access to Bicycle Infrastructure | 5.0        | 2.5   |
| Access to Public Transit         | 5.0        | 2.6   |
| Access to Motor Vehicle          | 0.4        | 1.8   |
| Auto-Auto Collisions             | 0.2        | 3.3   |
| Auto-Cyclist Collisions          | 0.1        | 2.8   |
| Auto-Pedestrian Collisions       | 0.2        | 3.1   |
| Arterial Street Surface          | 4.1        | 2.3   |
| Neighborhood Street Surface      | 1.9        | 2.8   |
| Streetlights                     | 5.0        | 1.9   |

| 0.3              |  |            |       |  |
|------------------|--|------------|-------|--|
| out of 5         | <b>Environment &amp; Natural Resources</b> |            |       |  |
|                  | Data Point                                 | Area Score | Tulsa |  |
| Flood Risk       |  | 4.9        | 4.2   |  |
| Electric Vehicle | ric Vehicle Charging Stations 5.0 2.4      |            | 2.4   |  |
| Tree Canopy      |  | 0.0        | 3.1   |  |
| Highway Noise    | & Pollution                                | 0.4        | 2.7   |  |
| Permeable Su     | rface                                      | 0.0        | 3.7   |  |

# What kind of housing is available?



|         | Units                  | 2,127     | 190,223   |
|---------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|         | Occupied               | 95.0%     | 90.0%     |
|         | Owner-Occupied         | 14.4%     | 51.7%     |
|         | Renter-Occupied        | 85.6%     | 48.3%     |
|         | Vacant                 | 5.0%      | 10.0%     |
|         | Average rent cost      | \$1,027   | \$846     |
|         | Average property value | \$107,694 | \$203,719 |
| Housing | Type of Housing        |           |           |
|         | Single family          |           |           |
|         | Missing Middle         |           |           |
|         | Multi-family           |           |           |

|         | Units                  | 2,127     | 190,223   |
|---------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|         | Occupied               | 95.0%     | 90.0%     |
|         | Owner-Occupied         | 14.4%     | 51.7%     |
|         | Renter-Occupied        | 85.6%     | 48.3%     |
|         | Vacant                 | 5.0%      | 10.0%     |
|         | Average rent cost      | \$1,027   | \$846     |
|         | Average property value | \$107,694 | \$203,719 |
| Housing | Type of Housing        |           |           |
|         | Single family          | 3.5%      | 63.4%     |
|         | Missing Middle         | 6.4%      | 10.1%     |
|         | Multi-family           | 90.1%     | 26.4%     |

|         | Units                  | 2,127     | 190,223   |
|---------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|         | Occupied               | 95.0%     | 90.0%     |
|         | Owner-Occupied         | 14.4%     | 51.7%     |
|         | Renter-Occupied        | 85.6%     | 48.3%     |
|         | Vacant                 | 5.0%      | 10.0%     |
|         | Average rent cost      | \$1,027   | \$846     |
|         | Average property value | \$107,694 | \$203,719 |
| Housing | Type of Housing        |           |           |
|         | Single family          | 3.5%      | 63.4%     |
|         | Missing Middle         | 6.4%      | 10.1%     |
|         | Multi-family           | 90.1%     | 26.4%     |

#### Reading reports: Terrace

|         | Units                  | 1,673     | 190,223   |
|---------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|         | Occupied               | 89.0%     | 90.0%     |
|         | Owner-Occupied         | 68.1%     | 51.7%     |
|         | Renter-Occupied        | 31.9%     | 48.3%     |
|         | Vacant                 | 11.0%     | 10.0%     |
|         | Average rent cost      | \$818     | \$846     |
|         | Average property value | \$125,617 | \$203,719 |
| Housing | Type of Housing        |           |           |
|         | Single family          | 88.1%     | 63.4%     |
|         | Missing Middle         | 1.5%      | 10.1%     |
|         | Multi-family           | 10.4%     | 26.4%     |

## Why does it matter?





# What businesses, services, and groups operate in the area?



| Economy    |                            | This Area | Tulsa  |
|------------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
|            | Total Businesses           | 1,999     | 22,930 |
|            | Retail                     | 119       | 4,025  |
|            | Dining                     | 95        | 1,283  |
| ¢          | Office                     | 632       | 4,703  |
| ₽          | ↓ Lodging                  |           | 135    |
| Businesses | Full-Service Grocery Store | -         | 57     |
|            | Convenience Store/Gas      | 4         | 190    |
|            | Manufacturing              | 59        | 1,517  |

| Economy    |                            | This Area | Tulsa  |
|------------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
|            | Total Businesses           | 1,999     | 22,930 |
|            | Retail                     | 119       | 4,025  |
|            | Dining                     | 95        | 1,283  |
|            | Office                     | 632       | 4,703  |
| Φ          | Lodging                    | 18        | 135    |
| Businesses | Full-Service Grocery Store | -         | 57     |
|            | Convenience Store/Gas      | 4         | 190    |
|            | Manufacturing              | 59        | 1,517  |

| Public Services |                              | This Area | Tulsa |
|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------|-------|
|                 | Schools                      | 6         | 170   |
|                 | Healthcare Providers         | 267       | 5,348 |
|                 | Social Services <sup>2</sup> | 69        | 762   |
| TIII            | Financial Services           | 129       | 1,535 |
|                 | Social Clubs <sup>3</sup>    | 16        | 126   |
| Services &      | Police Station               | 2         | 7     |
| Institution     | Fire Station                 | -         | 29    |
| motication      | Government                   | 96        | 270   |
|                 | Child Care                   | 4         | 85    |

| Economy    |                            | This Area | Tulsa  |
|------------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
|            | Total Businesses           | 1,999     | 22,930 |
|            | Retail                     | 119       | 4,025  |
|            | Dining                     | 95        | 1,283  |
| \$         | Office                     | 632       | 4,703  |
| Φ          | Lodging                    | 18        | 135    |
| Businesses | Full-Service Grocery Store | -         | 57     |
|            | Convenience Store/Gas      | 4         | 190    |
|            | Manufacturing              | 59        | 1,517  |

| Public Services           |                              | This Area | Tulsa |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|-------|
|                           | Schools                      | 6         | 170   |
|                           | Healthcare Providers         | 267       | 5,348 |
|                           | Social Services <sup>2</sup> | 69        | 762   |
| TITT                      | Financial Services           | 129       | 1,535 |
|                           | Social Clubs <sup>3</sup>    | 16        | 126   |
| Services &<br>Institution | Police Station               | 2         | 7     |
|                           | Fire Station                 | -         | 29    |
|                           | Government                   | 96        | 270   |
|                           | Child Care                   | 4         | 85    |

| Economy    |                            | This Area | Tulsa  |
|------------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
|            | Total Businesses           | 1,999     | 22,930 |
|            | Retail                     | 119       | 4,025  |
|            | Dining                     | 95        | 1,283  |
|            | Office                     | 632       | 4,703  |
| <b>P</b>   | Lodging                    | 18        | 135    |
| Businesses | Full-Service Grocery Store | -         | 57     |
|            | Convenience Store/Gas      | 4         | 190    |
|            | Manufacturing              | 59        | 1,517  |

| Public Services |                              | This Area | Tulsa |
|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------|-------|
|                 | Schools                      | 6         | 170   |
|                 | Healthcare Providers         | 267       | 5,348 |
|                 | Social Services <sup>2</sup> | 69        | 762   |
| IIII            | Financial Services           | 129       | 1,535 |
|                 | Social Clubs <sup>3</sup>    | 16        | 126   |
| Services &      | Police Station               | 2         | 7     |
| Institution     | Fire Station                 | -         | 29    |
|                 | Government                   | 96        | 270   |
|                 | Child Care                   | 4         | 85    |

#### **Neighborhood Groups**

- Business Association: Tulsa Arts District, East Village District
- Neighborhood Association: Gunboat Park District

### How can we use this?



#### Reading reports: Partnership Opportunities



#### Reading reports: Partnership Opportunities



# Part 3. Planning actions and exploring resources



# How do we choose priorities?



What Why Who When How



#### **Prioritizing actions**

#### Quality of life?

#### **Partners' motivation?**

Timeline: weeks? months? years?

Available resources?

#### Category Scores

#### How to read your results

Area Scores within each category have an unweighted value on a scale of 0 to 5 comparing the NSA to all the other NSAs in the city. The "Tulsa" column contains the average score for the whole city for each data point.

- Results above 3.75
  Results between 1.25 and 3.75
  Results below 1.25
- Category Scores are not an average of the associated data points values. Additional weight is given to data points identified as priorities in the comprehensive plan.

\*

For detailed descriptions of each data point, please refer to the Data Points Glossary (pages 5-7).

| 4.9 Land Use                      |            |       |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------|
| Data Point                        | Area Score | Tulsa |
| Proximity to Schools              | 9 4.9      | 2.5   |
| Proximity to Healthcare           | 5.0        | 3.1   |
| Proximity to Social Services      | 5.0        | 2.6   |
| Proximity to Financial Services   | 5.0        | 2.8   |
| Proximity to Eating Places        | 5.0        | 3.2   |
| Proximity to Libraries            | 5.0        | 1.0   |
| Proximity to Parks                | 4.7        | 2.4   |
| Proximity to Retail               | 5.0        | 2.6   |
| Proximity to Social Clubs         | 5.0        | 2.5   |
| Proximity to Places of Worship    | 3.6        | 1.8   |
| Proximity to Arts & Entertainment | 5.0        | 3.0   |
| Proximity to Healthy Food Sources | 0.0        | 2.6   |

| 1.6                         |            |       |  |
|-----------------------------|------------|-------|--|
| Economic Development        |            |       |  |
| Data Point                  | Area Score | Tulsa |  |
| Population Growth           | 4.5        | 3.7   |  |
| Educational Attainment      | 2.4        | 3.0   |  |
| Employment Density          | 5.0        | 3.2   |  |
| Labor Force Participation   | 0.1        | 2.7   |  |
| Median Income               | 2.0        | 2.8   |  |
| Health Insurance Coverage   | 3.3        | 2.4   |  |
| Employment Rate             | 2.6        | 2.2   |  |
| Poverty Rate                | 1.4        | 2.5   |  |
| Office & Industrial Density | 5.0        | 3.3   |  |

| 20                               |            |       |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------|
| 3.9 Transportation               |            |       |
| Data Point                       | Area Score | Tulsa |
| Access to Sidewalks              | 4.7        | 3.0   |
| Access to Trails                 | 2.3        | 2.0   |
| Access to Bicycle Infrastructure | 5.0        | 2.4   |
| Access to Public Transit         | 5.0        | 2.5   |
| Access to Motor Vehicle          | 0.4        | 1.8   |
| Auto-Auto Collisions             | 0.1        | 3.3   |
| Auto-Cyclist Collisions          | 0.1        | 2.9   |
| Auto-Pedestrian Collisions       | 0.3        | 3.1   |
| Arterial Street Surface          | 4.1        | 2.2   |
| Neighborhood Street Surface      | 1.9        | 2.8   |
| Streetlights                     | 5.0        | 2.1   |

| 2.3<br>Housing & Neighborhoods |            |       |  |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------|--|
| Data Point                     | Area Score | Tulsa |  |
| Occupancy Rate                 | .9         | 2.3   |  |
| Cost-Burdened Home Owners      | 0.1        | 2.7   |  |
| Cost-Burdened Renters          | 3.7        | 2.4   |  |
| Exposure to Violent Crimes     | 0.3        | 2.8   |  |
| Housing Density                | 3.6        | 1.7   |  |
| Evictions                      | 1.5        | 1.0   |  |
| Code Violations                | 3.7        | 2.0   |  |
| Number of Police Calls         | 0.0        | 3.2   |  |

2

#### **Prioritizing actions**

#### Quality of life?

#### Partners' motivation?

Timeline: weeks? months? years?

Available resources?



#### **Prioritizing actions**

Quality of life?

Partners' motivation?

Timeline: weeks? months? years?

Available resources?


### **Prioritizing actions**

Quality of life?

Partners' motivation?

Timeline: weeks? months? years?

Available resources?



# Neighborhood Priorities Step 1 Handout



|  | Date:         |
|--|---------------|
| leighborhood:  | NSA:          |
| What do we want to work on?                          |               |
| What are the assets in our neighborhood?             |               |
|  |               |
| What will impact the quality of life in our neighbor | hood?         |
|  |               |
| 3 <u></u>  |               |
| What are our neighbors and partners motivated to     | change?       |
|  |               |
|  |               |
| What changes can we make this week? This mont        | h? This year? |
|  |               |
|  |               |
|  |               |
|  |               |
| What resources do we have access to?                 |               |
|  |               |

# Neighborhood Priorities Step 2 Handout



#### Activity: Neighborhood Priorities Step 2 NEIGHBORHOOD CONDITIONS INDEX

Please identify data points in your report that your neighborhood is interested in working on.

| Assets & Strengths ——— | ן |
|------------------------|---|
| l                      |   |
| 2                      |   |
| 3                      |   |
|                        | J |

| ) | Opportunities to Improve |
|---|--------------------------|
|   | l                        |
|   | 2                        |
| ļ | 3                        |

SPC S

#### Who are our neighbors?

Ask questions like: "How old are they?" "What is their educational background?" "Are they in the workforce?"

What uses and services are in our neighborhood?

Ask questions like: "How do our neighbors commute?" "What type of housing is available?" "What type of public services and businesses are there?"

Are there neighborhood groups that we could partner with? Ask questions like: "Are there neighborhood associations, HOA's, business associations, or other groups available?"

Plan & take action **NCI Datapoints: Higher-scoring:** Lower-scoring: 1. 1. 2. 2. 3. 3. **Opportunities to Improve Assets & Strengths** 

**NCI** Datapoints:

**Higher-scoring:** 

Access to Sidewalks
Access to Public Transit
Proximity to Parks

Assets & Strengths

Lower-scoring:

1. Tree Canopy

- 2. Number of Police Calls
- **3. Reported Code Violations**

**Opportunities to Improve** 

**NCI** Datapoints:

**Higher-scoring:** 

Access to Sidewalks
Access to Public Transit
Proximity to Parks

Assets & Strengths

Lower-scoring:

1. Tree Canopy

- 2. Number of Police Calls
- **3. Reported Code Violations**

**Opportunities to Improve** 

### How do we access resources?



### **NCI Resource Center**



| 02               |  |            |       |  |  |  |
|------------------|--|------------|-------|--|--|--|
| 0.3<br>out of 5  | <b>Environment &amp; Natural Resources</b> |            |       |  |  |  |
|                  | Data Point                                 | Area Score | Tulsa |  |  |  |
| Flood Risk       |  | 4.9        | 4.2   |  |  |  |
| Electric Vehicle | Charging Stations                          | 5.0        | 2.4   |  |  |  |
| Tree Canopy      |  | 0.0        | 3.1   |  |  |  |
| Highway Noise    | & Pollution                                | 0.4        | 2.7   |  |  |  |
| Permeable Sur    | face                                       | 0.0        | 3.7   |  |  |  |

"Percentage of land area covered by trees"

#### tulsaplanning.org/nci

### TULSA PLANNING OFFICE

Home About Us v Boards & Commissions v Programs v Resources v 🔎



#### WELCOME TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD CONDITIONS INDEX (NCI)

For Tulsans to thrive, their neighborhoods must thrive. That is why the City of Tulsa is introducing the **Neighborhood Conditions Index (NCI)**, an effort by the City of Tulsa to use data to guide the equitable investment of resources throughout the City and to assist residents in identifying assets and opportunities in their neighborhoods.

City neighborhoods were grouped into **80 Neighborhood Statistical Areas**. Using data from several public sources **80 NCI Reports** were generated to cover every neighborhood in the City.

Beautiful communities do not happen by chance, it takes a community working together and sharing the responsibility. NCI is a tool for **collaboration and community strengthening** to empower residents to work together to improve the places where they live. The goal of NCI is to **create opportunities** and a platform for the City of Tulsa and neighborhoods to partner and work more closely together towards common goals.

For more information, contact us at nci@cityoftulsa.org

#### NEWS AND UPDATES

 NCI Resource Center is now available.

#### RESOURCES

- NCI Reports
- NCI Resource Center
- Interactive Map with Reports
- City Council District NSA Map
- Frequently Asked Questions
- Data Points Glossary

#### CONTACT INFORMATION

- nci@cityoftulsa.org
- 918-596-7660 (voicemail inbox)

#### VIDEO PRESENTATION

The video is available in English and Spanish



#### tulsaplanning.org/nci

#### **NCI Resource Center**

### TULSA PLANNING OFFICE

Home About Us v Boards & Commissions v Programs v Resources v O



#### WELCOME TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD CONDITIONS INDEX (NCI)

For Tulsans to thrive, their neighborhoods must thrive. That is why the City of Tulsa is introducing the **Neighborhood Conditions Index (NCI)**, an effort by the City of Tulsa to use data to guide the equitable investment of resources throughout the City and to assist residents in identifying assets and opportunities in their neighborhoods.

City neighborhoods were grouped into **80 Neighborhood Statistical Areas**. Using data from several public sources **80 NCI Reports** were generated to cover every neighborhood in the City.

Beautiful communities do not happen by chance, it takes a community working together and sharing the responsibility. NCI is a tool for **collaboration and community strengthening** to empower residents to work together to improve the places where they live. The goal of NCI is to **create opportunities** and a platform for the City of Tulsa and neighborhoods to partner and work more closely together towards common goals.

For more information, contact us at nci@cityoftulsa.org

#### NEWS AND UPDATES

 NCI Resource Center is now available.

#### RESOURCES

- NCI Reports
- NCI Resource Center
- Interactive Map with Reports
- City Council District NSA Map
- Frequently Asked Questions
- Data Points Glossary

#### CONTACT INFORMATION

- nci@cityoftulsa.org
- 918-596-7660 (voicemail inbox)

#### VIDEO PRESENTATION

The video is available in English and Spanish



tulsaplanning.org/nci

**NCI Resource Center** 

Select the category





Email <u>nci@cityoftulsa.org</u> if you have any questions about the program.



**NCI Resource Center** 

Select the category

Select the data point

Actions & resources



Home About Us v Boards & Commissions v Programs v Resources v Q

#### **NCI RESOURCE CENTER**

#### **TREE CANOPY**

Below are recommended actions that may improve this neighborhood condition.

| Plant Recommended Tre  | Plant Recommended Trees   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Planting trees that are native and/or recor<br>highway noise and pollutants. | Planting trees that are native and/or recommended by local experts on your property or neighborhood can increase tree canopy coverage and may help to reduce highway noise and pollutants.              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plant and Care for Trees   | A guide for planting and caring for approved trees in the City of Tulsa   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TCCL Seed Library<br>918-549-7323<br>Johanna.Burton@tulsalibrary.or<br>g     | The Tulsa City-County Library provides access to a Seed Library. You check out seeds (up to 15 packets) and grown your garden. Save seeds from the best plants and return it to the library for others. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree Planting Guide  | A guide to successful tree establishment, includes planting techniques and methods.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tulsa Recommended &<br>Prohibited Tree & Plant<br>Species                    | A list of recommended and prohibited trees for required landscape areas.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

How do we create an action plan?



What? Why? Who? When? How?



### What

We want to plant trees to increase tree canopy in the neighborhood

# Why

Residents want trees, shade, a beautified neighborhood, and to reduce heat islands

# Who

Set a committee of knowledgeable and interested residents



# What

We want to plant trees to increase tree canopy in the neighborhood

# Why

Residents want trees, shade, a beautified neighborhood, and to reduce heat islands

# Who

Set a committee of knowledgeable and interested residents



# What

We want to plant trees to increase tree canopy in the neighborhood

# Why

Residents want trees, shade, a beautified neighborhood, and to reduce heat islands

# Who

Set a committee of knowledgeable and interested residents



### When

Identify resources and actions to take, to build a timeline

### How

- Organize a tree planting event
  - Select recommended trees
  - Inform neighbors
  - Recruit volunteers
  - Maintenance plan



# When

Identify resources and actions to take, to build a timeline

### How

- Organize a tree planting event
  - Select recommended trees
  - Inform neighbors
  - Recruit volunteers
  - Maintenance plan



Action Plan Handout



| IGHBORHOOD CONDITIONS INDEX | Date: | ~                                      |
|-----------------------------|-------|--|
| he:                         |       |  |
| nbornood:                   | NSA:  |  |
| at do we want to work on?   |       |  |
| 'hy are we doing this?      |       |  |
|                             |       |  |
|                             |       |  |
| 'ho will work on this?      |       |  |
|                             |       |  |
|                             |       |  |
|                             |       |  |
| hat resources are there?    |       |  |
|                             |       |  |
|                             |       |  |
|                             |       |  |
| ow will we do this?         |       |  |
|                             |       |  |
|                             |       |  |
|                             |       | 10000000000000000000000000000000000000 |
| /hen will we do this?       |       |  |
| nen will we do this?        |       |  |
|                             |       |  |
|                             |       |  |

| ame:  | Date:  |
|---|--|
| eighborhood:  | NSA:   |
| Vhat do we want to work on?<br>Ve want to plant trees to increase tree canopy in t                | he neighborhood.                                 |
| Why are we doing this?  |  |
| We want trees, shade, a beautified neighborho   | od, and to reduce heat islands.                  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| Maria Sanchez.  | ted residents: John Smith; Jane Doe; Juan Perez; |
| Plant and Care for Trees; TCCL Seed Library; Tre  | e Planting Guide; Up with Trees.                 |
| Plant and Care for Trees; TCCL Seed Library; Tre  | e Planting Guide; Up with Trees.                 |
| How will we do this?  | e Planting Guide; Up with Trees.                 |
| How will we do this?  |  |
| How will we do this?<br>Organize a tree planting event; select recomme                            |  |
| How will we do this?<br>Organize a tree planting event; select recomme<br>set a maintenance plan. |  |
| How will we do this?<br>Organize a tree planting event; select recomme                            | ended trees; inform neighbors; recruit volunteer |

### We encourage neighbors to

- Discuss with your community
- Visit tulsaplanning.org/nci
- Contact us at:
  - nci@cityoftulsa.org
    - 918.596.7660 (voicemail)

|             |  | the second second second second second second  |                             | _   |                              |  |   |
|-------------|--|--|-----------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|---|
|             |  |  |                             | Hun   | te                           | r Park   |   |
|             |  | Eastla   | nd                          | 2023 N  | eighl                        | borhood Conditic   |   |
|             | Charles Pa   | 2023 Neighl  | borhe                       |   |                              |  | ns Index R  |
|             | 2023 Neighborhood  | Overview   |                             | About Neigh   | hborho                       | od Conditions Index  |   |
|             | Overview   | About Neighborh<br>Every Tulsan desc   | ood (                       | and play in a   | qualit                       | ves to live, work,<br>V Deighbout  | ን   |
|             | About Neighborhood Con   | Neighborhood Cor   | ity ne                      | Collecter   | w to be                      | gin that (NCI)   |   |
| a<br>r<br>t | very Tulsan deserves to I<br>and play in a quality neigh<br>veighborhood Conditions<br>acilitates how to begin tr<br>sollected at the neighbor | collected at the ne<br>been compiled in t<br>does not define the<br>meant to be a com                            | egii<br>ighl<br>hes<br>e ni | does not defin<br>meant to be a   | d in the<br>le the n<br>comm | historhood scale <sup>2</sup> has<br>ese reports. This data<br>heighborhood; it is<br>n reference point to<br>renghorhood groups<br>their communities. | 日本  |
| 1           | been compiled in these r<br>does not define the neigi  | empower citizens a<br>to shape and impro   | DC.                         |   |                              | their communities.   | 7   |
|             | meant to be a common i<br>empower citizens and no  |  |                             | About this Area<br>The Hunter Park  |                              |  | Location wi   |
|             | to shape and improve th  | About this Area<br>The Eastland Neight   | 2                           | between 81st St,<br>composed of deta<br>duplexes to an  | Neight<br>101st<br>ached (   | orhood Statistical Area<br>St, Yale Ave, and Mem<br>homes, which range fro<br>tates. Apartment comp  | locoupies 4 sq  |
|             | About this Area<br>The Charles Page Neigl  | between 21st St, 31<br>characterized by a m<br>townhouses, and ap  |                             | Park II.  | arterial                     | etres hpartment com  | VIOLAN INTERNO  |
|             | Arkansas River, Edison<br>square miles. Developr<br>detached houses and<br>industry, event venues<br>The Keystone and Gilc                     | District and the Eas'<br>former Eastland Ma<br>intersections, along<br>Dolores Huerta Elei<br>center, McCullough |                             | Turnpike and Creek<br>Turnpike and Creek<br>commercial corrido<br>Score Overvie                           | l, and n<br>« Turnp<br>ir,   | streets. Apartment comp<br>streets. The neighbord<br>V. Helmerich Library, H<br>eligious uses. The area<br>lke Trail, and it is adjac                  | lood includes (<br>Iolland Hall Sci<br>has access to<br>ent to the Sout |
|             | which is home to KIPF<br>Fine Arts Academy, K:   | Score Overvi   |                             | Overall Score   |                              |  |   |
|             | Score Overviev   | Overall Score  |                             | 10  |                              | Top Catego   | ry Scores   |
|             | Overall Score  | 2.   |                             | 4.2   | )<br>-                       | 4.5<br>out of 5  | 4.1   |
|             | 1.(  | out of P<br>based on a co<br>score that in   | S                           | based on a compos<br>score that includes<br>the nine Category<br>cores (pages 2-3) an<br>selected Fouries | s                            | Housing &<br>Neighborhoods   | Economic<br>Development   |
|             | out of 5<br>based on a con   | the nine Ca<br>Scores (pages   | fro                         | selected Equity Data<br>in the Area Snapsh<br>Section (page 4)  | a<br>Iot                     | These scores refle<br>(more on pages 2 ;   |   |
|             | score that inc<br>the nine Cato<br>Scores (pages 1   | selected Equ<br>from the Area<br>section (p  |                             | rity Group  |                              | (more on pages 2 ;   | ot some assets<br>3)  |
|             | selected Equi?<br>from the Area S  |  |                             | ing Group   |                              | Bottom Catego  |   |
|             | section (på  | Priority Gro   |                             | <u>2</u>  |                              |  | y scores  |
|             | Priority Grou  | 2  | base                        | Out or 3  |                              | 1.9<br>autor5  | 1.2<br>out of 5   |
|             | 2  | out<br>based on<br>Score, with   | highe<br>(Dottor            | ist priority group  |                              | History, Culture P<br>& Creativity Rev   | 'arks & Pu  |
|             | out o<br>based on ti   | highest pr   | priority o                  | heing the lowest<br>foup (top 25% of<br>among 80 No.  | -                            | These scores reflect so  | creation  |
|             |  | and 2 hair   | cs/ (                       | UNDING ON NIG.  |                              |  |   |

Score, with highest prid

(bottom 25

and 3 being

priority group scores) amo

and 3 beir

priority grou

scores) an

<sup>1</sup> The data in t City of Tulsa,

#### ark

ood Conditions Index Report

#### nditions Index

#### ive, work, borhood. The ndex (NCI) at work. Data ood scale<sup>1</sup> has orhood; it is rence point to borhood groups ommunities.

Location within City of Tulse od Statistical Area occupies 4 square miles riole Ave. and Memorial Dr. The area is mainly es, which angle from small lot hore is mainly es, the statistical and office etc. The neighborhood midulds Creasent Heilmerium, Holland Hall School, Damaby glous usar. The area has access to the Creak e Trail, and it is adjacent to the South Memorial

Environment

& Natural

Public Services

Housing & leighborhoods hese scores reflect some assets and strongths.



These scores reflect some opportunities for improvement. (more on pages 2-3)

\* <u>The data in this report is not personally identifiable</u>. It was collected from the following public sources: Untited sources



Neighborhoods are more than just places where we live. They are a part of our identity and where we build and find community and prosperiod and find community and work, strudy, shop, eat, or play, and safe ways to get to unsee places are all with needs to ensure thriving communities.

NCI is a tool for collaboration and community strengthening, with the goal of creating opportunities for the City of Tulsa and neighborhoods to work more closely together

### After reviewing this report, we recommend

DISCUSS the report's findings with your neighbors and engage with neighborhood leaders and other local organizations to identify your priorities and set goals.

VISIT tuisaplanning.org/nci to learn more about the Neighborhood Conditions Index.

- CONTACT us if you have any questions. ⊠ nci@cityoftulsa.org 918-596-7660 (Message line)
- tulsaplanning.org/nci

### Sign up now.

#### Visit:

### TULSAPLANNING.ORG/NCIFORM





For Tulsans to thrive, their neighborhoods must thrive. That is why the City of Tulsa is introducing the Neighborhood Conditions Index (NCI), a tool to assist residents in identifying assets and opportunities in their neighborhoods. This data is meant to empower residents to work together to improve the places where they live by partnering with the City of Tulsa and working together toward common goals.

If your neighborhood is organized and ready to start working, we would you like to discuss how NCI can help you and your neighbors accomplish your neighborhood goals.

#### How does it work?

Our team will process applications from interested neighborhood representatives and schedule a day and time to conduct a **one-hour workshop with their neighborhood.** 

The workshop will cover the neighborhood's report, a walkthrough of available materials, ideas on how to identify priorities, available resources, and potential next steps.

| Would you like                     | to learn more?         | Contact us | !                           |         |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| <sup>Call:</sup><br>[918] 596-7660 | Email:<br>NCI@CITYOFTU |            | Vebsite:<br>IULSAPLANNING.[ | JRG/NCI |
| Sign up now.                       |                        |            | SCAN TO                     | CITY O  |
| visit:<br>TULSAPLANNING.           | ORG/NCIFORM            |            | SIGN UP                     | TUL     |

# Activity Neighborhood Challenge



- 1. Assemble your groups
- 2. Your group will be assigned a report and a challenge to discuss
- 3. What type of data should you look for?
  - Formulate questions
  - Look for data to answer those questions
- 4. Build a story or hypothesis with your findings!



- Sequoyah Chronic Absenteeism in Schools
- ✓ Kendall-Whittier Walkability & Safety
- Eastland Housing Conditions



# What's Next?



### Your Road Map to Vibrant and Engaged Neighborhoods!

Every Tulsan should live in a neighborhood in which they feel they belong. Over time, neighborhoods may no longer fulfill their residents' vision. The goal of Neighborhood Academy is to equip residents with the tools and knowledge to find support to make coordinated change. Neighborhood Academy participants are equipped to get organized, produce a shared vision, utilize available resources, and partner with the City of Tulsa to build the neighborhoods where everyone feels they belong.

#### T-Town Dr SPEED LIMIT 55 0 1. How do we get organized and 2. How do we make plans and ž 3. How do we measure and where do we start? find partners? maintain success? 3 hours 3 hours P 1 hours Neiahborhoods are more than collections of homes. Residents who are organized can produce a shared All plans arow and evolve throughout the process. vision of the neighborhood in which they feel they can This block provides insights for how neighborhoods Houses, streets, and parks age over time. What makes a neighborhood is the people who live there. This belong. Participants will learn the tools and strategies can maintain and evaluate success. section is about getting organized and putting in the that can help fulfill that vision. A. Measuring and Maintaining Success structure to effect change. A. Engaging Partners 14. Impact Reporting A. Being an Organizer 9. Local Business and NPOs 15. Succession Planning 1. Introduction 10. Volunteers 2. Neighborhood Outreach **B. Identifying Goals** 4. What city resources are available and Welcoming 11. SWOT Analysis 3. Community Workshops and how to use them? 12. Mapping your Neighborhood 4. Developing Leaders 13. Neighborhood Conditions Index (NCI) 2 hours B. Creating a Neighborhood Association Neighborhoods can maximize their efforts by 5. Selecting Officers partnering effectively with the City of Tulsa. 6. Bylaws Participants will learn about available programs C. Running a Neighborhood Association and opportunities to effect positive change. 7. Running Meetings 8. Financial Administrations and Compliance Would you like to learn more? Contact us! NEIGHBORHOOD (918) 596-1292 MEIGHBORHOODLEADERS@CITYOFTULSA.ORG

### Your Road Map to Vibrant and Engaged Neighborhoods!

Every Tulsan should live in a neighborhood in which they feel they belong. Over time, neighborhoods may no longer fulfill their residents' vision. The goal of Neighborhood Academy is to equip residents with the tools and knowledge to find support to make coordinated change. Neighborhood Academy participants are equipped to get organized, produce a shared vision, utilize available resources, and partner with the City of Tulsa to build the neighborhoods where everyone feels they belong.

#### T-Town Dr SPEED LIMIT 55 0 汨 1. How do we get organized and 2. How do we make plans and How do we measure and 3. where do we start? find partners? maintain success? 3 hours 3 hours 1 hours Neiahborhoods are more than collections of homes. Residents who are organized can produce a shared All plans arow and evolve throughout the process. vision of the neighborhood in which they feel they can This block provides insights for how neighborhoods Houses, streets, and parks age over time. What makes a neighborhood is the people who live there. This belong. Participants will learn the tools and strategies can maintain and evaluate success. section is about getting organized and putting in the that can help fulfill that vision. A. Measuring and Maintaining Success structure to effect change. A. Engaging Partners 14. Impact Reporting A. Being an Organizer 9. Local Business and NPOs 15. Succession Planning 1. Introduction 10. Volunteers 2. Neighborhood Outreach **B. Identifying Goals** What city resources are available and Welcoming 11. SWOT Analysis 3. Community Workshops and how to use them? 12. Mapping your Neighborhood 4. Developing Leaders 13. Neighborhood Conditions Index (NCI) 2 hours B. Creating a Neighborhood Association Neighborhoods can maximize their efforts by 5. Selecting Officers partnering effectively with the City of Tulsa. 6. Bylaws Participants will learn about available programs C. Running a Neighborhood Association and opportunities to effect positive change. 7. Running Meetings 8. Financial Administrations and Compliance Would you like to learn more? Contact us! NEIGHBORHOOD [918] 596-1292 MEIGHBORHOODLEADERS@CITYOFTULSA.ORG

# THANK YOU

