Repetitive Loss Area # 31

Bell Creek
E. 46th St. & S. 85th E. Ave. Area

August 17, 2017
August 17, 2017

Dear Resident/Property Owner:

Once considered the most flood-prone city in America, Tulsa has worked hard to reduce or eliminate flooding of its homes and neighborhoods. The City joined the Federal Emergency Management Agency’s (FEMA) National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) in 1974 and through decades of effort is now recognized as a national leader in flood hazard mitigation. As a result, property owners in Tulsa receive as much as 40% discount on their flood insurance.

A key component of the NFIP has been its focus on Repetitive Loss Properties, which make up only 1 percent of insured properties, but account for over 30 percent of flood insurance claims payments. A Repetitive Loss Property is defined by FEMA as any property that has been paid two or more flood insurance claims of $1,000 or more in a 10-year time period.

The NFIP recently expanded its flood hazard mitigation program to include the identification of “Repetitive Loss Areas” (RLA)—those properties near an existing Repetitive Loss Property that may be subject to the same general flooding conditions. In most instances, 95% of the properties in an RLA will never have experienced flooding—especially if the cause of damage is shallow, overland flow due to local drainage conditions. Once the City has identified an RLA, we are required to contact the owners and residents of the area and, working together to develop a plan to reduce or eliminate flooding in their neighborhood.

Your property has been identified as being in a Repetitive Loss Area. We want to re-emphasize that this does not mean your property has flooded or is even likely to flood—only that it is in the same area, and in a similar geographical situation, as an existing Repetitive Loss Property.

You can protect your property from flooding. We would like to invite you to participate in our flood prevention and mitigation efforts for your neighborhood. We need your input. What can we do, working together, to eliminate potential flood losses in your area? We look forward to hearing from you.

To learn more about your risk of flooding visit www.floodsmart.gov or contact the City of Tulsa Customer Care Center at (918) 596-7777.

Sincerely,

CITY OF TULSA, ENGINEERING SERVICES

Bill Robison, P.E., CFM
Senior Special Projects Engineer
Stormwater Project Coordination
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Repetitive Loss Area # 31

Bell Creek
E. 46\textsuperscript{th} St. & S. 85\textsuperscript{th} E. Ave. Area

Overview
Repetitive Loss Area #31 is comprised of four commercial buildings on five lots in the E. 46\textsuperscript{th} St. and S. 85\textsuperscript{th} E. Ave. area. The properties are in the Bell Creek drainage, midway between Bell’s West Branch and East Branch—each of which are about 1,400 ft. to the west and east, respectively. The properties were developed between 1967 and 1971 along the south side of E. 46\textsuperscript{th} St. Only one property in the RLA, the repetitive loss property, has made flood damage claims: in 1982 and 1984 for a total of $14,829. The cause of flooding was overland flow and site drainage issues in the generally level terrain of the immediate neighborhood. There have been no claims or reported flooding in the RLA since 1984.

Flooding issues are related to runoff from the high ground to the south southwest—generally from the area between E. 49\textsuperscript{th} and E. 51\textsuperscript{st} St. and Memorial Blvd. and S 83\textsuperscript{rd} E. Ave. downhill into the parking lots immediately behind the properties of the RLA. The hill upon which the shopping center is located rises to about 750 ft., while the properties of the RLA are at between 680 and 690 ft. elevation.

RLA #31 is located on the south side of E. 46\textsuperscript{th} St. at about S. 85\textsuperscript{th} E. Ave., midway between the East and West Branches of Bell Creek (Mingo Creek Tributaries 11A and 11B).
I. Background

During the post-World War building boom of the 1950s and 1960s, Tulsa expanded rapidly east and south into the basins of Mingo, Joe and Fred creeks. Because of the city’s climate and the broad floodplains along these creeks, this growth brought with it an increased risk of flooding. And indeed, by the mid-1980s floods were occurring almost yearly and flooding had become Tulsa’s most destructive natural hazard. One researcher at the time declared Tulsa “the most flood-prone community in the nation.”

Tulsa was not unique in its rapid post-war development and attendant risks. Cities across America were experiencing similar problems as they spread out into prosperous subdivisions. In response, the U.S. Congress created the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) in 1968 to help property owners protect themselves from flood losses. The NFIP offered flood insurance to homeowners, renters, and business owners if their community participated in the NFIP and agreed to adopt and enforce ordinances that met or exceeded FEMA requirements for reducing the risk of flooding.

Tulsa joined the NFIP in 1974, and through great effort and considerable expense has significantly reduced its exposure to flooding. As a result, Tulsa has been awarded a Class II rating in the NFIP’s Community Rating System (CRS), which grants its residents a 40 percent discount on the cost of flood insurance for structures in the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA), also known as the 1% or 100-year floodplain. Since the Biggert-Waters Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2012, many properties have seen a substantial increase in their premiums, making this discount even more important.

For its part, the NFIP is continually faced with the job of paying claims while trying to keep the price of flood insurance at an affordable level. Properties that flood repeatedly—known as “repetitive loss properties,” have been a particular problem for the program: Although they make up only 1 percent of insured properties, they account for one-third of all claims payments (about $200 million per year, or $4.5 billion to date). A repetitive loss property is defined by FEMA as any property that has been paid two or more flood insurance claims of $1,000 or more in a 10-year time period.

Consequently, one of the requirements of the CRS is that communities identify all repetitive loss properties in their jurisdiction and work with the owners to find ways to reduce or eliminate future flood damage. This initiative has been very successful in reducing flood losses and claims.

FEMA recently extended its repetitive loss program to include “Repetitive Loss Areas” (RLA). To maintain a Class II rating in the CRS, Tulsa is now required to analyze the area surrounding each of its repetitive loss properties and identify any neighboring properties (including uninsured ones) that may be subject to the same general flooding conditions. This group of nearby properties is then designated an “RLA.” The City is required to contact the owners of the properties in the RLA, inform them that they are located in an area subject to flooding, and develop a plan for mitigating or eliminating flooding in the area, much as has been done for the individual repetitive loss properties.

It is important to note that most of the structures in a Repetitive Loss Area—perhaps as many as 80% or 90%—may not have experienced flooding of any kind. What they have in common is being subject to the same general geographical and flood conditions as the
nearby repetitive loss property. In addition, the flooding events in question may have had little to do with overbank flooding from a creek, but perhaps may have been the result of storm sewer backup or overland flow. The location of RLA #31 is shown on the aerial photo/topography map on page 4, below. The map identifies residential properties, County Assessor parcels, floodplains and the existing storm drainage system.

II. Location
Bell Creek is a 2.5-mile-long, left-bank tributary to Mingo Creek that drains 2.5-square-miles of southeast Tulsa. The basin is fully developed with a combination of residential, commercial and industrial properties. The creek has two primary branches (Mingo Tributaries 11A and 11B) that rise in the south Tulsa hills near E. 51st and S. 76th E. Ave. and E. 55th and S. Memorial Blvd., respectively. The two branches flow generally north north-east to join at E. 39th St. and S. 93rd E. Ave. before continuing north to junctions with Fulton Creek near 33rd Pl. and S. 93rd E. Ave. and Mingo Creek at about E. 32nd Pl. and S. 93rd E. Ave.

Repetitive Loss Area #31 is comprised of four commercial buildings on five property lots located in the 8500 block of E. 46th St. in the Bell Creek drainage, mid-way between the West and East branches of the creek (Mingo Tributary 11A and 11B, respectively). The properties were developed between 1967 and 1971. The terrain is flat and the slab-on-grade structures situated at between 680 and 690 ft. elevation.

III. History

Development
As stated above, the properties in RLA #31 were developed between 1967 and 1971 along the south side of E. 46th St. The land on which the buildings were constructed is generally flat, but rises behind the properties to the south and southwest to high ground along S. 83rd E. Ave. and E. 49th St. The properties to the south of the RLA along Memorial Blvd. and S. 83rd E. Ave. developed in the mid-1970s, and were largely commercial enterprises with asphalt parking lots that generated a considerable amount of runoff.

Flooding
The properties of RLA #31 suffered flood damage on two occasions, when rainfall reached or exceeded 5 inches in a 24-hour period: on May 18, 1982 and May 27, 1984. These flood events were the result of rainfall flowing off of the rising ground to the south and south southeast. The runoff ran downhill along S. 83rd E. Ave. to the point where the road jogs sharply to the west, leaving the overland flow to continue south downhill across a parking lot and into the rear of the RLA properties along E. 46th St.

Improvements
After the flood events of 1982 and 1984, the City of Tulsa installed additional storm sewer inlets on S. 83rd E. Ave., along the rear property line of RLA #31, and in front of the properties on E. 46th St. These measures appear to have solved the overland flow flooding for RLA #31 for 100-year rainfall events.
IV. Research and Analysis

The analysis of Repetitive Loss Area #31 was conducted by the Project Team through interviews with City officials, research into Engineering Services and Stormwater Drainage files, including the Mingo Creek Master Drainage Plan, review of the City’s extensive flood history documentation, assessment of insurance claims, field trips to the RLA, interviews with home owners and questionnaires mailed to the property owners and occupants soliciting information about prior and existing flooding issues, if any.

Agencies and Organizations

The City of Tulsa's Storm Drainage & Hazard Mitigation Advisory Board (SDHMAB), which also serves as the City's Hazard Mitigation and CRS Committee, and the CRS Public Participation Involvement & Information Committee (PPI) met monthly during the two-year Repetitive Loss Area Planning process. Each committee was updated on the status of the planning process, discussed issues, and provided guidance. Research and analysis were done in accordance with guidelines from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and the Community Rating System (CRS).

Local, State & Federal Agencies and non-profit organizations are represented on the PPI Committee. The RLA plans were discussed at the PPI Committee meetings, and other agencies such as TAEMA were contacted by phone or email. The RLA plans were presented to City Council for adoption; the agenda was made public and furnished to the media. The council meeting is a public meeting and the local media was present at the meeting. In addition the council meetings are aired on our local government network TV channel TGOV.

Participating agencies and organizations involved were: City of Tulsa (CoT) Storm Drainage & Hazard Mitigation Advisory Board, CRS PPI Committee, CoT Communications Department, CoT Development Services, Working in Neighborhoods, CoT Engineering Services, CoT Finance Department, CoT Legal Department, CoT Streets & Stormwater, CoT Water & Sewer Department, Child Care Resource Center, Indian Nations Council of Governments, Tulsa Area Emergency Management Agency
Studies and Documents
The following City of Tulsa and FEMA documents were used in the analysis:

- Flood Insurance Rate Map, City of Tulsa, October 16, 2012
- Regulatory Floodplain Map Atlas, Tulsa Engineering Services, October 2016
- 2014 City of Tulsa Hazard Mitigation Plan Update, Flanagan & Assoc., 2014
- City of Tulsa Stormwater Management Plan
- Stormwater Capital Improvements List, City of Tulsa, Engineering Services
- Mingo Creek Master Drainage Plan for Tributaries between I-44 and the Broken Arrow Expressway, June 1981
- Guidebook to Conducting Repetitive Loss Area Analyses, UNO and FEMA

Capital Improvements Plans
No City of Tulsa Capital Improvements are currently planned that could have a positive impact on flooding problems in Repetitive Loss Area #31.

Flood Insurance Data
None of the properties in the RLA currently carries flood insurance. Because the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 USC 522a) restricts the release of flood insurance policy and claims information to the public, neither the repetitive loss property nor address-specific claims data are detailed in this Plan.

Claims Data.
One property in the RLA has made two flood damage claims, one in 1982 and another in 1984, for a total of $14,829. Individual claims were $4,839 in 1982 and $9,990 in 1984. There have been no claims or reported flooding in this neighborhood since 1984.

Field Surveys and Site Visits
Site visits were conducted during the study, primarily to confirm foundation type and view local on-site overland flow drainage patterns.

Review Drainage Patterns.
The Project Team examined aerial topography maps, master drainage plans, storm sewer plans, City Customer Care Center complaints and comments, and conducted field checks to determine area drainage patterns and identify flooding problem areas. The results of the research and analysis are described in the following paragraphs and summarized in the table below.
**Structures**

The Project Team made a number of visits to RLA #31 to determine the situation and condition of the structures. Visual analysis was verified by queries of Tulsa County Assessor data.

**Structure Type.**

The structures in RLA #31 are all commercial buildings that house offices and a warehouse.

**Foundation Type.**

The type of foundation was determined by field investigation and query of Tulsa County Assessor records. All structures in RLA #31 are built on slab-on-grade foundations.

**Condition of Structures.**

The condition of the structures in the RLA was determined by field investigation and a search of the County Assessor’s records. The structures were all considered to be in Fair to Average condition. These findings are summarized in the following table.

Properties in the RLA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Structure Type</th>
<th>Foundation Type</th>
<th>Year Built</th>
<th>Building Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property 1</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Slab on Grade</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property 2</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Slab on Grade</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property 3</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Slab on Grade</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property 4</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Slab on Grade</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property 5</td>
<td>Parking Lot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notification**

**Annual Floodplain Notification.** Each year, in March, the City notifies all property owners and occupants within a 100-year floodplain that their properties are subject to flooding and informs them of what steps they can take to protect their buildings and employees, including the purchase of flood insurance.

**Annual Repetitive Loss Area Notification.** Property owners and occupants in Repetitive Loss Area #31 are notified annually that their properties are located in a Repetitive Loss Area, and are potentially subject to flood damage from overbank flooding, storm sewer backup and overland flow.

**Property Owners/Residents Notification.** Property owners and occupants were advised of the Repetitive Loss Area study and analysis by letter, were sent a questionnaire soliciting information and input, and asked to contact the City for more information or a copy of the completed RLA Plan.

**Public Participation and Involvement.** City Staff/Consultants interviewed homeowners to brief them on the Repetitive Loss Area Analysis Study/Plan, receive their input, and discuss possible mitigation measures.

**Property Owner Response to Notifications.** There has been one comment concerning flooding from owners/occupants in response to notification. The owner of the repetitive
loss property in the RLA, and the only property that has made a flood damage claim, stated that there has been no flooding since purchase of the building in 2013.

**Conclusions**

Flooding issues in RLA #31 are related to runoff from the high ground around the Memorial Park Shopping Center downhill along S. 83rd E. Ave. into the parking lots immediately behind the properties of the RLA and into the rear of the buildings. The hill upon which the shopping center is located rises to about 750 ft., while the properties of the RLA are at elevations of between 680 and 690 ft. Storm sewer improvements in the immediate area by the City of Tulsa in the 1990s appear to have solved flooding issues in the RLA. However, greater than 100-year rainfall events, like the 300-year storm of 1984 will likely continue to pose a flooding threat to the structures.

**V. Mitigation Measures**

**Overview**

The storm sewer improvements installed in the immediate vicinity of the RLA appear to have solved the overland flow problems in the neighborhood. Although there have been no flood claims since 1984, and no flooding of the repetitive loss property since its purchase in 2013, potential flooding from overland flow has not been severely tested since the 300-year storm of 1984. The issues that remain are related to site drainage problems that would likely be best addressed by berms or other methods to block overland flow from the properties immediately south of the RLA.

**Individual Flood Protection Measures: What You Can Co**

Individual property protection actions are usually undertaken by property owners on a lot-by-lot, building-by-building basis, and include private floodproofing, moving mechanical equipment above flood levels, installing French drains and minor site grading to move local drainage to the street, sanitary sewer backup protection, and flood insurance.

The City of Tulsa is willing to have a stormwater engineer do a site visit to assist you in analyzing your specific drainage problems and discuss potential solutions. Contact the Customer Care Center at (918) 596-7777, or go online to www.cityoftulsa.org/connect/contact-the-city.

**Know and Understand Your Flood Risk.** As stated above, being located in a Repetitive Loss Area does not mean a property will flood. Nevertheless, it is important that property owners in flood hazard areas know and understand their flood risk and take what steps they can to protect their buildings, furnishings and equipment. City staff is available to explain the local flood risk, interpret floodplain maps, and determine if an area or property has drainage problems or a history of prior flooding. Staff can also discuss the
ways a specific property can be protected from flooding. An Elevation Certificate can help define a property’s flood risk under various rainfall scenarios (e.g., in a 10-year, 50-year, 100-year, or 300-year storm). You can receive a free flood zone determination by contacting the City with the correct legal description and street address, or the Tax Assessor/Parcel Number of the property.

**Make a Disaster Preparedness Plan.** It is always a good idea for people in flood hazard zones to have a disaster preparedness and response plan that lists all the steps and details that will demand attention once a flood watch or warning is issued. A Building Permit is required to install a safe room in a flood-prone area.

**Create Berms, Swales or Redirected Drainage.** Flood waters can be diverted away from structures using berms, brick planter boxes and swales, but these may not be done in ways that cause damage to other properties. Owners and occupants can request a meeting with a City Engineer to discuss the best ways to solve existing drainage problems, and whether a Building Permit will be required. This may be the most feasible solution for areas with flooding due to overland flow, as in RLA #31.

**Install Local, Property-Specific Paving, Plantings and Catchment Basins.** City Engineering staff can explain the natural functions of floodplains and how they act to slow and purify urban runoff and reduce flooding. Staff can also suggest low-impact development projects which imitate natural floodplain functions by slowing runoff and filtering out impurities. These include such things as rain gardens, catchment basins and pervious paving materials.

**Acquisition.** The City of Tulsa has a repetitive loss acquisition program to purchase repeatedly flooded properties. This voluntary program offers owners who are in this situation a way out. The City applies to FEMA for funds using the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program. Once the grant is awarded, the property is appraised as if it was not a flooded property and the offer for the property is based on this appraisal. In addition to getting the best possible price, the owner receives moving expenses, a $1,000 stipend for purchasing a home outside the floodplain, and a 30-day rent free period after closing in which to move. All closing costs and other fees are paid by the City. Once the owner has moved out, the home is demolished and restored as open space to protect the natural and beneficial function of the floodplain. If you would like more information about this program contact the Customer Care Center at (918) 596-7777.

Acquisition is usually not feasible or cost effective for areas of shallow flooding, as in RLA #31. If a property is located in a FEMA Floodway or Special Flood Hazard Area, demolition, acquisition and relocation may be feasible and cost-effective.

**Elevate Your Structure.** Elevating the structure is only suitable for areas of shallow flooding, and is usually not feasible or cost-effective for masonry structures built on concrete slabs. It can sometimes be cost-effective for wood frame buildings on crawlspaces. None of the structures in RLA #31 is a candidate for elevation.

**Dry Floodproof Your Structure.** This can include actions that seal a structure and prevent floodwaters from entering. This method is best in areas where flood depths are no more than two or three feet. Buildings can be made watertight by sealing the walls with waterproof coatings, impermeable membranes, or additional layers of masonry or concrete. Doors, windows, and other openings below the base flood elevation must also
be equipped with permanent or removable shields, and backflow valves must be installed in sewer lines and drains. Dry floodproofing is only allowed on non-residential structures, so may be appropriate for structures in RLA #31. Dry floodproofing needs to be designed by an engineer to ensure the structure can resist the force of the water.

**Wet Floodproof Your Building.** Wet floodproofing allows water to enter a structure, while removing, protecting or elevating items that can be damaged, such as air conditioning equipment. This is often used on structures with crawl spaces and shallow flood depths. The City does not allow basements in flood-prone areas, or the wet floodproofing of basements.

**Wet Floodproof Your Garage.** The garage, with its slab-on-grade construction, is one of the most vulnerable areas of your home to overland flow flooding. Remove, relocate, elevate, or otherwise protect items that can be damaged from flooding.

**Maintain Nearby Streams, Ditches, and Storm Drains.** Local flooding can often be caused by brush and other debris blocking drainage ways and culverts. Culvert blocking by limbs, grass cuttings and other debris could contribute to future flooding in RLA #31. Storm sewer inlets must be regularly inspected and kept free of blockage. Residents and property owners should do their part in keeping storm drains clear of brush and debris. Do not attempt to clear debris during a flood event.

**Correct Sanitary Sewer Backup Problems.** Sanitary sewer backup can be a problem in low-lying, flood-prone areas like RLA #31. The installation of backflow prevention valves on your sanitary sewer lines is highly recommended.

**Purchase and Maintain Flood Insurance.** Flood Insurance is available and recommended for the structure and contents for all properties in Tulsa. A large percentage of all flood insurance claims are for properties that are outside the FEMA floodplain. Because of the City of Tulsa’s sustained efforts to reduce flooding, you are entitled to a discount on your flood insurance. A property does not have to be in a floodplain to qualify for flood insurance.

**Repetitive Loss Area Mitigation Measures: What the City Can Do**

The City of Tulsa is actively committed to the following floodplain management activities:

- Preventative activities to keep flood problems from getting worse.
- Natural resource protection activities to preserve or restore natural areas or the natural functions of floodplain and watershed areas.
• Emergency services measures taken during an emergency to minimize its impact.
• Structural projects to keep flood waters away from properties.
• Public information activities to advise property owners, potential property owners, and visitors about flood hazards, ways to protect people and property from the hazards, and the natural and beneficial functions of local floodplains.

As funding becomes available for this Repetitive Loss Area, the City will undertake a more detailed Mini-Master Drainage Plan to identify alternative solutions to the flooding problems and recommend a public works project. The actual construction of any public works project may require the acquisition of properties and/or drainage easements. The City will continue to fulfill its maintenance responsibility for channels, drainageways, and storm sewer inlets and pipes. At this time, the City has identified the following actions which are appropriate for RLA #31.

• Extend and/or improve the storm sewer system to better collect storm water runoff.

VI. Funding
Due to the nature of the flooding problems and the localized damages involved in RLA #31, the funding of needed improvements will have to be borne by the individual property owner. The City will investigate the possibility of increasing the storm sewer capacity with any future street projects in the area.

VII. Conclusions and Recommendations
Repetitive Loss Area #31 contains four commercial buildings on five property lots in the 8500 block of E. 46th St. in the Bell Creek drainage. The RLA is mid-way between the West and East branches of the creek and about 1,400 feet distant from either floodplain. The slab-on-grade commercial properties were developed between 1967 and 1971 on generally flat terrain, but with the ground behind the properties rising to the south and southwest to the hilltop along S. 83rd E. Ave. and E. 49th St. The properties around Memorial Blvd. and E. 51st St. developed later, in the mid-1970s, and were largely commercial enterprises with expansive asphalt parking lots that generated considerable runoff. One property in RLA #31 suffered flood damage on two occasions, on May 18, 1982 and May 27, 1984. Flooding was caused by runoff from the high ground to the south flowing downhill along S. 83rd E. Ave. and into the parking lots at the rear of the RLA properties. Storm sewer improvements by the City in the 1990s appear to have solved the overland flow problem for RLA #31 for events up to the 100-year flood. However, Master Drainage Plans for Southeast Tulsa caution residents and property owners that 300-year storms, like the one that devastated the city in 1984, will likely continue to cause damage in areas troubled by overland flow.

Homeowners are encouraged to maintain flood insurance. The City of Tulsa is a Community Rating System (CRS) Class II Community, and all homeowners qualify for up to a 40% discount on their flood insurance premiums. Homeowners are also encouraged to undertake individual mitigation measures to reduce their risk of overland flooding. The City of Tulsa is ready to assist in this effort with advice.